## POSNER'S THEOREM ON PI RINGS

## By Kevin McCrimmon

In [1] S.A. Amitsur showed that a ring without zero divisors which satisfies a polynomial identity has a two-sided ring of quotients which is a division ring of finite dimension over its center. This was extende by E.C. Posner [7] to arbitrary prime PI rings:

THEOREM. If R is a prime ring satisfying a polynomial identity then R has a two-sided ring of quotients Q which is a simple finite-dimensional algebra over its center.

Alternate proofs have been given by W. A. Martindale [6], I.N. Herstein [5], and A. W. Goldie [4]. In this note we offer an elementary proof using nothing more than the Density Theorem (see [5, p. 41]).

We assume R is an algebra over some commutative ring  $\Omega$  satifying a monic polynomial identity of degree d with coefficients in  $\Omega$ , which we may take to be multilinear of the form

$$p(x_1, \cdots, x_d) = x_d \cdots x_1 + \sum_{\sigma \neq 1} \alpha_{\sigma} x_{\sigma(d)} \cdots x_{\sigma(1)}.$$

Thus we assume  $p(r_1, \dots, r_d) = 0$  for all  $r_1, \dots, r_d \in \mathbb{R}$ . A uniqueness sequence of length n in  $\mathbb{R}$  relative to a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  on a (right)  $\Omega$ -module M is a sequence  $r_1, \dots r_n$ , of elements in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for some  $m \in M$  we have  $r_n \cdots r_1(m)$  $\neq 0$  but  $r_{\sigma(n)} \cdots r_{\sigma(1)}(m) = 0$  for any other permutation  $\sigma \neq 1$ . Clearly if  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies the polynomial identity  $p(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  of degree d there can be no uniqueness sequences of length d in  $\mathbb{R}$ . There is a standard process, due to Amitsur [2, p. 102– 103], for constructing uniqueness sequences.

LEMMA. If an algebra A has a representation on a (right) vector space V over a field  $\Omega$  such that

(\*) for every subspace  $W \subset V$  of dimension  $\leq d$  and for every  $v \notin W$  there exists an element  $a \in A$  with a(W) = 0  $a(v) \notin W + v\Omega$ 

then there exists a uniqueness sequence in A of length d.

We make no attempt to improve on the demonstration [7, p. 180; 5, p. 184] that a prime PI ring R is a right and left Goldie ring, hence has a two-sided

## Kevin McCrimmon

ring of quotients Q of the form  $Q = End_D(V) \cong D_n$  for V an *n*-dimensional right vector space over the division ring D. The difficult part is to show finite-dimensionality of Q (or D) over its center  $\phi$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a maximal subfield of D, and let  $\omega_r$  denote right multiplication on Vby the scalar  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then  $A = R\Omega_r$  is a left (and right) pre-order in  $B = Q\Omega_r$  in the sense that every  $b \in B$  may be written  $b = c^{-1}a$  (or  $ac^{-1}$ ) for some a,  $c\epsilon A$ . (Indeed, if  $\sum b = q_i \omega_i$  for  $q_i \epsilon Q$ ,  $\omega_i \epsilon \Omega$ , then we know  $q_i = r^{-1}r_i$  for some r,  $r_i$  $\in R \subset A$  since R is a two-sided order in Q. hence  $b = c^{-1}a$  for c = r,  $a = \sum r_i \omega_i \in A$ ). Here B is a dense ring of linear transformations on the right vector space Vover  $\Omega$ : B acts irreducibly on V since Q already does, and its centralizer consists of those scalar multiplications  $d_r$  ( $d \in D$ ) which commutes with all  $\omega_r$ , and since  $\Omega$  is maximal this means  $d \in \Omega$ . Furthermore, since  $\Omega_r$  commutes with R, A will satisfy any multilinear polynomial identity that R does. Therefore A satisfies  $p(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ , so A has no uniequeness sequences of length d. By the lemma, (\*) must be violated for some finite-dimensional subspace W and some  $v \notin W$ : whenever  $a \in A$  satisfies a(W) = 0 then necessarily  $a(v) \in W + v\Omega$ .

We first find a nonzero finite-dimensional subspace  $V_0$  which is invariant under A. If V itself is finite-dimensional we take  $V_0=V$ , whereas if V is not finite-dimensional then certainly  $W+v\Omega$  is not all of V, hence by the density of B on V there exists  $b \in B$  with b(W)=0 and  $b(v) \notin W+v\Omega$  (in particular,  $b(v) \neq 0$ ). Since A is a left pre-order in B we can write  $b=c^{-1} a_0$  for c,  $a_0 \in A$ . Then any element  $a \in Aa_0 \subset A$  annihilates W since b does,  $a(W) \subset Aa_0(W) = Acb(W) = 0$ ; therefore by choice of W and v we cannot have  $a(v) \notin W+v\Omega$ , so we must have  $a(v) \in W+v\Omega$  for all  $a \in Aa_0$ . But  $v_0=a_0(v)=cb(v)$  is nonzero since c is invertible and  $b(v) \neq 0$ , so  $V_0=A(v_0)$  is a nonzero subspace invariant under A which is finite dimensional since  $V_0=A(v_0)=Aa_0(v) \subset W+v\Omega$ .

We now show the only such invariant subspace is  $V_0 = V$ . This will follow from the following simple observation.

LEMMA. If A is a right pre-order in a dense ring B of linear transformations on V over a field  $\Omega$ , then the only finite-dimensional subspaces of V invariant under A are V and 0.

PROOF. Suppose  $V_0$  is invariant and finite-dimensional. If  $V_0 \neq 0$ , V then by

density there is a  $b \in B$  with  $b(V_0) \subset V_0$ . Since A is a right pre-order in B we can write  $b = ac^{-1}$  for a,  $c \in A$ . But for invertible c,  $c(V_0) \subset V_0$  implies  $c(V_0) = V_0 = c^{-1}$  $(V_0)$  by the finite-dimensionality of  $V_0$ , and thus  $b(V_0) = ac^{-1}(V_0) = a(V_0) \subset V_0$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $V = V_0$  is finite-dimensional over  $\Omega$ , and  $B = \operatorname{End}_{\Omega}(V)$  (by density) is also finite-dimensional over  $\Omega$ . Now  $B = Q\Omega_r$  is a nonzero homomorphic image of the central simple algebra  $Q \otimes_{\phi} \Omega$  over  $\Omega$ , so the homomorphism must be an isomorphism of  $\Omega$ -algebras and dim  ${}_{\phi}Q = \dim_{\Omega}Q \otimes_{\phi}\Omega = \dim_{\Omega}B < \infty$ .

4

REMARK. We note in passing that the Faith-Utumi Theorem [3, p. 57] says that our given order R has  $E_n \subset R \subset D_n$  for some two-sided order E in D, E is a PI ring (as a copy  $Ee_{11}$  of E sits inside R), and it is without zero divizors (clearly), so by Amitsur's original result the ring of quotients D is finite-dimensional over its center. Thus Amitsur implies Posner with the help of Faith and Utumi.

University of Virginia

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] S.A. Amitsur, On rings with identities, J. London Math. Soc. 30 (1955), 464-470.
- [2] S.A. Amitsur, Rings with involution, Israel J. Math. 7(1969) 63-68.
- [3] C. Faith and Y. Utumi, On Noetherian prime rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1965), 53-60.
- [4] A. W. Goldie, A note on prime rings with polynomial identities, J. London Math. Soc.
  (2) 1 (1969), 606-608.
- [5] I.N. Herstein, Noncommutative rings, Carus Mathematical Monographs No 15, Math. Assoc of America.
- [6] W. A. Martindale, Prime rings satifying a generalized polynomial identity, J. of Algebra 12 (1969), 576-584.
- [7] E.C. Posner, Prime rings satisfying a polynomial identity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 180-184.