### LOCAL DISCRETE EXTENSIONS OF TOPOLOGIES

## By Young Soo Park

In this paper, we introduce a new concept of extension called as local discrete extensions. This concept is motivated by [2]. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a topological space and A be a subset of X. Then the topology  $\mathcal{F}[A] = \{U \sim B | U \in \mathcal{F}, B \subset A\}$  is called a local discrete extensions of  $\mathcal{F}$  by A. It is clear that  $\mathcal{F}[A]$  is a topology for X, for  $\bigcup_{\alpha} (U_{\alpha} \sim B_{\alpha}) = \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \sim (A \sim \bigcup_{\alpha} (U_{\alpha} \sim B_{\alpha}))$  for some  $(U_{\alpha} \sim B_{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{F}[A]$ . A topological space is called  $\aleph$ -compact if each open cover has a subcover whose cardinal is less than or equals to  $\aleph$ . This  $\aleph$  denote an arbitrary cardinal number.

We attempt to investigate that, if  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  has some topological property P, under what conditions will  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  also have property P. For a subset A of a topological space X, cl A denotes  $\mathcal{T}$ -closure of A, Int A denotes  $\mathcal{T}$ -interior of A, and cl\* A denotes  $\mathcal{T}[A]$ -closure of A, and Int\* A denotes  $\mathcal{T}[A]$ -interior of A, and B' denotes the complement  $X \sim B$  of B. The termiology coincides with Kelley [1].

LEMMA 1. Let A be any subset of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Then  $(A, \mathcal{T}[A] \cap A)$  is a discrete space.

PROOF. It is clear from the definition of  $\mathcal{T}[A]$ .

THEOREM 2. Let A be a closed subset of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Then  $(A, \mathcal{F} \cap A)$  is a discrete subspace of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}[A]$ .

PROOF. Let  $U \sim B$  be any open in  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$ . Since A is a closed in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , B is a closed in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Therefore  $U \sim B$  is an open in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}[A]$ . The converse follows directely from lemma 1.

THEOREM 3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{T}[A]$  be a local discrete extension of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let B be any subset of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Then

(1)  $\operatorname{cl}^*B = (A \cap B) \cup \operatorname{cl}(A' \cap B)$ .

(2) Int\* $B = (A' \cup B) \cap \text{Int}(A \cup B)$ . In Particular, if A is a closed subset of  $(X, \mathscr{T})$ , then  $\text{cl}^*(A \cup B) = \text{cl}(A \cup B)$  and  $\text{Int}^*(A \cup B) = \text{Int}(A \cup B)$ .

PROOF: (1). If  $A \subseteq B$ , then Int\* B = IntB. If A and B are disjoint, then  $cl^*B = cl^*$ . Therefore we have  $cl^* B = cl^*(A \cap B) \cup cl^*(A' \cap B)$ . Since  $A \cap B$  is a  $\mathcal{F}[A] = cl^*$ .

#### Young Soo Park

closed,  $cl^*B = (A \cap B) \cup cl(A' \cap B)$ . (2) follows immediately from (1).

THEOREM 4. If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is regular or normal and A is an open subset of X, then  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  is regular or normal.

PROOF. We prove the theorem only for regular. Let A be an open subset of  $(X, \mathcal{F})$ . Then every subset of A is  $\mathcal{F}[A]$ - open set. Let F be a closed subset of  $(X, \mathcal{F}[A])$  and let  $x \notin F$ . Then there exists a  $\mathcal{F}[A]$ - open set  $U \sim B$  such that  $F = (U \sim B)'$ . Hence  $x \notin U'$  and  $x \notin B$ . There are two cases. Case (i)  $x \notin A$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is regular, for each  $x \notin U'$ , there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $U' \subset V$ . Hence there are disjoint  $\mathcal{F}[A]$ - open sets  $U \sim A$  and  $V \cup B$  such that  $x \in U \sim A$  and  $F \subset V \cup B$ . Case (ii).  $x \in A$ . this is clear.

THEOREM 5.  $If(X, \mathcal{T})$  is completely regular and A is an open subset of X, then  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  is completely regular.

PROOF. Let V be a  $\mathscr{T}[A]$  - open and let  $x \in V$ . Then there exists a  $\mathscr{T}[A]$  - open set  $U \sim B$  such that  $V = (U \sim B)'$ . Since  $(X, \mathscr{T})$  is completely regular, there is a  $\mathscr{T}$  - continuous function f on X to [0,1] such that f(x)=0 and f is identically one on  $X \sim U$ . Defining  $f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{on } (U \cap B)' \\ 1 & \text{on } U \cap B, \end{cases}$ 

then  $f^*$  is a  $\mathcal{T}[A]$  - continuous function on X to [0,1]. For, there are two cases. Case (i). $y \notin A$ . Since f is a  $\mathcal{T}$ - continuous, there is a  $\mathcal{T}$ - neighourhood N(y) of y such that  $f(N(y)) \subset N(f(y))$ . Therefore  $f^*(N(y) \sim A) \subset N(f(y))$ , and hence  $f^*$  is a  $\mathcal{T}[A]$ - continuous. Case (ii).  $y \in A$ . Since  $\{y\}$  is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -open, it is clear.

COROLLARY 6. If  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is Tychonoff and A is an open subset of X, then  $(X, \mathcal{F}[A])$  is Tychonoff.

REMARK 7. In case that A is not an open subset of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , in general. above theorem 4. 5. does not hold.

EXAMPLE (1). Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{\phi, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is regular and normal. But  $(X, \mathcal{T} [\{a, b\}])$  is neither.

EXAMPLE (2). Let  $X = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \{\phi, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is completely regular. But  $(X, \mathcal{T}[a])$  is not.

THEOREM 8. If  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a second countable space, then  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  is a second countable space if and only if A is a countable subset of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ .

**PROOF.** "only if". Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a countable base of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and

let  $\mathscr{L}_A = \{B \sim A_\alpha | B \in \mathscr{L}, A_\alpha \text{ is cofinite subset of } A\}$ . Then,  $\mathscr{L}_A$  is a countable and a base of  $\mathscr{T}[A]$ . For, let  $x \in B \sim A_1$  and  $A_1 \subset A$ . If  $A_1$  is a cofinite subset in A, it is clear. If  $A_1$  is not cofinite subset in A, then  $A \sim A_1$  is not finite. There are two cases. Case (i).  $x \notin A$ . Then  $x \notin B \sim A \subset B \sim A_1$ . Case (ii).  $x \in A$ . Then  $x \in B \sim (A \sim \{x\}) \subset B \sim A_1$ .

"If". Suppose that A is not a countable. Since  $(A, \mathcal{F}[A] \cap A)$  is a discretesubspace by lemma 1. and A is not countable,  $(A, \mathcal{F}[A] \cap A)$  is not second countable space. It is a contradiction.

THEOREM 9. Let A be any subset of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is a first countable if and only if  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  is a first countable space.

PROOF. Let  $\{U_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countable local base at any point x of X. There are two cases. Case (i).  $x \notin A$ . Then  $\{U_i \sim A | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a countablelocal base of a point x of  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$ . Case (ii).  $x \notin A$ . Then  $\{U_i \sim (A \sim \{x\}) | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  is a countable local base of a point x of  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$ .

THEOREM 10. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a  $\aleph$ -compact (countably compact). Then A has the cardinal number  $\aleph$ (finite) if and only if  $(X, \mathcal{T}[A])$  is a  $\aleph$ -compact (countably compact).

PROOF. We prove the theorem only for the  $\aleph$ -compact. "only if". Let  $\{U_{\alpha} \sim B_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$  be an open covering of  $(X, \mathscr{T}[A])$ . Then  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is an open covering of  $(X, \mathscr{T})$ . Since  $(X, \mathscr{T})$  is a  $\aleph$ -compact, there is a subcovering  $\{U_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \mathcal{A}, \mathscr{L} \subset \mathcal{A}\}$  of  $\{U_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , where  $|\mathscr{L}| \leq \aleph$ . Choose  $U_r \sim B_r$  such that  $a \in U_r \sim B_r$  for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathscr{L} = \{r \in \mathcal{A} \mid a \in U_r \sim B_r$  for each  $a \in A\}$ . Since  $|A| = \aleph \mid \mathscr{L}| \leq \aleph$ . Hence we have  $X = A \cup (X \sim A) = [\bigcup \{U_r \sim B_r \mid r \in \mathcal{L}\}] \cup [\bigcup \{U_\beta \sim B_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{L}\}] = \bigcup \{U_\delta \sim B_\delta \mid \delta \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathscr{L}\}$ , where  $\mathscr{L} \cup \mathscr{L} \subset \mathcal{A}, \mid \mathscr{L} \cup \mathscr{L} \mid \leq \aleph$ . Hence  $(X, \mathscr{T}[A])$  is a  $\aleph$ -compact. "If". Suppose that  $|A| \neq \aleph$ . Since A is a  $\mathscr{T}[A]$ -closed,  $(A, \mathscr{T}[A] \cap A)$  is  $\aleph$ -compact. On the other hand,  $(A, \mathscr{T}[A] \cap A)$  is a discrete subspace by lemma 1. Since  $|A| \neq \aleph$ ,  $(A, \mathscr{T}[A] \cap A)$  is not a  $\aleph$ -compact. It is a contradiction.

THEOREM 11 · If A and B are subsets of  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , then  $\mathcal{T}[A][B] = \mathcal{T}[A \cup B]$ . PROOF. This is clear from the definition of  $\mathcal{T}[A]$ .

THEOREM 12. Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be topological spaces. If A and B are subsets

of X and Y respectively, then  $(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{U})$   $[A \times B] \subset \mathcal{T} [A] \times \mathcal{U} [B]$ .

PROOF. Let  $U \in (\mathscr{T} \times \mathscr{U})$   $[A \times B]$ . Then  $U = G \sim C$ , where  $G \in \mathscr{T} \times \mathscr{U}$  and  $C \subset A \times B$ . If  $x \in U$ , then there is a basic open set  $E \times F$  such that  $x \in E \times F \subset G$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ . Then we have a basic open set  $E \sim (A \sim \{x_1\}) \times F \sim (B \sim \{x_2\})$  in  $\mathscr{T}[A] \times \mathscr{U}[B]$  such that  $x \in E \sim (A \sim \{x_1\}) \times F \sim (B \sim \{x_2\}) \subset G \sim C$ . Hence  $G \sim C \in \mathscr{T}[A] \times \mathscr{U}[B]$ .

REMARK 13. The converse inclusion of theorem 12 need not be true. For example, let X be the real line with usual topology  $\xi$ . Let A be the set of all rational numbers. Then  $\hat{\xi}[A] \times \hat{\xi}[A]$  is not contained in $(\hat{\xi} \times \hat{\xi})$   $[A \times A]$ .

# Kyungpook University

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, New York, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [2] J. Greever, On some generalized compactness properties, Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ. Ser. A., Vol. 4(1968), 39-49.