

ON QUASI-COMPLETE SPACES

By Mun-Gu Sohn and Bong Dae Choi

G. D. Creede [1] introduced quasi-complete spaces in connection with problems of metrizability and raised the open question whether all quasi-complete spaces are $w\Delta$ -spaces. In [2], example 1.11 exhibits a p -space which is not $w\Delta$ -space. Since p -spaces are quasi-complete spaces, this shows that a quasi-complete need not be $w\Delta$ -space.

In this paper, we give a simple characterization of quasi-complete space, and we show that $w\Delta$ -spaces and quasi-complete spaces are equivalent in the class of a completely regular θ -refinable spaces.

All topological spaces are assumed to be T_1 . The set of positive integers is denoted by N .

DEFINITION 1. [1]. A T_1 -space X is said to be *quasi-complete* provided that there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X with the following property; if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of X and if there exists an element $x_0 \in X$ such that, for each n , there is a $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $A_n \cup \{x_0\} \subset B_n$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq \phi$.

DEFINITION 2. [3]. A T_1 -space X is a *w\Delta-space* if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X such that, if $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of X and there exists $x_0 \in X$ for which $A_n \subset St(x_0, \mathcal{G}_n)$ for all n , then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \neq \phi$.

A sequence $\{A_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of subsets of X , with $x \in A_n(x)$ for each $n \in N$, is called an *x-sequence* if $x_n \in A_n(x)$ implies that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point in X .

We shall give a simple characterization of quasi-complete which will be used frequently.

THEOREM 1. *X is quasi-complete if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X such that, for each $x \in X$ and $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $x \in B_n$, a sequence $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an x -sequence.*

PROOF. Let $x \in X$ and $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $x \in B_n$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, take $x_k \in \bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n$. If $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has no cluster point in X , we let $A_k = \{x_n \mid n \geq k\}$, then $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of X and $A_k \cup \{x\} \subset B_k$. Since X is quasi-complete, we have $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \neq \emptyset$. But $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = \emptyset$, since $\{x_k\}$ has no cluster point in X .

To prove the converse, let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed subsets of X and there exist $x \in X$ such that, for each n , $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $A_n \cup \{x\} \subset B_n$. Assume $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$. Since $A_k \neq \emptyset$, we can find $x_k \in A_k$ for each k . Then $x_k \in A_k \cup \{x\} \subset B_k$. Therefore $x_k \in \bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n$ for each k , since $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence. We show that $\{x_k\}$ has no cluster point in X . For each $p \in X$, since $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$ and $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$, there exists integer N such that $p \notin A_n$ for any $n \geq N$. Then \mathcal{G}_N is open set containing p and $x_n \notin \mathcal{G}_N$ for any $n \geq N$. Thus p is not cluster point of sequence $\{x_k\}$.

The following lemma was proved in [2].

LEMMA 2. *A completely regular space X is a p -space if and only if there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open covers of X satisfying;*

- (a) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{G}_n$ is compact.
- (b) $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k \bar{G}_n \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an x -sequence.

Using a proof analogous to one given by Burke for Theorem 1.4 of [2], we have the following.

LEMMA 3. *A completely regular quasi-complete space X is a p -space if every closed countably compact subset of X is compact.*

PROOF. Let $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of open covers of X such that, for each

$x \in X$ and $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ with $x \in B_n$, sequence $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n \mid k \in N\}$ is an x -sequence. Since X is completely regular space, for each n , let \mathcal{U}_n be open cover of X such that $\{\bar{G} \mid G \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ refines \mathcal{G}_n . We show that $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ is a sequence of open covers of X satisfying the condition of Lemma 2. Let $x \in X$ and $G_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ with $x \in G_n$, we find $B_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that $\bar{G}_n \subset B_n$. So $\bigcap_{n=1}^k \bar{G}_n \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n$. Thus $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k \bar{G}_n \mid k \in N\}$ is x -sequence. Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be any sequence in $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bar{G}_n$, then $x_k \in \bigcap_{n=1}^k \bar{G}_n$ for each k . Since $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k \bar{G}_n \mid k \in N\}$ is x -sequence, $\{x_k\}$ has a cluster point in $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bar{G}_n$. So $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \bar{G}_n$ is closed countable compact. By hypothesis and Lemma 2, X is p -space.

A space X is said to be θ -refinable [4] if, for every open covering \mathcal{U} of X , there is a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of open refinements of \mathcal{U} such that, if $x \in X$, there is $m(x) \in N$ such that x is in at most a finite number of elements of $\mathcal{U}_{m(x)}$.

Burke [2] showed that p -spaces, $w\Delta$ -spaces and strict p -spaces are equivalent in the class of completely regular θ -refinable spaces.

Moreover we can add quasi-complete among the above equivalent conditions.

THEOREM 4. *For a completely regular θ -refinable space X , the following conditions are equivalent;*

- (1) X is p -space,
- (2) X is strict p -space,
- (3) X is $w\Delta$ -space,
- (4) X is quasi-complete.

PROOF. (4) \Rightarrow (1) is clear from Lemma 3, since closed countably compact subsets are compact in a θ -refinable space [4].

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is clear from definition.

THEOREM 5. X_i is quasi-complete if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^\infty X_i$ is quasi-complete.

PROOF. if; It is clear from the fact that closed subspace of quasi-complete is quasi-complete.

Only if; For each i , let $\{\mathcal{G}_n^i\}$ be a sequence of open covers of X_i such that, for each $x \in X_i$ and $B_n^i \in \mathcal{G}_n^i$ with $x \in B_n^i$, sequence $\{\bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n^i \mid k \in N\}$ is x -sequence.

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{U}_1 = \{\langle G_1^1 \rangle \mid G_1^1 \in \mathcal{G}_1^1\}, \quad \mathcal{U}_2 = \{\langle G_1^2 \rangle \mid G_1^2 \in \mathcal{G}_1^2\}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_2 = \{ \langle G_2^1 \rangle \mid G_2^1 \in \mathcal{G}_2^1 \}, \quad \mathcal{U}_3 = \{ \langle G_1^3 \rangle \mid G_1^3 \in \mathcal{G}_1^3 \}$$

.....

Then $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of open covers of $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.

Kyungpook University

REFERENCES

- [1] G. D. Creede, *Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970) 47-54.
- [2] D. K. Burke, *On p -spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 35(1970) 285-296.
- [3] Carlos J.R. Borges, *On metrizability of topological spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968) 795-804.
- [4] J.M. Worrell, Jr., and H.H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 820-830.
- [5] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Babon, Boston, Mass., 1966.