Note On Infinitesimal Minimal Variation Of Anti-Invariant Compact Submanifolds Of A Kaehlerian Manifold With Vanishing Bochner Curvature Tensor

By Bong Koo Kang
Sang-Joo Agri. & Seri. Junior College, Sang-Joo, Korea

0. Introduction

Yano and Kon [3] have recently studied infinitesimal anti-invariant normal variations of submanifolds M^m of a Kaehlerian manifold M^{2m}.

On the other hand Pak and Ki [5] have investigated to infinitesimal anti-invariant minimal variations of submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

In the present note, we study an infinitesimal anti-invariant minimal variation of submanifold M^m of a Kaehlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor. (See Thm. 3.1.)

1. Preliminaries [3]

Let M^{2m} be a real 2m-dimensional Kaehlerian manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^h\}$ and almost complex structure tensor F_i and Hermitian metric tensor g_{ji} , where here and in the sequel, the indices h, i, j, k, ··· run over the range $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \cdots \overline{2m}\}$. Then we have

(1.1)
$$F_{i}' F_{i}' = -\delta_{i}', \quad F_{i}' F_{i}' g_{i} = g_{i}, \quad \nabla_{i} F_{i}' = 0,$$

where ∇_i denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Christoffel symbolds $\Gamma_{ii}^{\ k}$ formed with g_{ii} .

Let M'' be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{V; y''\}$ and with metric tensor g_{cb} , where here and in the sequel, the indices a, b, c, \cdots run over the range $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$.

We assume that M" is isometrically immersed in M^{2m} by the immersion $i: M^m \longrightarrow M^{2m}$ and identify $i(M^n)$ with M". We represent the immersion $i: M^m \longrightarrow M^{2m}$ locally by

$$(1.2) x^h = x^h(y^a)$$

and put

$$(1.3) B_b^{\ \prime} = \partial_b x^b, \quad (\partial_b = \partial/\partial y^b),$$

which are n linearly independent vectors of M^{2m} tangent to M^{n} .

Since the immersion i is isometric, we have

$$(1.4) g_{ii}B_{\epsilon}^{i}B_{b}^{i}=g_{\epsilon b}.$$

We denote by C_r , 2m-n mutually orthogonal unit normals to M, where here and in the sequel, indices x, y, z, ... run over the range $\{n+1, n+2, ..., 2m\}$. Then the equations of Gauss are written as

$$\nabla_{c}B_{b}^{h} = h_{cb}^{r}C_{x}^{h},$$

where ∇_c denotes the operator of van der Waerden-Bortolotti covariant differentiation along M'' and $h_{cb}{}^x$ are second fundamental tensor of M'' with respect to the normals $C_x{}^h$ and those of Weingarten as

$$\nabla_{c}C_{r}^{h} = -h_{c}^{a}{}_{r}B_{a}^{h},$$

where $h_{c}{}^{a}{}_{,}=h_{b}{}_{,v}g^{b}{}^{a}=h_{rcb}{}^{a}g^{b}{}^{a}g_{zy},$

 g^{ba} denoting covariant components of the metric tensor g_{ab} of M^a , and g_{ab} the metric tensor of the normal bundle.

If the transform by F of any vector tangent to M^* is always normal to M^* , that is, if there exists a tensor field f_{b}^{x} of mixed type such that

$$(1.7) F_i{}^h B_b{}^i = -f_b{}^x C_x{}^h.$$

We say that M" is anti-invariant (or totally real) in M2".

For the transform by F of normal vectors C_2 , we have equations of the form

(1.8)
$$F_{i}^{h}C_{y}^{i} = f_{y}^{a}B_{a}^{h} + f_{y}^{x}C_{x}^{h},$$

where $f_{a} = f_{b}^{a} g^{ba} g_{a}$, which can also be written as $f_{a} = f_{a}$,

where $f_{,a} = f_{,b} g_{,a}$ and $f_{av} = f_{,a} g_{,v}$.

From (1.7) and (1.8) we find

- $(1.9) f_{\flat} f_{\flat} = \delta_{\flat},$
- (1.10) $f_{y}^{b} f_{y}^{x} = 0$,
- $(1.11) f_{r} f_{s} = 0,$
- (1.12) $f_{y}^{x} f_{z}^{x} = -\delta_{y}^{x} + f_{y}^{a} f_{a}^{x}.$

If m=n, from (1.9) we have $f_y^a f_a^x = \delta_y^x$ and consequently from (1.12) we find $f_y^a f_z^x = 0$, that is, $f_{xy} = f_x^x g_{xy}$ and $f_{yy}^{ax} = f_y^x g_{yy}^{ax}$ being skew-symmetric. Thus we have

$$(1.13)$$
 $f_{\bullet}^{x}=0.$

In this case, equations $(1.9)\sim(1.12)$ reduce to

(1.14)
$$f_b^y f_a^a = \delta_b^a, f_b^x f_b^b = \delta_b^x.$$

Equation of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively

(1.15)
$$K_{dcb}{}^{a} = K_{kji}{}^{b} B_{dcb}{}^{bj}{}^{ia}{}_{b} + h_{dx}{}^{a} h_{cb}{}^{x} - h_{cx}{}^{a} h_{bd}{}^{x},$$

$$(1.16) 0 = K_{kji}^{h} B_{d}^{h} {}_{c}^{j} {}_{b}^{i} C_{h}^{x} - (\nabla_{d} h_{cb}^{x} - \nabla_{c} h_{db}^{x}),$$

 K_{deb}^{a} and K_{kji}^{A} being curvature tensor of M^{n} and M^{2m} respectively,

2. Infinitesimal variation of anti-invariant submanfold [3]

We consider an infinitesimal variation of anti-invariant submanifold M^n of a Kaehlerian manifold M^{2m} given by

(2.1)
$$\bar{x}^h = x^h(y) + \xi^h(y)\varepsilon,$$

where $\xi^{n}(y)$ is a vetor field of M^{2m} defined along M^{n} and ε is an infinitesimal. We then

have

$$(2.2) B_b^h = B_b^h + (\partial_b \xi^h) \varepsilon,$$

where $\overline{B}_b{}^b = \partial_b \overline{x}^b$ are n lineary independent vectors tangent to the varied submanifold. We displace $\overline{B}_b{}^a$ parallely from the varied point (\overline{x}^b) to the original point (x^b) .

We then obtain the vectors

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{b}^{h} = \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{b}^{h} + \Gamma_{ii}^{h} (\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \boldsymbol{\xi}^{j} \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{b}^{i} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

at the point (x^h) , or

$$(2.3) \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{b}^{h} = \mathbf{B}_{b}^{h} + (\nabla_{b} \xi^{h}) \varepsilon$$

neglecting the terms of order higher than one with respect to ε , where

(2.4)
$$\nabla_{b} \xi^{h} = \partial_{b} \xi^{h} + \Gamma_{i,i}{}^{h} B_{b}{}^{j} \xi^{i}.$$

In the sequel we always neglect term of order higher than one with respect to ϵ . Thus putting

$$\delta B_b{}^h = \widetilde{B}_b{}^h - B_b{}^h,$$

we have from (2.3)

(2.6)
$$\delta B_b^h = (\nabla_b \xi^h) \varepsilon.$$

Putting

$$(2.7) \qquad \qquad \xi^{k} = \xi^{a} B_{, k}^{\ k} + \xi^{x} C_{, k}^{\ k}.$$

we have

(2.8)
$$\nabla_{b} \xi^{h} = (\nabla_{a} \xi^{h} - h_{b}^{a} \xi^{x}) B_{a}^{h} + (\nabla_{b} \xi^{x} + h_{b}^{a} \xi^{a}) C_{x}^{h}.$$

We now denote by \overline{C}_{r}^{h} 2m-n mutually orthogonal unit normals to varied submanifold and by \overline{C}_{r}^{h} the vectors obtained from \overline{C}_{r}^{h} by parallel displacement of \overline{C}_{r}^{h} from the point (\overline{x}^{h}) to (x^{h}) . Then we have

$$\bar{C}_{x}^{h} = \bar{C} + \Gamma_{ii}^{h}(x + \xi \varepsilon) \xi^{j} \bar{C}_{x}^{i} \varepsilon$$

We put

$$\delta C_{r}^{h} = \tilde{C}_{r}^{h} - C_{r}^{h}$$

and assume that ∂C_{i} is of the form

(2.10)
$$\delta C_r^h = (\eta_r^a B_a^h + \eta_r^a C_r^h) \varepsilon.$$

Then, from (2.6), (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\overline{C}_{r}^{h} = C_{r}^{h} - \Gamma_{ji}^{h} \xi^{j} C_{r}^{i} \varepsilon + (\eta_{r}^{a} B_{a}^{h} + \eta_{r}^{x} C_{x}^{h}) \varepsilon.$$

An infinitesimal variation given by (2.1) is called an *anti-invariant* variation if it carries an anti-invariant submanifold into an anti-invariant submanifold. For an infinitesimal variation given by (2.1), when $\xi^*=0$, that is, when the variation vector ξ^h is normal to the submanifold we say that variation is *normal*.

Yano and Kon[3] have proved in their paper:

Theorem 2.1. In order for an infinitesimal variation to carry an anti-invariant submanifold into an anti-invariant submanifold, it is necessary and sufficient that the variation vector ξ^* satisfies

$$(2.11) \qquad (\nabla \xi^{x}) f_{\alpha}^{x} = f_{b}^{x} (\nabla^{\alpha} \xi_{x}).$$

In this case, the variation of f, is given by

(2.12)
$$\partial f_b^{\ x} = \{ (\nabla_b \xi^a - h_b^{\ a} \xi^y) f_a^{\ b} - (\nabla_b \xi^y + h_{ba}^{\ y} \xi^a) f_y^{\ x} - f_b^{\ y} \eta_y^{\ x} \}_{\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 2.1. (1) In order for an infinitesimal variation to be parallel, it is necessary and sufficient that

(2.13)
$$\nabla_{b}\xi^{x} + h_{ba}{}^{x}\xi^{a} = 0.$$

(2) A parallel variation is an anti-invariant variation.

Applying the operator \hat{o} to (1.7) and using (2.6), (2.8) and $\delta g_{ii} = 0$, we find

(2.14)
$$\delta g_{cb} = (\nabla_c \xi_b + \nabla_b \xi_c - 2h_{cbx} \xi^z) \varepsilon,$$

from which

$$(2.15) \qquad \qquad \delta g^{ba} = -(\nabla^b \xi^a + \nabla^a \xi^b - 2h^{ba}.\xi^s) \epsilon.$$

A variation of a submanifold for which $\delta g_{cb} = 0$ is said to be isometric.

Theorem 2.2.[3] If an anti-invariant variation preserves f,* then the variation is isometric.

It is well known that infinitesimal variation of the second fundamental tensors is given by

(2.16)
$$\delta h_{\epsilon b}^{x} = [\xi^{d} \nabla_{d} h_{\epsilon b}^{x} + h_{\epsilon b}^{x} (\nabla_{b} \xi^{\epsilon}) + h_{\epsilon \epsilon}^{x} (\nabla_{b} \xi^{\epsilon}) - h_{\epsilon b}^{x} \eta_{r}^{x}] \varepsilon + [\nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} \xi^{x} + K_{b j}^{x} B_{\epsilon}^{j} / C_{b}^{x} C_{c}^{x} \xi^{y} - h_{\epsilon \epsilon}^{x} h_{b}^{x} , \xi^{y}] \varepsilon.$$

A variation carries a minimal submanifold into a minimal submanifold, that is, $\delta(g^{c_b}h_{c_b}^*)=0$ and $h_b{}^b{}_c=0$. Then we say that the variation is *minimal*.

Pak and Ki have proved the following:

Lemma 2.2. [5] Let M^n be a compact orientable submanifold of a Riemannian manifold. If an infinitesimal variation $\bar{x}^h = x^h + \xi^h \varepsilon$ of M^n is isometric and minimal, then we have

(2.17)
$$\nabla^{c} \mathbf{U}_{c} = -K_{kijk} \xi^{k} B^{ji} \xi^{k} + \| \nabla_{c} \xi_{x} + h_{csx} \xi^{c} \|^{2} + \| \nabla_{c} \xi_{b} - h_{cbx} \xi^{c} \|^{2},$$

where

$$U_c = 1 2\nabla_c(\xi_a \xi^a + \xi_s \xi^a).$$

Moreover, if $K_{klik}\xi^k B^{ji}\xi^k \leq 0$, then the variation is parallel.

3. Anti-invariant minimal variation with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor

We now suppose that the ambient Kaehlerian manifold has vanishing Bochner curvature tensor [3], that is,

(3.1)
$$K_{kjih} = -[g_{kk}L_{ji} - g_{jh}L_{ki} + L_{kh}g_{ji} - L_{jh}g_{ki} + F_{kh}M_{ji} - F_{jh}M_{hi} + M_{kh}F_{ji} - M_{jh}F_{ki} - 2(F_{kj}M_{jh} + M_{kj}F_{jh})],$$

where
$$L_{ji} = -\frac{1}{2(m+2)}K_{ji} + \frac{1}{8(m+1)(m+2)}Kg_{ji}$$
, $M_{ji} = -L_{ji}F_{i}^{i}$,

K_{ji} and K being the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of M^{2m} respectively.

Suppose also that a submanifold M^m of M^{2m} is anti-invariant. Then we have

(3.2)
$$-K_{kijh}\xi^{k}B^{ji}\xi^{h} = [g_{kh}L_{ji} - g_{jh}L_{ki} + L_{kh}g_{ji}]$$

$$-L_{jh}g_{hi}+F_{hh}M_{ji}-F_{jh}M_{hi}+M_{hh}F_{ji}\\-M_{jh}F_{ki}-2(F_{hi}M_{ih}+M_{hi}F_{ih})]\xi^{k}B^{ji}\xi^{h},$$

where $B^{ii}=B_{c}^{i}B_{b}^{i}g^{cb}$.

Substituting(2.7) into (3.2), we find

(3.3)
$$-K_{kjih}\xi^{h}B^{ji}\xi^{h} = [g_{kh}L_{ji}B^{ji} - g_{jh}L_{ki}B^{ji} + L_{kh}g_{ji}B^{ji} - L_{jh}g_{ki}B^{ji} + F_{kh}M_{ji}B^{ji} - F_{jh}M_{ki}B^{ji} + M_{kh}F_{ji}B^{ji} - M_{jh}F_{ki}B^{ji} - 2(F_{kj}M_{,h}B^{ji} + M_{kj}F_{jh}B^{jj})^{T}(\xi^{a}B_{a}^{h} \div \xi^{x}C_{x}^{h})(\xi^{b}B_{b}^{h} + \xi^{y}C_{y}^{h}).$$

On the ohter hand, we can easily verify that

(3.4)
$$M_{ji} B^{\mu} = 0, F_{ji} B^{\mu} = 0$$

and

(3.5)
$$(\hat{\xi}^{a} B_{a}^{\ k} + \hat{\xi}^{x} C_{x}^{\ k}) (\hat{\xi}^{b} B_{b}^{\ k} + \hat{\xi}^{y} C_{y}^{\ k}) = \hat{\xi}^{a} \hat{\xi}^{b} B_{a}^{\ k} B_{b}^{\ k} + 2\hat{\xi}^{a} \hat{\xi}^{y} B_{a}^{\ k} C_{y}^{\ k} + \hat{\xi}^{x} \hat{\xi}^{y} C_{x}^{\ k} C_{y}^{\ k}.$$
 Substituting (3.4) and (3.5) into (3.3), we have

(3.6)
$$-K_{kjih}\xi^{h}B^{ji}\xi^{h} = [g_{kh}L_{ji}B^{ji} - g_{jh}L_{ki}B^{ji} + L_{kh}g_{ji}B^{ji} - L_{jh}g_{ki}B^{ji} - F_{jh}M_{ki}B^{ji} - M_{jh}F_{ki}B^{ji} - 2(F_{kj}M_{ih}B^{ji} + M_{kj}F_{ih}B^{ji})](\xi^{a}\xi^{b}B_{a}{}^{k}B_{b}{}^{h} + 2\xi^{a}\xi^{y}B_{a}{}^{k}C_{y}{}^{h} + \xi^{x}\xi^{y}C_{y}{}^{k}C_{y}{}^{h}).$$

 $L_{yx} = L_{ii}C_{y}^{j}C_{x}^{j}$, $L_{ex} = L_{ii}B_{e}^{j}C_{x}^{j}$, $L = L_{ii}B^{ii}$, $L_{eb} = L_{ii}B_{e}^{ji}$, we have Denoting (3.7) $L_{ab}f_{a}^{c}f_{b}=L_{ii}B_{b}^{i}B_{b}^{i}f_{a}^{c}f_{b}=L_{ii}F_{i}^{i}C_{a}^{i}F_{b}^{i}C_{a}^{s}=L_{ve}$

because of $L_{t_s}F_{t_s}F_{t_s}=L_{t_s}$ and (1.7), (1.8) and (1.13).

Using (1.7), (1.8), (1.13) and (3.7), (3.6) reduces to

(3.8)
$$-K_{kjih} \xi^h B^{ji} \xi^h = L \xi_a \xi^a + L \xi_x \xi^z + (m-2) L_{cb} \xi^c \xi^b + 2(m+2) L_{cx} \xi^c \xi^z + (m+6) L_{yx} \xi^y \xi^z$$

According to Lemma 2.2, we have

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that M^{2m} is a Kaehlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner tensor and M^m is a compact orientable anti-invariant submanifold of M^{2m}. If an anti-invariant minimal variation of M" preserves f, and

$$[Lg_{tb}+(m-2)L_{cb}]\xi^{\epsilon}\xi^{b}+[Lg_{yx}+(m+6)L_{yx}]\xi^{y}\xi^{x}+2(m+2)L_{cx}\xi^{\epsilon}\xi^{x}\geq 0,$$

then the variation is parallel and ξ^* is harmonic vector field.

If the variation is normal, we have

Corollary 3.2.[3] Suppose that M^{2m} is a Kaehlerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curreture tensor and that M" is a compact orientable anti-invariant submanifold of M". If an anti-invariant normal variation of M^m preserves f_b* and the mean curvature vector and

$$[Lg_{x}+(m+6)L_{x}]\xi'\xi' \geq 0,$$

then the variation is parallel.

REFERENCES

- [1]. B. Y. Chen and K. Yano, On the theory of normal variations, to appear to J. Differential Geometry.
- [2]. K. Yano, Infinitesimal variation of submanifolds, to appear in Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [3]. K. Yano and M. Kon(1976), Infinitesimal variations of anti-invariant submanifolds of Kaehlerian manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 16, 33~47.
- [4]. ---, Anti-invariant submanifolds, Marcel Dekker, 1976.
- [5]. Eulyong Pak and U-Hang Ki(1977), On infinitesimal minimal variation of antiinvariant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold, J. Korean Math. Soc. 14. 71~80.