

## A NOTE ON SINGULARITY OF MEASURES

By Hae Soo Oh

In this note we shall give some characterizations of singularity and S-singularity for measures, and from these characterizations we have an interesting result about decomposition in product measures.

Following definitions are due to Johnson [1].

DEFINITIONS. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of  $X$ . A set  $A$  is called a *locally measurable* if  $A \cap E$  is measurable for each measurable set  $E$ .

We say that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are (*mutually*) *singular*, and we write  $\mu \perp \nu$ , if there exists a locally measurable set  $A$  such that  $\mu(E \cap A) = 0 = \nu(E - A)$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ . It is equivalent to the fact that there exist disjoint measurable sets  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $B \cup C = E$ ,  $\mu(B) = \nu(C) = 0$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ .

We also say that  $\nu$  is *S-singular* with respect to  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\nu S \mu$ , if given  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ , there is a measurable  $F \subseteq E$  such that  $\mu(E) = \nu(F)$  and  $\mu(F) = 0$ .

We shall call that  $\mu$  is *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\nu$ , in symbols  $\mu \ll \nu$ , if  $\mu(E) = 0$  for every measurable set  $E$  for which  $\nu(E) = 0$ .

Other definition and terminology follow those in Halmos [7].

In order to prove our main result we have the following.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be measures on  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}$  which is generated by a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mu \perp \nu$
- (b) for each  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists a locally measurable set  $A$  such that  $\mu(E \cap A) = \nu(E - A) = 0$ .

PROOF. (b) implies (a): Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \{E \mid \text{there exist a locally measurable } A \text{ such that } \mu(E \cap A) = 0 = \nu(E - A)\}$ . Now we claim that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -ring. Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then, for each  $n$ , there exist  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  such that  $\mu(B_n) = \nu(C_n) = 0$ ,  $B_n \cap C_n = \phi$ , and  $B_n \cup C_n = E_n$ .

Now let  $\bigcup_n B_n = B$ ,  $\bigcup_n C_n = C$ , and  $\bigcup_n E_n = E$ . Then  $\mu(B - C) = 0$ ,  $\nu(C) = 0$ , and  $(B - C) \cup C = E$ . Hence we have  $\bigcup_n E_n = E \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Clearly we know that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is closed

under the formation of differences. These facts imply that  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ . Thus we have  $\mu \perp \nu$ .

(a) implies (b): This part is obvious from the meaning of generator.

Using above lemma, we have the following characterization of singularity for measures.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

(a)  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$ .

(b)  $(\mu_1 \times \nu) \perp (\mu_2 \times \lambda)$  for arbitrary  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$ , which are defined in the same measurable space.

**PROOF.** (a) implies (b): Suppose  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$ , and let  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  be given two  $\sigma$ -finite measures on the same measurable space. Now let  $E \times F$  be a measurable rectangle on the product space in which  $\mu_1 \times \nu$  and  $\mu_2 \times \lambda$  is defined. Then there exist  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $B \cup C = E$ ,  $B \cap C = \phi$ ,  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_2(C) = 0$ . Hence we have  $(B \times F) \cap (C \times F) = \phi$ ,  $(B \times F) \cup (C \times F) = E \times F$ , and  $\mu_1 \times \nu(B \times F) = \mu_1(B) \cdot \nu(F) = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 \times \lambda(C \times F) = \mu_2(C) \cdot \lambda(F) = 0$ . Since the family of all measurable rectangle acts as generator in the product measure space, we have  $(\mu_1 \times \nu) \perp (\mu_2 \times \lambda)$  from lemma 1.

(b) implies (a): Suppose  $(\mu_1 \times \nu) \perp (\mu_2 \times \lambda)$  for any  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  with the same domain. Now let  $Y$  be a nonvoid countable set and  $\mathcal{S}(Y)$  be the family of all subsets of  $Y$ . Define a measure on  $\mathcal{S}(Y)$  by  $\nu(F) =$  the number of elements of  $F$ , for each  $F \in \mathcal{S}(Y)$ . Then, from the hypothesis, we have  $(\mu_1 \times \nu) \perp (\mu_2 \times \nu)$ .

Let  $E$  be a member in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $F$  be a nonvoid subset of  $Y$ , then there exist  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $B \cup C = E \times F$ ,  $B \cap C = \phi$  and  $\mu_1 \times \nu(B) = 0 = \mu_2 \times \nu(C)$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  in  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}(Y)$ . Since  $B^y = \{x \mid (x, y) \in B\}$  is a measurable set in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $Y$  is a countable set,  $P[B] = \{x \mid (x, y) \in B\} = \bigcup \{E^y \mid y \in Y\}$  is a measurable set in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In the case that  $P[B] \not\subseteq E$ , we have

$$0 = \mu_1 \times \nu(B) = \int \nu(B_x) d\mu_1(x) \cong \int_{P[B]} 1 d\mu_1 = \mu_1(P[B]),$$

and

$$0 = \mu_2 \times \nu(C) = \int \nu(C_x) d\mu_2(x) \cong \int_{E - P[B]} 1 d\mu_2 = \mu_2(E - P[B]).$$

On the other case,  $P[B] = E$ , we have

$$0 = \mu_1 \times \nu(B) = \int \nu(B_x) d\mu_1(x) \cong \int_E 1 d\mu_1 = \mu_1(E).$$

These facts implies that  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$ .

REMARK 3. From the fact that, if  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$  if and only if  $\mu_1 S \mu_2$  [3], we can rewrite above theorem with respect to  $S$ -singular as follow:

The relation  $\mu_1 S \mu_2$  is equivalent to the fact that  $(\mu_1 \times \nu) S (\mu_2 \times \lambda)$  for any measures  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  with the same domain.

Thus it is obvious that singularity and  $S$ -singularity is productive. On the other hand, we can prove directly that  $S$ -singularity is productive, but it is so tedious.

Now we apply these results to a decomposition of measure in the product measure space.

THEOREM 4. Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure on measurable space  $(X \times Y, \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T})$  such that  $\lambda_1 = \mu \times \nu$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \mu' \times \nu'$  in the sense of Halmos [7] and  $\nu' \ll \nu$ . Then there exist a unique decomposition  $\lambda_2 = (\alpha_1 \times \nu') + (\alpha_2 \times \nu')$  of  $\lambda_2$  into the sum of  $\alpha_1 \times \nu'$  and  $\alpha_2 \times \nu'$  such that  $\alpha_1 \times \nu' \ll \lambda_1$ ,  $(\alpha_2 \times \nu') S \lambda_1$ , and  $(\alpha_1 \times \nu') S (\alpha_2 \times \nu')$ , where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are two measures on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

PROOF. By Luther's result [2], there exist a unique decomposition  $\mu' = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  of  $\mu'$  into the sum of  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that  $\alpha_1 \ll \mu$ ,  $\alpha_2 S \mu$ , and  $\alpha_1 S \alpha_2$ . From the above remark, we have  $(\alpha_2 \times \nu') S (\mu \times \nu)$ ,  $(\alpha_1 \times \nu') S (\alpha_2 \times \nu')$ . Since  $\nu' \ll \nu$  and  $\alpha_1 \ll \mu$ , we have  $(\alpha_1 \times \nu') \ll (\mu \times \nu)$  [6]. Finally we have  $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \times \nu' = (\alpha_1 \times \nu') + (\alpha_2 \times \nu')$  [5]. Thus we obtain the required result.

On the contrary, if we apply above method to a decomposition of  $\nu'$ , the fact that a decomposition satisfying the condition mentioned above exist uniquely implies the following.

COROLLARY 5. Under the same hypothesis as before, if we have  $\nu' \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \nu'$  then we have

$$(a) (\mu' \times \beta_1) = (\alpha_1 \times \nu') \text{ and } (b) (\mu' \times \beta_2) = (\alpha_2 \times \nu'),$$

where  $\mu' = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_1 \ll \mu$ ,  $\alpha_2 S \mu$ ,  $\alpha_1 S \alpha_2$ , and  $\nu' = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ ,  $\beta_1 \ll \nu$ ,  $\beta_2 S \nu$ ,  $\beta_1 S \beta_2$ .

Kyungpook University

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Johnson, *On the Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math., (1967), Vol. 18, pp. 628—632.
- [2] N. Y. Luther, *Lebesgue Decomposition and weakly Borel Measures*, Duke Math. J. (1968), Vol. 35, pp. 601—615.
- [3] J. S. Woo and I. S. Lee, *A note on Atomic measure and singularity*, Kyungpook Math J., (1970), Vol. 10, pp. 85—88.
- [4] H. S. Oh, *Notes on Atomic Measures*, Kyungpook Math. J. (1970), Vol. 10, pp. 81—83.
- [5] S. K. Berberian, *Measure and Integration*, Macmillan, New York, (1965).
- [6] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1969).
- [7] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., (1950).