# NOTES ON C-COMPACT SPACES AND FUNCTIONALLY COMPACT SPACES

# By Hong Oh Kim

#### 1. Introduction

It is well known that every continuous function on a compact space into a Hausdorff space is closed. In [1], G. Viglino showed that this property holds for a class of spaces (called C-compact spaces) which properly contains the class of

compact spaces. R.F. Dickman Jr. and A. Zame [2] characterized the class of Hausdorff spaces with this property and called such spaces functionally compact spaces. These authors asked whether the C-compactness and the functional compactness are equivalent for Hausdorff spaces. In the present paper we characterize these two kinds of spaces and get partial answers of this question. (See Corollary 3 and Remark 2) The question (3) in [1] is answered negative. (See Remark 1) An open filter base on a topological space X means a filter base consisting of

open subsets of X.

DEFINITION. A filter base on a topological space X converges to a subset A of X if and only if every neighborhood of A contains a member of the filter base.

A topological space X is C-compact [1] if and only if given a closed subset Q of X and an open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of Q, then there exist a finite number of members of  $\mathcal{O}$  whose closures cover Q.

A topological space X is functionally compact [2] if and only if whenever  $\mathscr{U}$  is

an open filter base on X such that the intersection A of the members of  $\mathcal{U}$  is equal to the intersection of the closures of the members of  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a neighborhood base of A, or equivalently  $\mathcal{U}$  converges to A. No separation axiom is assumed here.

For a subset A of a topological space  $X, A^-$  denotes the closure of A,  $A^0$  denotes the interior of A, and A' denotes the complement  $X \sim A$  of A.

# 2. Characterizations

THEOREM 1. A topological space X is C-compact if and only if every open filter base  $\mathcal{U}$  converges to  $\bigcap \{ U^- | U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ .

75

### 76 Hong Oh Kim

PROOF. Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open filter base on a C-compact space X and let  $A = \bigcap \{U^- | U \in \mathscr{U}\}$ . If N is an open neighborhood of A, then  $N' \subset A' = \bigcup \{U^{-'} | U \in \mathscr{U}\}.$ By the C-compactness of X,  $N' \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i^{-'-i}$  for some finite  $U_i \in \mathscr{U}$ , i=1, 2, ..., n. Thus

$$N \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}^{-'-'} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i}^{\cdot}$$

Hence  $\mathscr{U}$  converges to A.

To prove the converse, let X be a topological space in which every open filter base converges to the set of cluster points of the open filter base. Suppose that there exist a closed subset Q of X and an open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of Q such that

$$Q \oplus O_1^- \cup \cdots \cup O_n^-$$

for any finite number of members  $O_i \in \mathcal{O}, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\mathscr{N}$  be the family of all open neighborhoods of Q and let  $\mathscr{U}$  be the family of all  $N \sim (\bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i)^-$  for every  $N \in \mathscr{N}$  and for every finite number of members  $O_i$  of  $\mathscr{O}$ . Then we know that  $\mathscr{U}$  is an open filter base on X. By the hypothesis  $\mathscr{U}$  converges to A, where  $A = \bigcap \{U^- \mid U \in \mathscr{U}\}$ . On the other hand,

$$A = \bigcap \{ (N \sim (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_i)^{-})^{-} | N \in \mathcal{N}, \text{ finite } O_i \in \mathcal{O} \}$$

$$\subset \bigcap \{ N^{-} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_i)^{-'-} | N \in \mathcal{N}, \text{ finite } O_i \in \mathcal{O} \}$$

$$= \bigcap \{ N^{-} | N \in \mathcal{N} \} \bigcap \{ (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_i)^{-'-} | \text{finite } O_i \in \mathcal{O} \}$$

$$\subset \bigcap \{ N^{-} | N \in \mathcal{N} \} \bigcap \{ (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_i)^{\prime} | \text{finite } O_i \in \mathcal{O} \}$$

$$\subset \bigcap \{ N^{-} | N \in \mathcal{N} \} \bigcap \{ (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_i)^{\prime} | \text{finite } O_i \in \mathcal{O} \}$$

 $\Box \{ \{ M \mid M \subseteq \mathcal{N} \} \mid \{ Q \}$ 

Therefore Q' is an open neighborhood of A, and hence

 $Q' \supset N \sim (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_{i})^{-}$ for some  $N \in \mathscr{N}$  and some finite number of  $O_{i} \in \mathscr{O}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . But then  $Q' \supset N \sim (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_{i})^{-} \supset Q \sim (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_{i})^{-} \neq \phi.$ 

This is a contradiction.

A closed subset C of a topological space X is said to be r-closed [2] if whenever B is closed in C and  $x \equiv B$ , there exist disjoint open sets in X containing x and B, respectively.

COROLLARY 2. An r-closed subset of a C-compact space is C-compact.

PROOF. It is proved similarly as Theorem 4 in [2].

Notes on C-compact Spaces and Functionally Compact Spaces 77

COROLLARY 3. For the spaces in which every closed subset A has the same neighborhood system as the intersection of all closed neighborhoods of A, the Ccompactness is equivalent to the functional compactness.

PROOF. It is clear from Theorem 1 that the C-compactness implies the functional compactness.

To prove the converse, let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open filter base and let  $B = \bigcap \{U^- | U \in \mathscr{U}\}$ , Let  $\mathscr{N}$  be the family of all open neighborhoods of B and let  $\mathscr{V}$  be the family of

all  $U \cup N$  for every  $U \in \mathscr{U}$  and for every  $N \in \mathscr{N}$ . Then  $\mathscr{V}$  is an open filter base and  $\bigcap \mathscr{V} = \bigcap \{V^- | V \in \mathscr{V}\} = \bigcap \{N^- | N \in \mathscr{N}\}$ . Putting  $B^* = \bigcap \{N^- | N \in \mathscr{N}\}$ ,  $\mathscr{V}$  converges to  $B^*$  by the functional compactness. Since B and  $B^*$  have the same open neighborhood base  $\mathscr{N}, \mathscr{V}$  converges to B and hence  $\mathscr{U}$  converges to B.

THEOREM 4. A topological space X is functionally compact if and only if whenever given an open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of the complement A' of any closed subset A of X whose members' closures are disjoint from A, then for every neighborhood N of A there exist finite  $O_i \in \mathcal{O}, i=1,2,...,n$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i^- \supset N'$ .

PROOF. Let X be functionally compact and  $\mathscr{O}$  be an open cover of the complement A' of a closed subset A of X such that  $O^- \cap A = \phi$  for every  $O \in \mathscr{O}$ . Then  $A \supseteq \cap \{O' | O \in \mathscr{O}\} \supseteq \cap \{O^{-'-} | O \in \mathscr{O}\} \supseteq \cap \{O^{-'} | O \in \mathscr{O}\} \supseteq A.$ 

Hence

$$A = \bigcap \{ O^{-'} | O \in \mathcal{O} \} = \bigcap \{ O^{-'-} | O \in \mathcal{O} \} = \bigcap \{ O' | O \in \mathcal{O} \}.$$

Case 1.  $A = \phi$ .  $\mathcal{O}$  is an open cover of X. Since the functional compactness implies

the generalized absolutely closedness, (A topological space is generalized absolutely closed [4] if and only if every open cover  $\mathcal{O}$  of X has a finite subfamily whose union is dense in X, or equivalently every open filter base has a cluster point.) there exist finite  $O_i \in \mathcal{O}, i=1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i^{-i}$ .

Case 2.  $A \neq \phi$ . Let  $\mathscr{U}$  be the family of all finite intersections of  $O^{-'}$  for  $O \in \mathscr{O}$ , Then  $\mathscr{U}$  is an open filter base on X and  $A = \bigcap \mathscr{U} = \bigcap \{U^- | U \in \mathscr{U}\}$ . By the functional compactness of X,  $\mathscr{U}$  converges to A. Therefore for every neighborhood N of A,  $N \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} O_{i}^{-'}$  for some finite  $O_{i} \in \mathscr{O}$ . That is,  $N' \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} O_{i}^{-}$ .

To prove the converse, let X be the topological space satisfying the condition of the theorem and let  $\mathscr{U}$  be an open filter base on X with  $\bigcap \mathscr{U} = \bigcap \{U^- | U \in \mathscr{U}\} (=A)$ . Let an open neighborhood N of A be given. For each  $x \in A'$ , take a  $U_x \in \mathscr{U}$  and a neighborhood  $N_x$  of x such that  $N_x \cap U_x = \phi$ , and hence  $N_x^- \cap U_x = \phi$  and  $N_x^- \cap A = \phi$ .

#### Hong Oh Kim 78

Then there exist finite  $x_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $N' \subset \bigcup_{x_i}^n N_{x_i}^-$ . Thus  $N \supset \bigcap_{x} N_{x}^{-\prime} \supset \bigcap_{x} U_{x}$ 

Consequently  $\mathscr{U}$  converges to A, and hence X is functionally compact.

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is almost continuous [3] if and only if for each  $x \in X$  and for each neighborhood V of f(x) there exists a neighborhood U of x with f[U] $\subset V^{-0}$ , or equivalently the inverse image of every regularly open subset of Y is

open in X.  $(V \subset Y$  is regularly open in Y if  $V^{-0} = V$ .)

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is  $\theta$ -continuous [5] if and only if for each  $x \in X$  and for each neighborhood V of f(x) there exists a neighborhood U of x with  $f[U^{-}] \subset V^{-}$ .

LEMMA 5. Let f be a  $\theta$ -continuous function on a generalized absolutely closed space X into a Hausdorff space Y. Then f[X] is closed in Y.

PROOF. Let  $p \equiv f[X]$ . For each  $x \in X$  choose an open neighborhood  $U_{f(x)}$  of f(x) with  $p \equiv U_{f(x)}^{-}$ . By the  $\theta$ -continuity of f there exists an open neighborhood  $N_x$  of x such that  $f[N_x^-] \subset U_{f(x)}^-$ . Since X is generalized absolutely closed,  $X = \bigcup_{x_1}^{n} N_{x_1}^{-}$ for some finite  $x_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $f[X] = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f[N_{x_i}^{-}] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{f(x_i)}^{-}$ Hence  $Y \sim \bigcup_{f(x_i)} U_{f(x_i)}^-$  is an open neighborhood of p and is disjoint from f[X]. Therefore f[X] is closed.

LEMMA 6. Every almost continuous function is  $\theta$ -continuous.

PROOF. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be almost continuous. Let  $x \in X$  and let U be an open neighborhood of f(x). Then by the almost continuity of f there exists an open neighborhood V of x such that  $f[V] \subset U^{-0}$ . We show that  $f[V^{-}] \subset U^{-}$  and complete the proof. If  $f(x_0) \in U^{-'}$  for some  $x_0 \in V^{-}$ , again by the almost continuity of f there exists an open neighborhood W of  $x_0$  such that  $f[W] \subset U^{-\prime - 0} = U^{-\prime}$ . [6, 1. E] But  $W \cap V \neq \phi$ , and hence  $f[V] \cap U^{-\prime} \neq \phi$ , which is contrary to the fact that f[V] $\subset U^{-0} \subset U^{-}.$ 

Lemma 6. is the answer of a question in Remark 3.3 in [3]. As a generalization of Theorem 3 in [2], we have

THEOREM 7. For the topological space X in which every two distinct point closures have disjoint neighborhoods, the following are equivalent.

Notes on C-compact Spaces and Functionally Compact Spaces 79

(i) X is functionally compact.

(ii) Every almost continuous function on X into any Hausdorff space is closed. (iii) Every continuous function on X into any Hausdorff space is closed.

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let f be an almost continuous function on a functionally compact space X into a Hausdorff space Y and let C be a closed subset of X. Suppose there exists a point y in  $f[C] \xrightarrow{-}{} f[C]$ . Let  $\mathscr{V}$  be the family of all open neighborhoods of y and let  $\mathscr{U} = \{f^{-1}[V^{-0}] | V \in \mathscr{V}\}$ . By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6

f[X] is closed in Y, and hence  $y \in f[X]$  and  $f^{-1}[V^{-0}] \neq \phi$ . Since f is almost continuous,  $\mathscr{U}$  is an open filter base and  $\cap \mathscr{U} = \bigcap \{U^- | U \in \mathscr{U}\} = f^{-1}[y]$ . By the functional compactness of X,  $\mathscr{U}$  is a neighborhood base of  $f^{-1}[y]$ . Since  $X \sim C$  is an open subset of X containing  $f^{-1}[y]$ , there is a  $U \in \mathscr{U}$  with  $U \subset X \sim C$ . But then f[U] is an open neighborhood of y in f[X] such that  $f[U] \cap f[C] = \phi$ , which is contrary to the fact that  $y \in f[C]^-$ . Thus f[C] is closed in Y.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  is clear from the fact that every continuous function is almost continuous.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3 in [2] we can construct a Hausdorff space Y and a continuous function on X onto Y which is not closed, if X is not functionally compact.

REMARK 1. The C-compactness is not productive even when every factor is a Hausdorff C-compact space.

Let X be the space of Example 2 in [1] and let Y = [0,1] with the usual topology. Since Y is Hausdorff compact, Y is C-compact but  $X \times Y$  is not C-compact. For the projection  $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y$  is continuous but not closed because  $C = \left\{ \left( \left(\frac{1}{n}, 0\right), \frac{1}{n} \right) | n = 1, 2, \cdots \right\} \text{ is closed in } X \times Y \text{ but } \pi_2[C] = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} | n = 1, 2, \cdots \right\} \text{ is not closed in } Y.$  This is the same method as in Remark in [2]. REMARK 2. Let  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , where  $X_1 = \{x | 0 \neq x \leq \omega^2, x = \text{ordinal number}\}$  and  $X_2 = \{\alpha_i | i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}, \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \text{ for } i \neq j, X_1 \cap X_2 = \phi$ . Topologize X as follows: X1 has the order topology and each  $\alpha_i \in X_2$  has  $\{A_{ij} | j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$  as a neighborhood ba<sup>S</sup>e, where  $A_{ij} = \{\omega k + i | k > j, k = \text{natural number}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ .

Then X is  $T_1$  functionally compact which is not C-compact.

Kyungpook University Taegu, Korea

M. No.2 (1968), 63-73.

# Hong Oh Kim

#### REFERENCES

G. Viglino, C-compact spaces, Duke Math. J., Vol. 36, No. 4 (1969), 761-764.
 R.F. Dickman, Jr., and A. Zame, Functionally compact spaces, Pacific J. Math. Vol. 31, No.2 (1969), 303-311.
 M.K. Singal and A.R. Singal, Almost continuous mappings, Yokohama Math. J., Vol.

[4] C.T. Liu, Absolutely closed spaces, Trans. A.M.S., Vol. 130, No.1 (1968), 86-104.
[5] S.V. Fomin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 32 (1941), 114.
[6] J.L. Kelley, General Topology, New York, Van Nostrand, 1955.

-.