

MATRIX TRANSFORMATION IN THE CESARO SEQUENCE SPACES

By Kim-Pin Lim

Let $A=(a_{n,k})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers $a_{n,k}$ ($n, k=1, 2, \dots$) and X, Y two subsets of the spaces of complex sequences. We say that the matrix A defines a matrix transformation from X into Y , if for every sequence $x=(x_k) \in X$ the sequence $A(x)=(A_n(x))$ is in Y , where $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} x_k$. We denote the class of all matrix transformations from X into Y by (X, Y) .

In [1], Leibowitz has shown that the Cesàro sequence spaces ces_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and ces_{∞} with the norms

$$\|x\|'_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty$$

and

$$\|x\|'_{\infty} = \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \text{ for } p = \infty,$$

are Banach spaces, where $ces_p = \{x=(x_k) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| \right)^p < \infty\}$ and

$ces_{\infty} = \{x=(x_k) : \sup_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| < \infty\}$. In this note, by taking a new slightly different norm, we determine the matrices of the classes (ces_p, l_{∞}) and (ces_p, c) where l_{∞}, c are respectively the spaces of bounded and convergent complex sequences. Meanwhile, we also determine all continuous linear functionals on ces_p for all $1 < p < \infty$ and give a precise expression for $\|f\|$ with respect to this new norm, where f is a continuous linear functional on ces_p .

Now in the linear space ces_p , we define

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty$$

and

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \text{ for } p = \infty$$

where \sum_r denotes a sum over the range $2^r \leq k < 2^{r+1}$. Note that in case $p = \infty$,

ces_∞ is only a particular case in [2]. Therefore, we consider only the case $1 < p < \infty$.

It is easy to check that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm on ces_p . Furthermore, ces_p endowed with this norm is, in fact, a Banach space. For, if $(x^{(i)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in ces_p , then, obviously, $(x^{(i)})$ is a Cauchy sequence in ces_∞ . Since ces_∞ is complete (see [3]), $x^{(i)} \rightarrow x \in \text{ces}_\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $\sup_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)} - x_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$).

Therefore, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\|_p < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \forall n, m \geq N$. Therefore, for every t ,

$$\sum_{r=0}^t \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}| \right)^p \leq \|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\|_p^p < \varepsilon.$$

Keeping n , and t fixed, let $m \rightarrow \infty$ then, we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^t \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \right)^p \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for } n \geq N. \quad (1)$$

Since this is true for any t , it follows that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \right)^p \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n \geq N. \quad (2)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)}| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)}| + \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)} - x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)}| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k^{(i)} - x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|x^{(i)}\|_p + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } n \geq N \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) show that $x^{(i)} \rightarrow x \in \text{ces}_p (i \rightarrow \infty)$. Hence, ces_p is a Banach space. Moreover, it is easy to check that, for any $1 < p < \infty$, $\|x\|_p \leq 2 \|x\|'_p$. By bounded inverse theorem (see [4, p.119]), $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|'_p$ are equivalent.

Finally, with regard to notation, the dual space of ces_p , i.e. the space of all continuous linear functionals on ces_p , will be denoted by ces_p^* and we write,

$$A_r(n) = \max_r |a_{n,k}|$$

where for each n the maximum is taken for k in $[2^r, 2^{r+1})$.

THEOREM 1. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $A \in (\text{ces}_p, l_\infty)$ if and only if $N(A) = \sup_n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r A_r(n))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$ where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

PROOF. Suppose $N(A) < \infty$. For each n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} x_k| &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_r |a_{n,k} x_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^r A_r(n) \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r A_r(n))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq N(A) \|x\|_p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $A \in (\text{ces}_p, l_\infty)$.

Conversely, suppose that $A \in (\text{ces}_p, l_\infty)$, then we have $\limsup_n |A_n(x)| < \infty$ for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$. Thus, for each n and each $r \geq 0$, the functional

$$f_{r,n}(x) = \sum_r a_{n,k} x_k$$

are in ces_p^* , since

$$|f_{r,n}(x)| \leq A_r(n) \sum_r |x_k| \leq 2^r A_r(n) \|x\|_p.$$

It follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem for Banach space (see, [4, p. 115])

that, for each n , $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^t f_{r,n}(x) = A_n(x)$ is in ces_p^* , whence

$$|A_n(x)| \leq \|A_n\| \|x\|_p. \tag{4}$$

For each n , we take any integer $t > 0$ and define $x \in \text{ces}_p$ by $x_k = 0$ for $k \geq 2^{t+1}$, and $x_{s(r)} = 2^{rq} |a_{n,s(r)}|^{q-1} \text{sgn } a_{n,s(r)}$, $x_k = 0 (k \neq s(r))$ for $0 \leq r \leq t$ where $s(r)$ is such that $|a_{n,s(r)}| = \max_r |a_{n,k}|$. By (4), we get

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^t 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right| \leq \|A_n\| \left(\sum_{r=0}^t 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

so that

$$\left(\sum_{r=0}^t 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|A_n\|.$$

Since this inequality is true for any integer $t > 0$, we have, for each n ,

$$\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|A_n\| < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we have

$$|A_n(x)| \leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|x\|_p \text{ for each } n.$$

This implies that

$$\|A_n\| \leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (6)$$

Together, (5) and (6) imply

$$\|A_n\| = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Since $\limsup_n |A_n(x)| < \infty$ for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$, applying the Banach-Steinhaus theorem again, we have

$$\sup_n \|A_n\| = \sup_n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$$

which proves the theorem.

We now characterize the class (ces_p, c) .

THEOREM 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $A \in (\text{ces}_p, c)$ if and only if*

(i) $a_{n,k} \rightarrow \alpha_k (n \rightarrow \infty, k \text{ fixed})$

(ii) $N(A) = \sup_n \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} A_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$.

PROOF. Suppose $A \in (\text{ces}_p, c)$. Then $A_n(x)$ exists for each $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(x)$ exists, for every $x \in \text{ces}_p$. Therefore, a similar argument to that in Theorem 1, we have the condition (ii). The condition (i) is obtained by taking $x = e_k \in \text{ces}_p$, where e_k is a sequence with 1 in the k^{th} place and zeros elsewhere.

On the other hand, suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Then a similar argument to that in Theorem 1, we get, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$|A_n(x)| < \infty \text{ for each } x \in \text{ces}_p.$$

Furthermore, the conditions (i) and (ii) imply

$$\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |\alpha_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq N(A) < \infty,$$

where the maximum is taken for k in $[2^r, 2^{r+1})$. By using the fact that $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (2^r \max_r |\alpha_k|)^q$ is bounded, it is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$ is absolutely convergent, for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$. Moreover, for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose an integer $m_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^p < \varepsilon^p.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2^{m_0}}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k| |x_k| &= \sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} \sum_r |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k| |x_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} 2^r B_r(n) \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} B_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^r} \sum_r |x_k| \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq M\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_r(n) = \max_k |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k|$$

and

$$M = \sup_n \left(\sum_{r=m_0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} B_r^q(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2N(A) < \infty.$$

It follows immediately that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$, for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$. This shows that $A \in (\text{ces}_p, c)$ which proves the theorem.

Using the methods of Theorems 1 and 2, we can easily determine the dual space ces_p^* of ces_p ($1 < p < \infty$), and also the norm of any element of ces_p^* .

THEOREM 3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then*

(i) $f \in \text{ces}_p^*$ if and only if there is a sequence $(a_k) \in \mu$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \tag{7}$$

and

$$\|f\| = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

where $\mu = \left\{ (a_k) : \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q < \infty \right\}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

(ii) ces_p^* is equivalent to μ .

PROOF. (i) As was shown in [1], for each $x \in \text{ces}_p$, we have $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k e_k$. Then, for every f in ces_p^* , we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k f(e_k). \quad (8)$$

Put $a_k = f(e_k)$. A similar argument to that in Theorem 1, we get

$$\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|f\| < \infty$$

i. e., $(a_k) \in \mu$. Furthermore, by (8),

$$\|f\| \leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

so that

$$\|f\| = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (9)$$

Thus, every f in ces_p^* can be written

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k a_k$$

with $\|f\|$ given by (9), where $(a_k) \in \mu$.

Conversely, for any given $(a_k) \in \mu$ and the representation (7), obviously, defines an element of ces_p^* , which proves the part (i).

Furthermore, it is easy to see that the representation (7) is unique. Hence, we can define a mapping $T: \text{ces}_p^* \rightarrow \mu$ by

$$T(f) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$$

where a_k appear from the representation in (7). By part (i), we have

$$\|T(f)\| = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} 2^{rq} (\max_r |a_k|)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|f\|.$$

Thus T is norm preserving. It is surjective by the 'sufficiency' part of (i). Finally, T is obviously linear. Thus, ces_p^* can be identified with, i. e., is isometrically isomorphic to, the space μ , which proves the part (ii).

University of Malaya,
Kuala Lumpur,
Malaysia.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.M. Leibowitz, *A note on the Cesàro sequence spaces*, Tamkang J. Math., 2 (1971), 151—157.
- [2] I.J. Maddox, *On Kuttner's theorem*, J. London Math. Soc., 43 (1968), 285 —290.
- [3] I.J. Maddox, *Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 1 (1969), 316—322.
- [4] I.J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1970.