

Biological Evaluation of Korean Medicinal Plants

Won Sick Woo, Kuk Hyun Shin, and Young Myung Kwon*

(Received May 25, 1972)

Abstract—Alcohol extracts of 70 Korean medicinal plants have been tested for their biological activity. Most of the extracts had slight activity only. Some extracts had more pronounced activity. The results are tabulated.

A number of plants of Korea are still used as medicine by the local people. Several phytochemical surveys have been published recently¹⁻⁷⁾, however, the medical potentialities of many of these plants have not been fully explored.

In this report, we describe on preliminary biological screening carried out on crude extracts derived primarily from a random selection of commonly occurring native plants.

This survey comprises 70 species, belonging to 61 genera and 35 families, which have been tested for antitumor activity against three different neoplasms *in vivo*, for cytotoxic activity in cell culture, for antimicrobial activity *in vitro*, and for the effect of each extract in a general rat behavior evaluation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Plant Extracts—Freshly collected, botanically identified plant materials were dried, crushed coarsely and then extracted three times with 95% ethanol at room temperature. The combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure in a water bath of 40° or less to a volume of about 200 ml, defatted extracting with n-hexane and the resulting ethanolic layers were evaporated to dryness. The dried residues were used for the test samples as suspensions in 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) unless otherwise indicated.

Acute Toxicity—The extracts were injected intraperitoneally into two albino mice weighing 18-25g and the minimum dose which killed both the animals within 24hr, was used to give a measure of the toxicity.

*Natural Products Research Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea.

Antitumor Activity—ddD-Mice, weighing 18–25g were used. 0.2 ml of cell suspension in 0.9% saline-glucose solution containing 10^7 ascites tumor cells of either Leukemia SN 36, Ehrlich ascites carcinoma or Sarcoma 180 was transplanted intraperitoneally into each mouse. The control group of animals in a given experiment consisted of 14 mice and the experimental group, 7 mice. The materials to be tested were administered once a day by intraperitoneal injection, commencing the day after tumor transplantation, until the test animal died, the control group was received only 0.5% CMC.

The mean survival time of each group was calculated according to the formula indicated in the protocols of the Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Center.⁸⁾

An increase in survival time of 25%, or more, compared to that of the control, was taken as being significant. In order to establish initial results as valid, all extracts which produced 25% or greater prolongation of survival time, were retested twice. Extracts which failed to give greater than a 25% activity in both retests were considered a negative, with respect to anti-tumor activity.

Cytotoxic Activity—Assay was performed by the monolayer culture method against HeLa-S3 strain. Cells were inoculated into YLE (yeast extract, lactalbuminhydrolyzate and Earle's balanced salt solution) medium supplemented by 20% bovine serum and then incubated at 37° until the monolayer of cell sheets was formed. Fully grown cells were removed from the culture bottle with trypsin-versene solution and resuspended in complete medium. After 3 ml of cell suspension (10^6 cells/ml) were incubated in a series of 15ml screwcap tubes, at 37° for 24hr, the original medium was removed and 3ml of fresh medium containing the extract were added. All samples were tested in serial tenfold dilutions resulting in final concentrations of 3 to 300 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of medium. After incubation for 72hr, growth rate of cells was calculated from protein content which was measured by the method of Oyama and Eagle⁹⁾ using bovine serum albumin as a reference. The extracts showing greater than 50% inhibition of cell growth at the concentration of 30 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ of medium, were considered active, and retested twice in order to establish the validity of the initial test results.

Antimicrobial Activity—The extracts were tested for the inhibitory effect on the growth of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*, using filter paper disk method. Nutrient broth agar was employed for the culture medium. All extracts tested were dissolved in 70% ethanol. Disks (Toyo filter paper No. 50, 6mm in diameter), moistened with known amounts of samples were dried in room temperature aseptically and placed on the inoculated agar plate. Following incubation at 37° for 24hr, the radius of zone of inhibition by test material was measured in millimeters. Extracts showing any degree of inhibition by visual inspection at the amount of 500 $\mu\text{g/disk}$ or less were considered rated active.

Animal Behavior—A hypocratic screening method described by Malone, *et al.*¹⁰⁾ was applied. Two untrained non-fasted albino-rats (both sexes) in the weight range of 150–210g were administered with extracts intraperitoneally and placed in a bottomless observation

rink (65×54×8cm). The behavior of the rats in this unfamiliar environment was observed by rating procedures and recorded on the standardised word sheet of 30 symptoms. The extracts that showed pronounced activities similar to those of standard compounds, were considered active.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The biological screening results were tabulated in Table I. Of these extracts tested, several extracts gave initial results suggestive of antitumor activity, however, subsequent replicate retests failed to confirm the initial activity. Although nine extracts showed initial results of cytotoxic activity, only two extracts, *Eupatorium lindleyanum* and *Convallaria keiskei*, gave positive results on replicate testing. It was interesting that *Pueraria thunbergii* and *Ampelopsis brevipedunculata* were found to cause detachment of cell layer from the culture bottle.

The antimicrobial screening has uncovered that several plant extracts have some degrees of activity. *Artemisia capillaris* and *Crysanthemum lavendulaefolium* look most promising as containing potent antimicrobial substances.

In rat behavior evaluations, 55 extracts exhibited a number of different activities. Autonomic activities were shown in 27 kinds of the extracts. Nine of them, such as *Celosia cristata*, *Alnus japonica*, *Albizzia julibrissin*, *Pueraria thunbergii*, *Sohpora angustifolia*, *Sanguisorba officinalis*, *Picrasma ailanthoides*, *Lycium chinense* and *Styrax japonica* seem worthy of further investigations. Autonomic activities with CNS depressant activities were shown in 17 extracts, out of which *Akeiba quinata*, *Lithospermum erythrorhizon*, *Elaeagnus crispa var. typica*, *Actaea spicata var. nigra*, *Styrax japonica*, *Artemisia viridissima* and *Carthamus tinctorius* showed activities in non-toxic doses. Autonomic and CNS stimulant activities were shown in 4 extracts and autonomic, CNS stimulant and CNS depressant activities in 3 extracts.

From only these results, it is too early to say that biological properties of plants which have a local reputation as medicinals are fully explored. It is possible that ethanol-insoluble active substances are present in a plant and are not extracted by procedure utilized. Volatile, biologically active substances would also not be detected in this screening procedure since the alcohol extracts were concentrated *in vacuo*. Further, the defatting of ethanol-soluble extractives with n-hexane could remove some biologically active principles. The possibility of antagonistic effects due to the heterogeneous character of constituents in the extracts should also be considered. However, the selection of plants for detailed chemical and biological studies would be guided by these results.

Table I—Results from the biological screening of plant extracts.

Plant names	Date coll.	Plant ^{a)} part	Antitumor activity				Antibacterial activity ^{e)}		Rat behavior		Acute toxicity mg/kg, ip			
			S-180 Dose mg/kg	SN36 Dose mg/kg	Ehrlich Dose mg/kg	HeLa T/C	<i>St. aureus</i> T/C	<i>E. coli</i> In	Activity ^{g)}	Dose range mg/kg				
Amarantaceae														
<i>Celosia cristata</i>	10/69	wp.	150	121.6	150	85.0	150	109.2	81.0	In ^{b)}	0.1	My, Rd	300-800	1000
Araceae														
<i>Arisaema robustum</i>	10/69	wp.	500	104.2	500	96.5	500	120.1	93.0	In	In	Rd	500-1000	1000<
Araliaceae														
<i>Aralia cordata</i>	10/70	rt.	500	100.0	500	85.0	500	92.0	117.0	0.5	In	i	500-1000	1000<
<i>Tetrapanax papyriferum</i>	10/70	br.	500	109.9	500	134.8 ^{c)}	500	113.0	112.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<
Betulaceae														
<i>Alnus japonica</i>	11/69	fr.	75	86.6	75	112.5	75	114.5	103.0	0.1	5	My, Rd	300-800	1000<
Borraginaceae														
<i>Lithospermum erythrorhizon</i>	11/69	rt.	150	96.3	150	109.0	150	87.5	71.0	In	0.5	My, Pp, Rd	300-800	1000
Caprifoliaceae														
<i>Viburnum sargentii f. intermedium</i>	10/70	wp.	1000	74.0	1000	97.0	1,000	101.6	97.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<
Chenopodiaceae														
<i>Kochia scoparia</i>	9/70	lv.	500	90.1	500	107.5	500	88.2	90.0	In	In	Riw	500-1000	1000<
Commeliaceae														
<i>Commelia communis</i>	9/69	wp.	500	100.7	500	111.7	500	96.0	78.0	In	In	My, Rd, SGIw	500-1000	1000<
Compositae														
<i>Artemisia capillaris</i>	9/69	wp.	300	114.2	300	96.0	300	104.4	98.0	0.1	0.1	i	500-1000	1000<
<i>Artemisia viridissima</i>	10/69	lv.	500	97.5	500	122.8	500	101.3	87.0	5	0.5	Dh, Ppw	300-800	1000
<i>Aster spathulifolius</i>	10/70	wp.	500	120.0	—	—	500	74.5	81.0	In	In	Riw	500-1000	1000<
<i>Carthamus tinctorius</i>	10/70	fl.	500	104.2	500	96.8	500	96.3	62.0	0.5	In	Dh, My, Tr	300-500	1000<

<i>Crysanthemum lavendulaefolium</i>	10/69	wp.	500	122.2	500	113.6	500	109.0	105.0	0.1	0.1	Mi, Rd	500-1000	1000<
<i>Eupatorium lindleyanum</i>	5/70	wp.	500	82.8	500	80.8	500	118.0	40.0	In	In	—	—	1000<
<i>Iseris dentata</i>	5/70	wp.	1000	89.2	1000	86.6	1000	111.1	101.0	In	In	Ag, Mi, MAdw	500-1000	1000<
<i>Petasites japonicus</i>	11/69	fl.	300	90.8	300	129.0 ^{c)}	300	85.0	91.0	0.5	In	Rd	500-1000	1000<
<i>Siegesbeckia pubescens</i>	10/69	lv.	150	90.3	150	85.0	150	100.8	84.0	In	In	Mi, Pp, Rd	500-1000	1000<
<i>Siphonostegia chinensis</i>	10/70	wp.	1000	117.6	1000	88.4	1000	99.2	106.0	In	0.1	i	500-1000	1000<
<i>Syneilesis palmata</i>	10/69	wp.	300	85.0	300	93.7	300	86.4	80.0	5	In	Rdw	500-1000	1000<
<i>Xanthium strumarium</i> var. <i>japonicum</i>	11/69	sd.	150	97.7	150	117.0	150	92.7	28.0 ^{c)}	In	In	My, Pp, Rd	500-1000	1000<
Elaeagnaceae														
<i>Elaeagnus crispata</i> var. <i>typica</i>	10/69	st.	500	111.0	500	100.3	500	119.9	90.0	In	In	Mi, Rd	300-800	1000<
Euphorbiaceae														
<i>Galarhaeus esula</i>	8/70	wp.	300	115.0	300	65.1	300	122.5	135.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<
Hyperaceae														
<i>Hypericum ascyon</i> var. <i>genuinum</i>	8/68	wp.	500	96.4	500	98.4	500	113.0	105.0	In	0.5	My	500-1000	1000<
Juglandaceae														
<i>Platycarya strobilacea</i>	10/69	lv.	150	100.0	150	98.5	150	113.0	45.0 ^{c)}	In	In	My, Rd	500-1000	1000<
Labiatae														
<i>Amethystanthus excisus</i>	9/70	wp.	1000	93.6	1000	102.7	1000	94.0	105.0	In	In	AG, Rdw	500-1000	1000<
<i>Melampyrum setaceum</i> var. <i>genuinum</i>	9/71	wp.	—	—	500	77.7	500	90.3	100.0	In	In	My, Slw, Trw	500-1000	1000<
<i>Nepeta cataria</i>	9/69	wp.	300	88.4	300	100.9	300	93.2	44.0 ^{c)}	0.5	In	My	500-1000	1000<
<i>Perilla frutescens</i> var. <i>acuta</i>	9/69	wp.	500	74.6	500	82.2	500	76.6	96.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<
<i>Teucrium japonicum</i>	9/69	wp.	500	103.0	500	89.6	500	101.8	104.0	—	—	My, Rd, Tr	500-1000	1000<
Lardizabalaceae														
<i>Akebia quinata</i>	10/66	lv.	150	94.3	150	91.3	150	112.8	108.0	In	0.5	My, Pp, Rdw	300-800	1000<
Leguminosae														
<i>Aeschynomene indica</i>	10/70	wp.	1000	117.6	1000	94.1	1000	90.3	119.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<
<i>Albizia julibrissin</i>	10/69	lv.	50	91.1	50	94.9	50	98.1	78.0	In	In	Rdw, Hpw	300-800	1000<

Table I—Continued.

Plant names	Date coll.	Plant ^{a)} part	Antitumor activity				Antibacterial activity ^{e)}		Rat behavior		Acute toxicity mg/kg, ip	
			S-180 Dose mg/kg	SN-36 Dose mg/kg	Ehrlich Dose mg/kg	HeLa T/C	<i>St. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	Activity ^{s)}	Dose range mg/kg		
<i>Gleditsia officinalis</i>	10/69	fr.	500 93.7	500 104.0	500 92.9	78.0	In	In	AG, Mi, Rd	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Lespedeza bicolar var. typica</i>	10/71	wp.	500 97.7	500 68.0	500 114.8	80.0	In	In	Mi, Rdw	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i>	9/71	iv.	300 102.5	300 97.8	300 122.5	91.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Lespedeza cyrtobotrya</i>	10/70	iv.	1000 102.5	1000 92.0	1000 103.5	94.0	0.1	In	My, Rdw	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Lespedeza maximowicz</i>	10/70	wp.	500 99.5	500 93.3	500 101.0	85.0	5	In	Mi, Rd	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Pueraria thunbergii</i>	10/69	sd.	300 92.6	300 134.5 ^{e)}	300 89.7	82.0	0.5	In	i	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Pueraria thunbergii</i>	10/69	rt.	300 92.1	300 91.9	300 100.8	ND ^{b)}	0.5	In	My, AGw, Rdw	300-800	1000<	
<i>Sophora angustifolia</i>	9/69	rt.	300 97.6	300 95.7	300 98.6	62.0	0.5	0.1	Rd	300-800	1000<	
Liliaceae												
<i>Convallaria keiskei</i>	5/69	wp.	300 123.0	300 120.5	300 122.8	47.0	0.5	5	Hpw, NAd	500-1000	1000<	
Menispermaceae												
<i>Cocculus trilobus</i>	10/71	wp.	—	—	300 111.6	86.3	82.0	In	5	My	500-1000	1000<
Nyctaginaceae												
<i>Mirabilis jalapa</i>	10/69	wp.	500 118.5	500 121.5	500 112.0	103.0	In	In	Mi, Rdw	500-1000	1000<	
Orchidaceae												
<i>Gastrodia elata</i>	8/69	rt.	1000 116.7	150 133.9 ^{e)}	1000 112.0	110.0	In	In	Rd	500-1000	1000<	
Phyllanthaceae												
<i>Securinega suffruticosa</i>	10/70	iv.	1000 107.2	500 136.5 ^{e)}	1000 99.0	102.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<	
Polygonaceae												
<i>Persicaria flaccida</i>	9/69	wp.	500 97.0	500 105.9	500 89.7	73.0	In	In	My, Rdw	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Persicaria thunbergii var. coreana</i>	9/69	wp.	500 85.7	500 85.5	500 97.6	100.0	In	5	Mi, Ppw, Rd	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Persicaria viscosa</i>	6/70	wp.	1000 97.9	1000 89.3	1000 85.5	97.0	In	In	i	500-1000	1000<	
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i>	6/69	wp.	300 97.0	300 126.1 ^{e)}	300 94.8	41.0 ^{e)}	In	In	Pw, Rd	500-1000	1000<	
Polyodiaceae												
<i>Pteridium aquilinum var. glabrum</i>	9/69	wp.	500 106.3	500 126.2	500 104.4	100.0	In	In	My, Rd, Tr	500-1000	1000<	

Primulaceae																					
<i>Lysimachia barystachys</i>	8/70	wp.	300	125.0	360	101.8	300	110.3	91.0	5	0.1	MAd, My	500-1000	1000	<						
Ranunculaceae																					
<i>Actaea spicata</i> var. <i>nigra</i>	9/69	rt.	150	101.0	150	113.2	150	100.8	45.0 ^{e)}	In	In	Mi, Pw, MAd, Mi	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Clematis apifolia</i>	6/69	wp.	150	102.8	150	95.1	150	106.2	103.0	In	5	Rd	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Clematis tubulosa</i>	9/70	lv.	500	85.8	500	86.3	500	110.5	98.0	0.5	In	i	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Lycocotium longicaissidatum</i>	8/70	wp.	75	123.7	75	101.8	75	109.4	119.0	In	5	AG, MAiw, Tr	30-100	150	<						
Rhammaceae																					
<i>Rhamnus davurica</i>	9/70	lv.	500	93.3	560	105.2	500	101.8	109.0	--	--	i	500-1000	1000	<						
Rosaceae																					
<i>Agrimonia pilosa</i> var. <i>japonica</i>	8/69	wp.	500	104.0	500	96.0	500	123.9	78.0	In	In	Mi	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	10/69	rt.	500	85.7	500	109.8	500	97.9	96.0	0.5	5	My, Rdw	300-800	1000	<						
Rutaceae																					
<i>Zanthoxylum piperitum</i>	9/69	sd.	500	91.4	500	70.9	500	89.7	24.0 ^{e)}	In	0.5	My, MAiw	500-1000	1000	<						
Scrophulariaceae																					
<i>Melampyrum roseum</i> var. <i>typicum</i>	10/70	wp.	1000	116.5	1000	100.2	1000	124.0	89.0	In	In	Mi	500-1000	1000	<						
Simarubraceae																					
<i>Picrasma ailanthoides</i>	10/70	lv.	150	90.9	150	91.3	150	85.4	101.0	In	In	Rdw	300-800	1000	<						
Solanaceae																					
<i>Lycium chinense</i>	8/69	lv.	300	101.0	300	83.0	300	89.3	69.0	In	0.1	My, AG, Rdw	300-800	1000	<						
<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	8/69	wp.	1000	101.0	1000	86.4	1000	97.3	74.0	In	5	My, Rdw	500-1000	1000	<						
Styracaceae																					
<i>Styrax japonica</i>	10/70	sd.	150	97.0	150	100.9	150	87.2	88.0	5	In	My, Rdw	300-800	1000	<						
Umbelliferae																					
<i>Cnidium officinale</i>	10/69	rt.	150	92.8	--	--	150	105.3	69.0	In	5	MAi, My, SGI	500-1000	1000	<						
Verbenaceae																					
<i>Clerodendron trichotomum</i>	8/69	lv.	500	133.8 ^{e)}	300	120.0	300	135.0	25.0 ^{e)}	In	In	My, Rdw, Tr	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Vitex rotundifolia</i>	9/71	wp.	1000	101.0	1000	86.6	1000	117.7	90.0	In	5	My	500-1000	1000	<						
Vitaceae																					
<i>Ampelopsis brevipedunculata</i>	9/70	lv.	750	86.1	750	86.4	750	99.0	ND ^{d)}	In	0.5	Mi, Cvw	500-1000	1000	<						
<i>Vitis amurensis</i>	9/69	lv.	500	96.9	500	109.0	500	103.2	102.0	5	In	My, Rdw	300-800	1000	<						

-
- a) wp, whole plant; lv, leaves; sd, seeds; rt, roots; fl, flowers; st, stalks; br, barks; fr, fruits.
- b) T/C, $\frac{\text{the mean survival time of the treated group}}{\text{the mean survival time of the control group}} \times 100$
 or $\frac{\text{protein content of the treated group}}{\text{protein content of the control group}} \times 100$
- c) Negative result after retest.
- d) ND, could not be measured (cells were detached from the bottles)
- e) Minimal inhibitory amounts of samples, mg in a disk.
- f) In, Inactive up to 5mg/disk of sample.
- g) AG, abdominal griping; Ag, analgesia; Cv, convulsion; Dh, diarrhea; Hp, hypermia; i, very little activity was seen at the highest dose employed; MAd, decrease of motor activity; MAi, increase of motor activity; Mi, miosis; My, mydriasis; Pp, palpebral ptosis; Rd, decrease of respiration rate; Ri, increase of respiration rate; SGI, screen grip loss; Sl, salivation; Tr, tremor; w, weak.
-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to thank Mr. S. H. To, Dong Duk Women's College, for collection and identification of the plants and Miss M.Y. Kim and Mr. T. B. Lee for the technical assistance.

REFERENCES

1. L.K. Woo and J.H. Kim, *Seoul Univ. Jour.*, **13**, 1(1963); *J. Pharm. Soc. Korea*, **9**, 34(1965).
2. L.K. Woo and S. H. Shin, *J. Pharm. Soc. Korea*, **7**, 96(1963).
3. L.K. Woo and H. S. Kim, *ibid*, **8**, 35(1964).
4. L.K. Woo and S.H. To, *ibid*, **10**, 12(1966).
5. L.K. Woo, C.S. Suh, and S.H. To, *ibid*, **12**, 91(1968).
6. L.K. Woo, S.H. To, and J.J. Jhang, *ibid*, **13**, 147(1969).
7. L.K. Woo and M.W. Hong, *Asian J. Pharm.*, **1**, 16(1968).
8. CCNSC, *Cancer Chemotherapy Report*, **25**, 47(1962).
9. V.I. Oyama and H. Eagle, *Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. & Med.*, **91**, 305(1956).
10. M.H. Malone and R.C. Robichaud, *Lloydia*, **25**, 320(1962).