

A NOTE ON A DIFFERENTIAL MODULES

by

Chong Yun Lee

Kyung Nam University, Masan, Korea

1. ABSTRACT

In this paper, we define a differential module and study its properties. In section 2, as for propositions, we research some properties, directsum, isomorphism of factorization, exact sequence of derived modules. And then as for theorem, I try to present the following statement, if the sequence of homomorphisms of differential modules is exact. Then the sequence of homomorphisms of $Z(X)$ is exact, also the sequence of homomorphisms of $Z'(X)$ is exact.

According to the theorem, as for Lemma, we consider commutative diagram between exact sequence of $Z(X)$ and exact sequence of $Z'(X)$. As an immediate consequence of this theorem, we obtain the following result. If M is an arbitrary module and the sequence of homomorphisms of the modules $Z(X)$ is exact, then the sequence of their tensor products with the trivial endomorphism is semi-exact.

2. A DIFFERENTIAL MODULE

DEFINITION 1. A differential module over R , we mean a module X over R together with a given endomorphism $d : X \rightarrow X$ of the module X satisfying the condition $d \cdot d = 0$

PROPOSITION 2. The quotient module $H(X) = \text{Ker}(d) / \text{Im}(d)$ is called the derived module of the differential module X . For any given lower sequence C of modules over R . Consider the direct Sum

$$X = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n$$

and the restriction $d : X \rightarrow X$ of the cartesian product of all the boundary operators $\partial : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ verify $d \cdot d = 0$ and establish

$$H(X) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(C).$$

Proof. We take

$$\begin{aligned} (d \cdot d) \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n \right) &= d \left(d \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n \right) \right) = d \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial(C_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial(\partial(C_n)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because ∂ is the boundary operation consequently $d : X \rightarrow X$ We get $d \cdot d = 0$ This implies X is a differential module according to the definition

$$H(X) = \text{Ker}(d) / \text{Im}(d),$$

We have endomorphism $d, d \cdot d = 0$ with $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n \rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial(C_n)$

since $\text{Ker} d = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker}(\partial)$ and $\text{Im} d = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Im}(\partial)$

We have $H(X) = \text{Ker} d / \text{Im} d = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker} \partial / \text{Im} \partial = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ker} \partial / \text{Im} \partial = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(C)$

PROPOSITION 3. Consider an arbitrary differential module X over R with differentiation

$$d : X \rightarrow X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } Z(X) &= \text{Ker}(d) & Z'(X) &= \text{Coker}(d) \\ B(X) &= \text{Im}(d) & B'(X) &= \text{Coim}(d) \end{aligned}$$

(1) The differentiation $d : X \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism

$$\delta : B'(X) \approx B(X)$$

and admits the following factorization:

$$X \rightarrow Z'(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} B'(X) \rightarrow B(X) \rightarrow Z(X) \rightarrow X.$$

(2) This factorization of d yields a homomorphism

$$d' : Z'(X) \rightarrow Z(X)$$

(3) Establish the equalities

$$\text{Coker}(d') = Z(X) = \text{Ker}(d')$$

(4) $0 \rightarrow H(X) \rightarrow Z'(X) \rightarrow Z(X) \rightarrow H(X) \rightarrow 0$

is exact sequence.

Proof. (1) Since $d : X \rightarrow X$ We have $\text{Im}d \subset \text{Ker}d$ Thus is $B(X) \subset Z(X)$

We obtain $B'(X) = \text{Coim}(d) = X/\text{Ker}d$

By the 1st isomorphism theorem it is clear that there exists an isomorphism $\delta : B'(X) = X/\text{Ker}d \rightarrow \text{Im}d = B(X)$

(2) Let $Z'(X) = X/\text{Im}d$ and $B'(X) = X/\text{Ker}d$ denote any two quotient module

$$\text{Thus } X \xrightarrow{\nu} Z'(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} B'(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} B(X) \xrightarrow{i} Z(X) \xrightarrow{j} X$$

factorize where ν is the canonical map and i, j are inclusion maps and ρ is a map sending $x + \text{Im}d$ to $x + \text{Ker}d$ Thus we get a map $d' = i \cdot \delta \cdot \rho : Z'(X) \rightarrow Z(X)$ This map is homomorphism.

(3) $\text{Coker}d' = Z(X)/\text{Im}d' = Z(X)/\text{Im}(i \cdot \delta \cdot \rho) = Z(X)/\text{Im}(j \cdot i \cdot \delta \cdot \rho \cdot \nu)$

because ν, j are epimorphism and monomorphism respectively.

The latter term $Z(X)/\text{Im}(i \cdot \delta \cdot \rho \cdot \nu) = Z(X)/\text{Im}d = H(X)$

Similarly $H(X) = \text{Ker}(d')$

(4) $0 \rightarrow H(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z'(X) \xrightarrow{d'} Z(X) \xrightarrow{\beta} H(X) \rightarrow 0$

$\alpha : H(X) = \text{Coker}(d) = Z(X)/\text{Im}d \rightarrow X/\text{Im}d = \text{Coker}(d') = Z'(X)$ is an imbedding.

$\beta : \text{Ker}d = Z(X) \rightarrow Z(X)/\text{Im}d = H(X)$ is canonical map

To show the above sequence is exact for any element $x + \text{Im}d \in Z(X)/\text{Im}d$.

We have $(d', \alpha)(x + \text{Im}d) = d'(x + \text{Im}d) = \overline{0}$

Thus $\text{Im}\alpha \subseteq \text{Ker}d'$

Conversely Let $x + \text{Im}d \in Z'(X)$

then there is an element $a \in H(X)$ with $\alpha(a) = x + \text{Im}d$ $d'(x + \text{Im}d) = \overline{0}$

by (2) we get $\text{Im}\alpha = \text{Ker}d'$.

Similar for any element $x + \text{Im}d \in Z'(X)$.

We have $(\beta, d')(x + \text{Im}d) = \beta(Z(x)) = 0$

because $\beta : \text{Ker}(d) = Z(X) \rightarrow Z(X)/\text{Im}d = H(X)$ is canonical map.

Conversely proof well do to.

Consequently $\text{Im}d' = \text{Ker}\beta$, we proved the exact sequence.

THEOREM 4. If the sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$

of homomorphisms of differential modules (which commute with the differentiations d) over \mathcal{R}

is exact.

Let $Z(A) = \text{Ker} d$, $Z(B) = \text{Ker} d'$, $\text{Ker}(C) = \text{Ker} d''$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \\ d \downarrow & & d' \downarrow & & d'' \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

then $0 \longrightarrow Z(A) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} Z(B) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} Z(C)$ is exact.

Proof. For any element $a \in Z(A)$ we have $d(a) = 0$.

Since $(\beta^* \cdot \alpha^*)(a) = \beta^*(\alpha^*(a)) = \beta^*(\alpha(a)) = \beta(\alpha(a)) = (\beta \cdot \alpha)(a) = 0$ is the trivial homomorphism, we have $\text{Im} \alpha^* \subset \text{Ker} \beta^*$.

On the other hand, for arbitrary element $b \in Z(B)$ and $b \in \text{Ker} \beta^*$ we have $\beta^*(b) = \beta(b) = 0$.

Since $\beta(b) = 0$, this implies $b \in \text{Ker} \beta = \text{Im} \alpha$.

There exists an element $a \in A$ with $\alpha(a) = b$. By the commutativity of the left square, we have $\alpha(d(a)) = (\alpha \cdot d)(a) = (d' \cdot \alpha)(a) = d'(\alpha(a)) = d'(b) = 0$.

Since α is a monomorphism, this implies $d(a) = 0$.

Hence we obtain $a \in Z(A)$, we get $\text{Ker} \beta^* \subset \text{Im} \alpha^*$.

We have $\text{Im} \alpha^* = \text{Ker} \beta^*$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 5. If the sequence $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \longrightarrow O$

of homomorphisms of differential modules (which commute with the differentiations d) over R is exact.

Let $Z'(A) = A/\text{Im} d$, $Z'(B) = B/\text{Im} d'$, $Z'(C) = C/\text{Im} d''$,

Then $Z'(A) \xrightarrow{\mu} Z'(B) \xrightarrow{\nu} Z'(C) \longrightarrow O$ is exact.

Proof. Let us consider arbitrarily homomorphism μ and ν of quotient module over

$$\text{Coker}(d) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Coker}(d') \text{ and } \text{Coker}(d') \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Coker}(d'').$$

Then we must make sure that μ, ν is well defined.

$$\text{If } a_1 + \text{Im} d = a_2 + \text{Im} d \text{ then } a_1 - a_2 \in \text{Im} d$$

there exists element $a \in A$ with $d(a) = a_1 - a_2$.

By the commutativity of left square, we have $d' \alpha(a) = \alpha d(a)$

Then we have

$$\text{Im } d' \ni d'(\alpha(a)) = \alpha(a_1 - a_2) = \alpha(a_1) - \alpha(a_2)$$

This implies $\alpha(a_1) + \text{Im} d' = \alpha(a_2) + \text{Im} d'$.

Hence we obtain $\mu(a_1 + \text{Im} d) = \mu(a_2 + \text{Im} d)$ consequently well defined μ . Similary we can define ν homomorphism.

Thus we have established μ -homomorphism such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \text{Coker}(d) &\longrightarrow \text{Coker}(d') \\ \text{satisfying } a + \text{Im} d &\longrightarrow \alpha(a) + \text{Im} d'. \end{aligned}$$

Here we must be established

$$\begin{aligned} \mu[(a_1 + \text{Im} d) + (a_2 + \text{Im} d)] &= \mu[(a_1 + a_2) + \text{Im} d] \\ &= \alpha(a_1 + a_2) + \text{Im} d' = \alpha(a_1) + \alpha(a_2) + \text{Im} d' = [\alpha(a_1) + \text{Im} d'] + [\alpha(a_2) + \text{Im} d'] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mu(a_1 + \text{Im}d) + \mu(a_2 + \text{Im}d).$$

Also $\mu(r(a + \text{Im}d)) = \mu(ra + \text{Im}d) = \alpha(ra) + \text{Im}d' = r(\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d') = r\mu(a + \text{Im}d)$.

Hence we get that μ is module-homomorphism.

Similarly we can define that ν is module homomorphism consequently we will define module homomorphisms.

$$\text{Coker}(d) \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{Coker}(d') \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Coker}(d'').$$

The last assertion in theorem is to show exact sequence.

Let $a + \text{Im}d \in \text{Coker}d$, be arbitrarily given.

We have $\nu \cdot \mu(a + \text{Im}d) = \nu(\mu(a + \text{Im}d)) = \nu(\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d') = \beta\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d'' = 0 + \text{Im}d'' = \bar{0}$.

We get $\text{Im}\mu \subset \text{Ker}\nu$.

Conversely, let $b + \text{Im}d' \in \text{Ker}\nu$ then we can easily verify that

$$\nu(b + \text{Im}d') = \bar{0} \quad \beta(b) + \text{Im}d'' = \bar{0}. \quad \text{We have } \beta(b) \in \text{Im}d''.$$

Hence there is an element $c \in C$ with $\beta(b) = d''(c)$.

Since β is an epimorphism, there exists an element $c \in C$ and $b \in B$ with $\beta(b) = c$.

By the commutativity of the right square

we have $\beta(b - d'(b)) = \beta(b) - \beta d'(b) = \beta(b) - d''\beta(b) = \beta(b) - d''(c) = \beta(b) - \beta(b) = 0$.

This implies $b - d'(b) \in \text{Ker}\beta = \text{Im}\alpha$.

Hence there exists an element $a \in A$ with $\alpha(a) = b - d'(b)$.

In this case $\alpha(a) - b \in \text{Im}d'$.

We have $\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d' = b + \text{Im}d'$. This implies $\mu(a + \text{Im}d) = b + \text{Im}d'$, since $b + \text{Im}d' \in \text{Im}\mu$.

We get $\text{Ker}\nu \subset \text{Im}\mu$.

This completes the proof $\text{Im}\mu = \text{Ker}\nu$. We obtain exact.

LEMMA 6. We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z'(A) & \longrightarrow & Z'(B) & \longrightarrow & Z'(C) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow d' & & \downarrow d' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z(A) & \longrightarrow & Z(B) & \longrightarrow & Z(C) \end{array}$$

of homomorphisms of modules with exact rows.

Proof. Let us define arbitrarily homomorphisms d and d' with $d'(a + \text{Im}d) = d(a)$

$$\text{over } A/\text{Im}d \xrightarrow{d'} \text{Ker}d$$

If $a_0 + \text{Im}d = a_1 + \text{Im}d$ then $a_0 - a_1 \in \text{Im}d \subseteq \text{Ker}d$.

Since $d^2 = 0$, we have $d(a_0 - a_1) = 0$ $d(a_0) - d(a_1) = 0$ $d(a_0) = d(a_1)$

Hence, we can define d' .

Here we must be established module-homomorphism d'

$$\begin{aligned} d'((a_0 + \text{Im}d) + (a_1 + \text{Im}d)) &= d'(a_0 + a_1 + \text{Im}d) = d(a_0) + d(a_1) \\ &= d'(a_0 + \text{Im}d) + d'(a_1 + \text{Im}d) \end{aligned}$$

also, $d'(r(a_0 + \text{Im}d)) = d'(ra_0 + \text{Im}d) = d(ra_0) = rd'(a_0 + \text{Im}d)$.

Hence we get that d' is module-homomorphism.

To show the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z'(A) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} & Z'(B) \\ \downarrow d' & \bar{\alpha} & \downarrow d' \\ Z(A) & \longrightarrow & Z(B) \end{array} \quad \text{is commutative.}$$

For any element $a + \text{Im}d \in Z'(A)$

there exists $(d', \alpha_*)(a + \text{Im}d) = d'(\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d) = d'(\alpha(a) + \text{Im}d) = d(\alpha(a))$.

On the other hand $\alpha, d'(a + \text{Im}d) = \alpha(d(a)) = d(\alpha(a))$.

Similarly we can prove that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z'(B) & \xrightarrow{\beta_*} & Z'(C) \\ \downarrow d' & \bar{\beta} & \downarrow d' \\ Z(B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z(C) \end{array} \quad \text{Is commutative.}$$

Consequently we get commutative diagram.

THEOREM 7. An exact sequence

$$O \longrightarrow Z(A) \xrightarrow{f} Z(B) \xrightarrow{g} Z(C) \longrightarrow O$$

$$\text{Then, } O \longrightarrow Z(A) \otimes M \xrightarrow{f_*} Z(B) \otimes M \xrightarrow{g_*} Z(C) \otimes M \longrightarrow O$$

is semi-exact if M is an arbitrary module over R and j is trivial endomorphism.

Proof. We prove, for any two consecutive homomorphisms f_* and g_* , g_*f_* is trivial homomorphism.

We define f_* and g_* as follows

$$f_* = f \otimes j, \quad g_* = g \otimes j$$

We claim g_*f_* is trivial homomorphism.

For arbitrary $t \in Z(A) \otimes M$, let $t = \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \otimes m_k)$ for $a_k \in Z(A)$ and $m_k \in M$ for each $k=1, 2, \dots, n$,

Then we have by tensor product

$$\begin{aligned} g_*f_*(t) &= g_*(f \otimes j) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \otimes m_k) \right) \\ &= g_* \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (f(a_k) \otimes j(m_k)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

And we apply the same method and tensor product

$$\begin{aligned} g_*f_*(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (g.f)(a_k) \otimes (j.j)(m_k) \\ &= e_{Z(A)} \otimes e_M. \end{aligned}$$

It means the unit element of $Z(A) \otimes M$

This completes the proof.

References

1. J. Lambek, Lectures on Rings and Modules, Waltham; Blaisdell 1966.
2. HU, S-T., Elements of Modern Algebra, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1965.
3. HU, S-T., Introduction to Homological Algebra, Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1968.
4. Chul-Kon Bae, Lee and Min, A semi-exact in tensor product, Journal of the Korean Society of Math. Edu. Sept. 1973. Vol. XII, No. 1.