

ARITHMETIC MEAN FUNCTION OF THE REAL PART OF
 ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES (II)

By J.S. Gupta and Shakti Bala

1. Let E be the set of mappings $f: C \rightarrow C$ (C is the complex plane) such that the image under f of a point $s \in C$ is $f(s) = \sum_{n \in N} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$ with $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$ (R_+ is the set of positive reals), and $\sigma_c^f = +\infty$ (σ_c^f is the abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series defining f); N is the set of natural numbers $0, 1, \dots$, $\langle a_n | n \in N \rangle$ is a sequence in C , $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma, t \in R$ (R is the field of reals, and $\langle \lambda_n | n \in N \rangle$ is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence of nonnegative reals. Since the Dirichlet series defining f converges for each $s \in C$, f is an entire function. Also, since $D \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$, we have ([1], p.168) $\sigma_a^f = +\infty$ (σ_a^f is the abscissa of absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series defining f) and that f is bounded on each vertical line $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma_0$.

Let

$$M(\sigma, f) = \sup_{t \in R} \{|f(\sigma + it)|\}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f,$$

be the maximum modulus of an entire function $f \in E$ on any vertical line $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$,

$$\mu(\sigma, f) = \max_{n \in N} \{|a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}\}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f,$$

be the maximum term, for $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$, in the Dirichlet series defining f , and

$$\nu(\sigma, f) = \max_{n \in N} \{n | \mu(\sigma, f) = |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}\}, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f,$$

be the rank of the maximum term.

The arithmetic mean function A and the generalized arithmetic mean function J_r of $\text{Re}(f)$ are defined [2], respectively, as

$$(1.1) \quad A(\sigma, f) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |\text{Re}(f(\sigma + it))| dt, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad J_r(\sigma, f) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{r\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |\text{Re}(f(x + it))| e^{rx} dx dt, \quad \forall \sigma < \sigma_c^f.$$

We shall denote by $J_{r,p}$, $\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (\mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of positive integers), the generalized arithmetic mean function of the real part of the p -th derivative $f^{(p)}$ of f .

In our earlier paper [2] we studied some properties of the functions A and J_r ; in this paper we study a few more properties of these functions.

2. THEOREM 1. For every entire function $f \in E$ of Ritt order $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \cup \{0\}$ (\mathbb{R}_+^* is the set of extended positive reals) and lower order $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \cup \{0\}$, if, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)} \sim \lambda_{\nu(\sigma+D+\varepsilon, f)}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}} \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}}.$$

PROOF. It is known ([2], Formula (2.7)) that

$$\mu(\sigma, f) \leq 4A(\sigma, f) \leq 4M(\sigma, f),$$

and ([3], p.68) that, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0(\varepsilon, f)$,

$$M(\sigma, f) < \mu(\sigma+D+\varepsilon, f).$$

Therefore, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0(\varepsilon, f)$,

$$\mu(\sigma, f) \leq 4A(\sigma, f) < 4\mu(\sigma+D+\varepsilon, f),$$

and hence

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup \log \mu(\sigma, f)}{\inf \lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}} = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sup \log A(\sigma, f)}{\inf \lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}}.$$

But ([4], p.87),

$$(2.3) \quad \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}} \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it, therefore, follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}} \leq \frac{1}{\rho} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}}.$$

Now, from (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(2.5) \quad J_r(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{e^{r\sigma}} \int_0^{\sigma} A(x, f) e^{rx} dx$$

$$(2.6) \quad \leq A(\sigma, f) \frac{1}{r} (1 - e^{-r\sigma}),$$

since ([2], Theorem 1) A is an increasing function of σ . Therefore

$$(2.7) \quad \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}} \leq \liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma, f)}}.$$

Also, from (2.5), for any $h \in R_+$,

$$J_r(\sigma+h, f) \geq \frac{1}{e^{r(\sigma+h)}} \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma+h} A(x, f) e^{rx} dx$$

$$\geq A(\sigma, f) \frac{1}{r} (1 - e^{-rh}),$$

and so

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)} \geq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)}.$$

(2.1) now follows from (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8).

THEOREM 2. For every entire function $f \in E$ of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+$ and lower order $\lambda \in R_+$,

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma \lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)} \leq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho},$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f) \log \lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\rho}.$$

PROOF. We have, from (2.6),

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma \lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)} \leq \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log A(\sigma, f)}{\sigma \lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)}$$

$$= \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma, f)}{\sigma \lambda_{\nu}(\sigma, f)}, \text{ in view of (2.2)}$$

$$\leq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho}, \text{ in view of ([5], Formula (4.2)).}$$

Thus (2.9) is established. Using ([5], Formula (4.11)), (2.10) can also be established similarly.

THEOREM 3. For every entire function $f \in E$, if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in R_+$ are such that $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \sigma_c^f$, then

$$(2.11) \quad A(\sigma_1, f) \leq r \left(\frac{e^{r\sigma_2} J_r(\sigma_2, f) - e^{r\sigma_1} J_r(\sigma_1, f)}{e^{r\sigma_2} - e^{r\sigma_1}} \right) \leq A(\sigma_2, f).$$

PROOF. We have, from (2.5),

$$J_r(\sigma_1, f) = \frac{1}{e^{r\sigma_1}} \int_0^{\sigma_1} A(x, f) e^{rx} dx,$$

and

$$J_r(\sigma_2, f) = \frac{1}{e^{r\sigma_2}} \int_0^{\sigma_2} A(x, f) e^{rx} dx.$$

Therefore

$$(2.12) \quad e^{r\sigma_2} J_r(\sigma_2, f) - e^{r\sigma_1} J_r(\sigma_1, f) = \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} A(x, f) e^{rx} dx.$$

From (2.12) it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad e^{r\sigma_2} J_r(\sigma_2, f) - e^{r\sigma_1} J_r(\sigma_1, f) \leq A(\sigma_2, f) \frac{1}{r} (e^{r\sigma_2} - e^{r\sigma_1}),$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad e^{r\sigma_2} J_r(\sigma_2, f) - e^{r\sigma_1} J_r(\sigma_1, f) \geq A(\sigma_1, f) \frac{1}{r} (e^{r\sigma_2} - e^{r\sigma_1}).$$

Combining (2.13) and (2.14), we get (2.11).

THEOREM 4. For every entire function $f \in E$, and sufficiently large σ ,

$$(2.15) \quad J_{r,1}(\sigma, f^{(1)}) \geq J_r(\sigma, f) \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma}.$$

PROOF. We have, from (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J_{r,1}(\sigma, f^{(1)}) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2Te^{r\sigma}} \int_0^{\sigma} \int_{-T}^T |\operatorname{Re}(f^{(1)}(x+it))| e^{rx} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2Te^{r\sigma}} \int_0^{\sigma} \int_{-T}^T \left| \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(f(x+it)) - \operatorname{Re}(f(x-h+it))}{h} \right| e^{rx} dx dt \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2Te^{r\sigma}} \int_0^{\sigma} \int_{-T}^T \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(f(x+it))| - |\operatorname{Re}(f(x-h+it))|}{h} e^{rx} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{2Te^{r\sigma}} \int_0^{\sigma} \int_{-T}^T \frac{|\operatorname{Re}(f(x+it))| - |\operatorname{Re}(f(x-h+it))|}{h} e^{rx} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{J_r(\sigma, f) - J_r(\sigma-h, f)}{h} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\phi(\sigma) = \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma};$$

then, for sufficiently large σ , ϕ is an increasing function of σ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{r,1}(\sigma, f) &\geq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{\sigma\phi(\sigma, f)} - e^{(\sigma-h)\phi(\sigma-h, f)}}{h} \right) \\
 &\geq \phi(\sigma, f) e^{\sigma\phi(\sigma, f)} \\
 &= \frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma} J_r(\sigma, f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result.

Following is an interesting corollary to the above theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *If f is of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+^* \cup \{0\}$ and lower order $\lambda \in R_+^* \cup \{0\}$, then*

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log(J_{r,1}(\sigma, f^{(1)})/J_r(\sigma, f))}{\sigma} \geq \rho \geq \lambda.$$

But if $\lambda \geq \sigma > 0$, then, for sufficiently large σ ,

$$(2.17) \quad J_r(\sigma, f) < J_{r,1}(\sigma, f^{(1)}) < \dots < J_{r,p}(\sigma, f^{(p)}) < \dots,$$

and

$$(2.18) \quad J_{r,p}(\sigma, f^{(p)}) > J_r(\sigma, f) \left(\frac{\log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma} \right)^p.$$

PROOF. The proof of (2.16) follows from (2.15) and the fact ([2], Theorem 2) that

$$(2.19) \quad \rho = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log \log J_r(\sigma, f)}{\sigma}.$$

In order to prove (2.17) we write (2.16) for the m -th derivative $f^{(m)}$ of f and get

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log(J_{r,m}(\sigma, f^{(m)})/J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)}))}{\sigma} \geq \rho.$$

Hence, for any $\varepsilon \in R_+$ and sufficiently large σ ,

$$J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)}) e^{\sigma(\lambda-\varepsilon)} < J_{r,m}(\sigma, f^{(m)}).$$

Since $\lambda \geq \sigma > 0$ and ε is arbitrary, it follows that, for sufficiently large σ ,

$$J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)}) < J_{r,m}(\sigma, f^{(m)}).$$

Giving m the values 1, 2, ..., p , ..., and combining the resulting inequalities we get (2.17).

Finally, for the proof of (2.18), we write (2.15) for the m -th derivative obtaining, for sufficiently large σ ,

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{J_{r,m}(\sigma, f^{(m)})}{J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)})} \geq \frac{\log J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)})}{\sigma}.$$

Putting $m=1, 2, \dots, p$ in (2.20) and multiplying the p inequalities thus obtained we get, for sufficiently large σ .

$$\frac{J_{r,p}(\sigma, f^{(p)})}{J_r(\sigma, f)} \geq \frac{\prod_{m=1}^p \log J_{r,m-1}(\sigma, f^{(m-1)})}{\sigma^p},$$

which in view of (2.17), gives (2.18).

The next corollary is immediate from (2.18) and (2.19).

COROLLARY 2. For every entire function $f \in E$ of Ritt order $\rho \in R_+$ and lower order $\lambda \in R_+$ such that $\lambda \geq \delta > 0$,

$$(2.21) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log (J_{r,p}(\sigma, f^{(p)})/J_r(\sigma, f))^{1/p}}{\sigma} \geq \lambda, \quad \forall p \in Z_+.$$

University of Jammu,
Jammu, J & K,
India.

Government College for Women
and Gandhi Nagar, Jammu J & K,
India

REFERENCES

- [1] Mandelbrojt, S., *The Rice Institute Pamphlet (Dirichlet series)*, Vol. 31, No. 4, Houston, 1944.
- [2] Gupta, J.S. and Bala, Shakti, *Arithmetic mean function of entire Dirichlet series (I)*. Communicated.
- [3] Yu, C.Y., *Sur les droites de Borel de certaines fonctions entières*, Ann. Sci. l'École Norm. Sup., 68 (1951), 65—104.
- [4] Srivastav, R.P., *On the entire functions and their derivatives represented by Dirichlet series*, Ganita, 9 (1958), 83—93.
- [5] Gupta, J.S., *A note on the maximum term of an entire Dirichlet series*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 10(1963), 53—57.