

*-U-REGULAR RING

By V. N. Dixit

1. Preliminary definitions and results

DEFINITIONS 1. A ring R with unity 1 is called *U-regular* if for every a in R there exists a unit u in R such that $aua = a$

2. A **-ring* is a ring with an involution $x \rightarrow x^*$:

$$(x^*)^* = x, (x+y)^* = x^* + y^*, (xy)^* = y^*x^*.$$

3. An element e in R is called *projection* if $e = e^*$ and $e^2 = e$.

4. The involution of a *-ring is said to be *proper* if $a^*a = 0 \implies a = 0$.

5. An element a in R such that $aa^*a = a$ is called *partial isometry*.

6. A **-U-regular ring* is a *U-regular ring* with proper involution.

7. An idempotent e is called *normal* if $e^*e = ee^*$.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the theorem:

*"A Commutative U-regular ring R with a suitable involution is a *-U-regular ring if $ab=0$ and $aua=ava$, a, b , units u, v in R ."*

Thus we make the conditions that R is *-ring and *-regular, superfluous, assumed in proposition 3 [1, pp. 229], which is as follows:

If R is a *-ring with unity, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) R is *-regular
- (ii) for each $x \in R$, there exists a projection e such that $Rx = Re$.
- (iii) R is regular and is a Rickart *-ring.

The notations and conventions are as in Sterling K-Berberian [1].

We shall prove few simple results, R will denote commutative *U-regular ring*.

R_1 . $aua = ava$, u, v units in R , then $au = av$.

The proof is trivial.

R_2 . $(a+1-au)$ is invertible in R .

PROOF. There are units w in R such that $(a+1-au)w(a+1-au) = a+1-au$. Multiplying the above equations by au and $(1-au)$ we get $awa = a$ and $w(1-au) = 1-au$ respectively. From R_1 we get $au = aw$. Also $w(a+1-au) = wa+1-au = 1$.

Define $*: R \rightarrow R$ by $a \rightarrow a^*$, where $a^* = au(a+1-au)^{-1}$, $aua = a$.

The mapping is well defined from R_1 and R_2 .

R_3 . $a^*au = a^*$, since au is idempotent.

R_4 . $aa^*a = a$, since $a^*(a+1-au) = au$ and so $a^*a = au$. Hence the result.

R_5 . $a^* = au(a+1-au)^{-1} = a^*a(a+1-a^*a)^{-1}$ (from R_4).

R_6 . $a^*v = au$ if $a^*va^* = a^*$. since $a^*v = (a^*au)v = (a^*a^*a)v = (a^*va^*)a = a^*a = au$ (from R_3 and R_4).

From the above results we deduce

(i) $a^{**} = a$ (ii) $(a+b)^* = a^* + b^*$ if $ab = 0$, $aua = av a = a$

(iii) $(ab)^* = a^*b^*$ (iv) $a^*a = 0 \implies a = 0$.

R is U -regular, so $a^*va = a^*$, $a^* \in R$.

$a(a^* + 1 - a^*v) = a(a^* + 1 - au)$ (from R_6), $aa^* = au$ (from R_4) $= a^*v$ (from R_6)

Hence $a = a^*v(a^* + 1 - a^*v)^{-1} = (a^*)^*$.

For (ii):

Since $ab = 0$ implies $a^*b = 0 = ab^*$, from R_4 we get

$$(a+b)(a+b)^*(a+b) = (a+b)(a^* + b^*)(a+b)$$

So R_1 implies $(a+b)(a+b)^* = (a+b)(a^* + b^*) = aa^* + bb^*$

$$= aa^*(b+1-bb^*) + bb^*(a+1-aa^*) \quad (A)$$

$$\text{Also } (a+b)+1-(a+b)(a+b)^* = (b+1-bb^*)(a+1-aa^*) \quad (B)$$

From (A) and (B) we get $(a+b)^* = a^* + b^*$.

For (iii):

$$(ab)(a^*b^*)(ab) = (aa^*a)(bb^*b) = ab = ab(ab)^*(ab)$$

Hence $ab a^*b^* = ab(ab)^*$ from R_1 . $= (ab)^* \{ab+1-(ab)(ab)^*\}$ from (R_5)

Now $ab = (ab)(ab)^*(ab) = (ab)(ab)^* \{ab+1-(ab)(ab)^*\} = ab a^*b^* \{ab+1-(ab)(ab)^*\}$

$$= ab(ab)^*(a+1-aa^*)(b+1-bb^*)$$

So $(ab)(a^*b^*) \{ab+1-(ab)(ab)^*\} = ab(ab)^*(a+1-aa^*)(b+1-bb^*)$

$$\implies a^*b^* = aba^*b^*(a+1-aa^*)^{-1} (b+1-bb^*)^{-1} = (ab)(ab)^* \{ab+1-(ab)(ab)^*\}^{-1} = ab.$$

Therefore U -regular ring with an involution $a \rightarrow a^* = au(a+1-au)^{-1}$ is $*$ - U -regular ring, since involution is proper from (iv), because

$$a^*a = 0 \implies aa^*a = 0 \implies a = 0 \text{ (from } R_4).$$

Hence we get the following theorem:

In a commutative U -regular ring R with $ab = 0$, $aua = av a = a$ and $$: $R \rightarrow R$ defined by $a \rightarrow a^* = au(a+1-au)^{-1}$, the following results are true.*

(i) R is $*$ - U -regular ring.

(ii) *every element is partial isometry (from R_A)*

Thus Prop. 2 [1, pp. 10] "In a^ -ring with proper involution, b is a partial isometry $\implies b^*b$ is a projection" becomes trivial here.*

(iii) *A normal idempotent is projection.*

i.e. to prove $e=e^$, it suffices to prove $e=e^*e$.*

*$(e^*e-e)^*(e^*e-e)=0 \implies e^*e-e=0 \implies e^*e=e$ (from iv).*

University of Delhi
Delhi-110007
India

REFERENCE

- [1] Sterling K-Berberian, *Bae *-ring*, Springer-Verlag, Band 195, (1971)