

**HYPERSURFACES OF A SASAKIAN MANIFOLD WITH
 ANTINORMAL (f, g, u, v, λ) -STRUCTURE I**

By Mohd Shoeb

Introduction

K. Yano, and U-Hang Ki [1] introduced the concept of antinormality of (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure and obtained many useful results. Lim, J.K. and Choe, Y.W. [2] also defined the antinormality of (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure and investigated the necessary and sufficient condition for (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure to be antinormal.

The purpose of the present paper is to obtain few more conditions for antinormality of (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure.

1. Preliminaries

We consider a C^∞ differentiable manifold with an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, that is, a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor g which admits a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$, two 1-forms u and v and a function λ satisfying [3]

- (1.1) $\bar{X} + X = u(X)U + v(X)V, f(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{X},$
- (1.2) $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y) - v(X)v(Y),$
- (1.3) $u(\bar{X}) = \lambda v(X),$
- (1.4) $v(\bar{X}) = -\lambda u(X),$
- (1.5) $\bar{U} = -\lambda V,$
- (1.6) $\bar{V} = \lambda U,$
- (1.7) $u(U) = 1 - \lambda^2 = v(V),$

and

$$(1.8) \quad u(V) = 0 = v(U),$$

for arbitrary vector fields X and Y , U and V being vector fields defined by $u(X) = g(U, X)$ and $v(X) = g(V, X)$ respectively. If the tensor defined by

$$(1.9) \quad S(X, Y) = N(X, Y) + du(X, Y)U + dv(X, Y)V,$$

$N(X, Y)$ being the Nijenhuis tensor formed with f , vanishes, the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is said to be normal [3].

We put

$$(1.10) \quad [f, f](X, Y) = (D_{\bar{X}}u)(Y) - (D_{\bar{Y}}u)(X) - u\{(D_X f)Y - (D_Y f)X\} \\ + \lambda\{(D_X v)(Y) - (D_Y v)(X)\},$$

where D is the Riemannian connexion. If the tensor $[f, f]$ vanishes, the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is said to be antinormal [2].

2. Hypersurfaces of a Sasakian Manifold

Let M be an orientable hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold \tilde{M}^{2n+1} . Then there is an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced in M , having the following properties [2].

$$(2.1) \quad (D_Y f)(Y) = -g(X, Y)U + u(Y)X - K(X, Y)V + k(X)v(Y),$$

$$(2.2) \quad (D_X u)(Y) = F(X, Y) - \lambda K(X, Y),$$

$$(2.3) \quad (D_X v)(Y) = -K(X, \bar{Y}) + \lambda g(X, Y)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad D_X \lambda = K(X, U) - v(X),$$

where $K(X, Y) = g(k(X), Y)$ is the second fundamental tensor in hypersurface M relative to \tilde{M}^{2n+1} .

Substituting (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) into (1.10), we find,

$$(2.5) \quad [f, f](X, Y) = K(Y, U)v(X) - K(X, U)v(Y).$$

Using (2.4) in (2.5), we get [2]

$$(2.6) \quad [f, f](X, Y) = (D_Y \lambda)v(X) - (D_X \lambda)v(Y).$$

From (2.6) it is obvious that if λ is a constant, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure on M is antinormal. From [2], we know the following:

THEOREM A. *Let M be an orientable hypersurface of a Sasakian manifold such that the function λ is not a constant. In order that the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure be antinormal it is necessary and sufficient that $kf + fk = 0$.*

The condition $kf + fk = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad k(\bar{X}) + \overline{k(X)} = 0$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad K(\bar{X}, Y) - K(X, \bar{Y}) = 0.$$

And from [1], we know the following:

THEOREM B. *In an orientable hypersurface M with an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure of a Sasakian manifold such that $\lambda(1 - \lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero, the conditions*

$$(2.9) \quad K(\bar{X}, Y) - K(X, \bar{Y}) = 0$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad S(X, Y) = 2v(X) \{D_Y V - \lambda Y\} - 2v(Y) \{D_X V - \lambda X\}$$

are equivalent.

Combining Theorem [A] and Theorem [B] and using (2.3), we have

THEOREM (2.1). *In an orientable hypersurface M of a Sasakian manifold, $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(2.11) \quad S(X, Y) = 2v(X) \overline{kY} - 2v(Y) \overline{kX}.$$

3. Some theorems on antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure

THEOREM 3.1. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq 0$, if the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal.*

PROOF. Let the Nijenhuis tensor of M vanishes, we have

$$(3.1) \quad N(X, Y) = (D_X f)(Y) - (D_Y f)(X) - \overline{(D_X f)(Y)} + \overline{(D_Y f)(X)} = 0.$$

Using (2.1) in above, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \{K(\overline{Y}, X) - K(\overline{X}, Y)\}V + \{k(\overline{X}) - \overline{k(X)}\}v(Y) - \{k(\overline{Y}) - \overline{k(Y)}\}v(X) - 2g(\overline{X}, Y)U = 0.$$

Contracting (3.2) with respect to X and using (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$-2\lambda(K(U, X) - v(X)) = 0.$$

By virtue of (2.4) the above equation reduces to

$$(3.3) \quad D_X \lambda = 0,$$

that is λ is a constant and hence the structure is antinormal.

THEOREM 3.2. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(3.4) \quad (D_{\overline{X}} F)(Y, Z) + (D_{\overline{Y}} F)(Z, X) + (D_{\overline{Z}} F)(X, Y) = 2\{F(Y, X)u(Z) + F(Z, Y)u(X) + F(X, Z)u(Y)\},$$

where $F(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(\overline{X}, Y)$.

PROOF. From (2.1), we have

$$(3.5) \quad (D_{\overline{X}} F)(Y, Z) = F(Y, X)u(Z) + F(X, Z)u(Y) - K(\overline{X}, Y)v(Z) + K(\overline{X}, Z)v(Y).$$

Taking cyclic permutation of (3.5), we get

$$(3.6) \quad (D_{\overline{X}} F)(Y, Z) + (D_{\overline{Y}} F)(Z, X) + (D_{\overline{Z}} F)(X, Y) = 2\{F(Y, X)u(Z) + F(Z, Y)u(X) + F(X, Z)u(Y)\} + v(X)\{K(\overline{Z}, Y) - K(\overline{Y}, Z)\} + v(Y)\{K(\overline{X}, Z) - K(\overline{Z}, X)\} + v(Z)\{K(\overline{Y}, X) - K(\overline{X}, Y)\}.$$

Using Theorem [A] in (3.6), we get the result.

THEOREM 3.3. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq \text{constant}$, the induced*

(f, g, u, v, λ) is antinormal if and only if

$$(3.7) \quad 'N(X, Y, Z) + 'N(Y, Z, X) + 'N(Z, X, Y) = (D_{\bar{X}}F)(Y, Z) + (D_{\bar{Y}}F)(Z, X) \\ + (D_{\bar{Z}}F)(X, Y),$$

where $'N(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(N(X, Y), Z)$.

PROOF. With the help of (2.1), we have

$$(3.8) \quad 'N(X, Y, Z) = \{K(\bar{Y}, X) - K(\bar{X}, Y)\}v(Z) + \{K(\bar{X}, Z) + K(X, \bar{Z})\}v(Y) \\ - \{K(\bar{Y}, Z) + K(Y, \bar{Z})\}v(X) - 2F(X, Y)u(Z).$$

Which gives

$$(3.9) \quad 'N(X, Y, Z) + 'N(Y, Z, X) + 'N(Z, X, Y) = \{K(\bar{Y}, X) - K(\bar{X}, Y)\}v(Z) \\ + \{K(\bar{Z}, Y) - K(\bar{Y}, Z)\}v(X) + \{K(\bar{X}, Z) - K(\bar{Z}, X)\}v(Y) \\ + 2\{F(Y, X)u(Z) + F(Z, Y)u(X) + F(X, Z)u(Y)\}.$$

In view of Theorem [A] and Theorem (3.2), (3.9) proves the statement.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *In an orientable hypersurface M , the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(3.10) \quad (D_X F)(Y, U) = (D_Y F)(X, U).$$

PROOF. From (2.1) and (2.5), we get

$$(D_X F)(Y, U) - (D_Y F)(X, U) = [f, f](Y, X).$$

which proves the result.

THEOREM 3.4. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq 0$ constant, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(3.11) \quad 'S(X, Y, V) = 'N(X, Y, V) = (D_{\bar{X}}F)(Y, V) - (D_X F)(\bar{Y}, V),$$

where $'S(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(S(X, Y), Z)$,

PROOF. Transvecting (1.9) with V and using (1.8), (2.3) and Theorem [A], we get

$$S(X, Y, V) = 'N(X, Y, V).$$

From (3.1), we have

$$(3.12) \quad 'N(X, Y, V) = (D_{\bar{X}}F)(Y, V) - (D_{\bar{Y}}F)(X, V) + (D_X F)(Y, \bar{V}) - (D_Y F)(X, \bar{V}).$$

which, due to (3.10), implies (3.11).

PROPOSITION 3.2. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq$ constant, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(3.13) \quad 'S(X, Y, V) = 0 = 'N(X, Y, V).$$

PROOF. Transvecting (2.11) with V and using (1.6), (2.5), we get

$$(3.14) \quad 'S(X, Y, V) = 2\lambda [f, f](X, Y),$$

which proves the statement.

COROLLARY 3.1. *In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq$ constant, the induced (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is antinormal if and only if*

$$(3.15) \quad (D_{\bar{X}}F)(Y, V) = (D_X F)(\bar{Y}, V).$$

PROOF. Just follows from (3.11) and (3.13).

In an orientable hypersurface M , $\lambda \neq$ constant, with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, we know [1]

$$(3.16) \quad K(X, V) = \beta u(X)$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad K(X, U) = \beta v(X),$$

where

$$(3.18) \quad \beta = \frac{K(U, V)}{1 - \lambda^2}$$

THEOREM 3.5 β , as given in (3.18), is constant if and only if

$$(3.19) \quad K(X, k\bar{Y}) = \beta F(X, Y).$$

PROOF. Differentiating (3.17) and using (2.2), (2.3), (2.8) and

$$(3.20) \quad (D_X K)(Y, Z) - (D_Y K)(X, Z) = 0,$$

we get,

$$(D_X \beta)v(Y) = (D_Y \beta)v(X)$$

which, on putting $Y = V$, gives

$$(D_X \beta)(1 - \lambda^2) = (D_V \beta)v(X),$$

that is $D_X \beta$ is proportional to $v(X)$ and hence we can write

$$(3.21) \quad D_X \beta = \rho v(X),$$

where ρ is a function.

Now differentiating (3.16) and using (2.2), (2.3), (2.8), (3.20) and (3.21), we get

$$(3.22) \quad \rho \{v(X)u(Y) - v(Y)u(X)\} = 2\beta(F(X, Y) - 2K(X, k\bar{Y}))$$

From (3.22) it is clear that $\rho = 0$, that is, $\beta =$ constant if and only if (3.19) holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yano, K. and U-Hang Ki, Manifolds with antinormal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. Vol. 25 (1973) pp. 48-62.
- [2] Lim, J.K. and Choe, Y.W., Note on compact hypersurfaces in a unit sphere S^{2n+1} , Kyungpook Math. J. Vol. 12, No.2 Dec. 1972.
- [3] Yano, K. and Okumura, M., On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970), pp. 401-423.