

ON A SPACE OF CONSTANT CURVATURE WITH (f, g, u, v, λ) -STRUCTURE

By U-Hang Ki and Jin Suk Pak

§ 1. Introduction

In previous paper [3], the present authors proved the theorem;

THEOREM 0.1. *Let M be a complete quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure satisfying one of the following conditions;*

$$\mathcal{L}_U g = 2\alpha\lambda g, \quad du = 2\beta\omega,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_V g = 2\gamma\lambda g, \quad dv = 2\delta\omega,$$

α, β, γ and δ being non-zero functions and \mathcal{L}_U denoting the operator of Lie differentiation with respect to the vector field U . If $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero function and $\dim M > 2$, then M is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere S^{2n} .

The main purpose of the present paper is to prove the following theorem;

THEOREM A. *Let M ($\dim M > 2$) be a complete Riemannian space of constant curvature with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure. If M satisfies*

$$(0.1) \quad \nabla_j v_i = \phi f_{ji},$$

ϕ being non-zero differentiable function, and $\lambda(1-\lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero function, then M is isometric with an even-dimensional sphere.

§ 2. A space of constant curvature with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure

Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ with an (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure, that is, a tensor field f of type $(1,1)$, a positive definite Riemannian metric g , two 1-forms u_i and v_i (or two vector fields associated with them), and a function λ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad f_j^t f_t^h = -\delta_j^h + u_j u^h + v_j v^h,$$

$$(2.2) \quad f_t^i u^t = -\lambda v^i, \quad u_t f_j^t = \lambda v_j,$$

$$(2.3) \quad f_t^i v^t = \lambda u^i, \quad v_t f_j^t = -\lambda u_j.$$

$$(2.4) \quad u_i u^t = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad u_i v^t = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad v_i v^t = 1 - \lambda^2, \quad v_i u^t = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad g_{ts} f_j^t f_i^s = g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i.$$

We put

$$(2.7) \quad S_{ji}^h = f_j^t \nabla_t f_i^h - f_i^t \nabla_t f_j^h - (\nabla_j f_i^t - \nabla_i f_j^t) f_t^h + u_{ji} u^h + v_{ji} v^h,$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad u_{ji} = \nabla_j u_i - \nabla_i u_j, \quad v_{ji} = \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j,$$

∇_j denoting the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection. If the tensor S_{ji}^h vanishes, the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is said to be normal [1].

Transvecting (2.7) with v_h and using (2.1)~(2.5), we can prove

$$(2.9) \quad S_{ji}^h v_h = v_{ji} - f_j^t f_i^s v_{ts} - \lambda(f_j^t u_{ti} - f_i^t u_{tj}) \\ - (f_j^t u_i - f_i^t u_j) \nabla_t \lambda + \lambda[(\nabla_j \lambda) v_i - (\nabla_i \lambda) v_j].$$

We now put

$$(2.10) \quad f_{jih} = \nabla_j f_{ih} + \nabla_i f_{hj} + \nabla_h f_{ji}.$$

If $S_{ji}^h - (f_j^t f_{ti}^h - f_i^t f_{tj}^h) = 0$, then the structure is said to be quasi-normal [2].

Moreover, if a space has the curvature tensor of the form

$$(2.11) \quad R_{kjih} = c(g_{kh} g_{ji} - g_{ki} g_{jh}) \quad (c = \text{constant}),$$

then it is said to be a space of constant curvature.

In the sequel we assume $\lambda(1 - \lambda^2)$ is almost everywhere non-zero function on M .

§3. Proof of Theorem A

Let M be a Riemannian space of constant curvature with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure. If M satisfies (0.1), then by transvecting (0.1) with v^i we find

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_j \lambda = \phi u_j.$$

Differentiating (3.1) covariantly, we get

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j \lambda = (\nabla_k \phi) u_j + \phi \nabla_k u_j,$$

from which,

$$(3.2) \quad 0 = (\nabla_k \phi) u_j - (\nabla_j \phi) u_k + \phi (\nabla_k u_j - \nabla_j u_k).$$

We have from (0.1)

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_i v_j = 2\phi f_{ji},$$

which implies

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = (\nabla_k \phi) f_{ji} + (\nabla_j \phi) f_{ik} + (\nabla_i \phi) f_{kj} + \phi f_{kji}.$$

Since $\nabla_k \nabla_j v_i = (\nabla_k \phi) f_{ji} + \phi \nabla_k f_{ji}$, we obtain

$$\nabla_k \nabla_j v_i - \nabla_j \nabla_k v_i = (\nabla_k \phi) f_{ji} - (\nabla_j \phi) f_{ki} + \phi (\nabla_k f_{ji} - \nabla_j f_{ki}),$$

from which, using (2.10), (2.11) and (3.4),

$$(3.5) \quad -c(v_k g_{ji} - v_j g_{ki}) = -(\nabla_i \phi) f_{kj} - \phi \nabla_i f_{kj}.$$

Transvecting (3.5) with f^{kj} and taking account of (2.1) and (2.3), we find

$$-c(\lambda u_i + \lambda u_i) = -(\nabla_i \phi) \{2n - 2(1 - \lambda^2)\} - \phi \nabla_i \{n - (1 - \lambda^2)\},$$

or, using (3.1),

$$(3.6) \quad \{n - (1 - \lambda^2)\} \nabla_i \phi = -\lambda(\phi^2 - c)u_i.$$

Using (3.2) and (3.6) and taking account of $n - (1 - \lambda^2) \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \phi(\nabla_k u_j - \nabla_j u_k) = 0.$$

Owing to (2.7), (3.3), (3.5) and (3.7), we find

$$(3.8) \quad \phi S_{kji} = -f_k^t (\nabla_t \phi) f_{ji} + f_j^t (\nabla_t \phi) f_{ki} + (\nabla_k \phi) (-g_{ji} + u_j u_i + v_j v_i) \\ - (\nabla_j \phi) (-g_{ki} + u_k u_i + v_k v_i) + 2(\phi^2 - c) f_{kj} v_i.$$

Transvecting (3.8) with v^i and using (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$(3.9) \quad \phi S_{kji} v^t = \lambda f_k^t (\nabla_t \phi) u_j - \lambda f_j^t (\nabla_t \phi) u_k \\ - \lambda^2 (\nabla_k \phi) v_j + \lambda^2 (\nabla_j \phi) v_k + 2(\phi^2 - c)(1 - \lambda^2) f_{kj}.$$

Multiplying (2.9) by ϕ and substituting (3.1), (3.3) and (3.7) in the equation obtained, we have $\phi S_{kji} v^t = 0$. Thus, from (3.6) and (3.9), we get

$$0 = -\lambda^3 (\phi^2 - c) u_k v_j + \lambda^3 (\phi^2 - c) u_j v_k \\ + (1 - \lambda^2) \{n - (1 - \lambda^2)\} (\phi^2 - c) f_{jk},$$

from which, transvecting $u^j v^k$,

$$(3.10) \quad \phi^2 - c = 0,$$

which means that ϕ is constant. Consequently (3.4) and (3.8) can be respectively written as $f_{kji} = 0$ and $S_{ji}^h = 0$. Hence our structure is quasi-normal. Combining Theorem 0.1, we get the result.

Kyungpook University

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Yano, K., and M. Okumura, *On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures*. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 22 (1970), 401—423.
- [2] Yano, K., and U-*Hang* Ki, *On quasi-normal (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures*. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 24 (1972), 106—120.
- [3] Ki, U-*Hang* and Jin Suk Pak, *On certain (f, g, u, v, λ) -structures*. to appear.