# NOTES ON SUREACES OF CODIMENSION 2 IN A KAEHLERIAN MANIFOLD

By Jin-Suk Pak

### 1. Introduction

For a Riemannian manifold admitting an infinitesimal special concircular transformation, we know the following Obata's theorem.

THEOREM A. [1] Let M be a complete connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n(\geq 2)$ . In order that M admits a nontrivial solution of the system of differential equations

$$\nabla_{\lambda}\nabla_{\kappa}\phi+k\phi G_{\lambda\kappa}=0, k>0,$$

it is necessary and sufficient that M is isometric with a sphere  $S^n$  of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}$  in the Euclidean (n+1) space.

In this paper, We shall study several properties for surfaces in a Kaehlerian manifold by using of Theorem A.

## 2. Surfaces of codimension 2 in a Kaehlerian manifold

Let M be a surface of codimension 2 which is differentiably immersed in  $\overline{M}$ . We suppose that M is represented by equation

$$X^{\lambda} = X^{\lambda}(x^i)$$

in each coordinate neighborhood U of  $\overline{M}$ ,  $\{X^{\lambda}\}$  being coordinates defined in U and  $\{x^i\}$  local coordinates defined in  $M \cap U$ .

On putting  $g_{ji} = G_{\lambda\kappa} B_j^{\ \lambda} B_i^{\ \kappa}$  we see that  $g_{ji}$  define in M a Riemannian metric which is called the induced metric, where  $B_i^{\ \lambda} = \partial X^{\lambda} / \partial x^i$ .

The Kaehlerian manifold  $\overline{M}$  being orientable, we assume that the surface M is also orientable and that  $B_1^{\lambda}, \dots, B_{2n-2}^{\lambda}$  are chosen in such a way that they form a frame of positive orientation. We then choose two loca fields of mutually orthogonal unit vectors  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  in such a way that  $C^{\lambda}, D^{\lambda}, B_1^{\lambda}, \dots, B_{2n-2}^{\lambda}$  form a frame of positive orientation in  $\overline{M}$ . If  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  are another set of normals satisfying the same condition, then we know

(2.1)  $C^{\lambda} = \cos \theta \ C^{\lambda} - \sin \theta \ D^{\lambda}, \quad D^{\lambda} = \sin \theta \ C^{\lambda} + \cos \theta \ D^{\lambda}.$ 

59

Jin-Suk Pak

And then we find

60

(2.2) 
$$G_{\lambda\kappa} B_i^{\lambda} C^{\kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} B_i^{\lambda} D^{\kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} C^{\lambda} D^{\kappa} = 0,$$
$$G_{\lambda\kappa} C^{\lambda} C^{\kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} D^{\lambda} D^{\kappa} = 1,$$
$$B_j^{\lambda} B^i_{\lambda} = \delta_j^{\ i}, \ B_j^{\lambda} B^j_{\ \mu} = \delta_{\mu}^{\ \lambda} - C_{\mu} C^{\lambda} - D_{\mu} D^{\lambda},$$
where we have put  $B^j_{\ \kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} B_i^{\ \lambda} g^{ji}, \ (g^{ji}) = (g_{ji})^{-1}, \ C_{\kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} C^{\lambda}, \ D_{\kappa} = G_{\lambda\kappa} D^{\lambda}.$ Therefore, we can put

(2.3) 
$$F_{\lambda}^{\kappa}B_{i}^{\lambda}=f_{i}^{j}B_{j}^{\kappa}+f_{i}C^{\kappa}+g_{i}D^{\kappa},$$

$$F_{\lambda}{}^{\kappa}C^{\lambda} = -f^{i}B_{i}{}^{\kappa} + fD^{\kappa}$$
,  $F_{\lambda}{}^{\kappa}D^{\lambda} = -g^{i}B_{i}{}^{\kappa} - fC^{\kappa}$ ,  
 $f^{i}$  and  $g^{i}$  being defined by  $f^{i} = g^{ij}f_{j}$  and  $g^{i} = g^{ij}g_{j}$  respectively. From (2.2) and  
(2.3) we get

(2.4) 
$$f_{i}^{j} = B_{i}^{\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} B_{\kappa}^{j} .$$
$$f_{i} = B_{i}^{\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} C_{\kappa}, \quad g_{i} = B_{i}^{\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} D_{\kappa} ,$$
$$f = C^{\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} D_{\kappa} .$$

Denoting by  $H_{ji}$  and  $K_{ji}$  the second fundamental tensor of the surface M with respect to the normals  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  and putting

$$H^j_i = g^{jh}H_{hi}, \quad K^j_i = g^{jh}K_{hi}$$

then the Gauss and the Weingarten equations for M are given respectively by

(2.5) 
$$\nabla_{j}B_{i}^{\ \lambda} = H_{ji}C^{\lambda} + K_{ji}D^{\lambda},$$
$$\nabla_{j}C^{\lambda} = -H_{j}^{\ i}B_{i}^{\ \lambda} + L_{j}D^{\lambda}, \quad \nabla_{j}D^{\lambda} = -K_{j}^{\ i}B_{i}^{\ \lambda} - L_{j}C^{\lambda}.$$

Differentiating covariantly the both sides of (2.4) and taking account of (2.5), we find

(2.6) 
$$\nabla_{j}f_{i}^{h} = f_{i}H_{j}^{h} + g_{i}K_{j}^{h},$$
$$\nabla_{j}f_{i} = -fK_{ji} + g_{i}L_{j} - f_{i}^{h}H_{jh},$$
$$\nabla_{j}g_{i} = H_{ji} - f_{i}^{j}K_{jh} - f_{i}L_{j},$$
$$\nabla_{j}f = K_{ji}f^{i} - H_{ji}g^{i}.$$

Transvecting again the both sides of (2.3) with  $F_{\lambda}^{K}$  and making use of (2.3), we obtain

(2.7) 
$$f_{i}^{h}f_{h}^{j} = -\delta_{i}^{j} + f_{i}f^{j} + g_{i}g^{j},$$

$$f_{i}^{h}f_{h}^{} = fg_{i}, \quad f_{i}^{h}g_{h}^{} = -ff_{i},$$

$$f^{i}f_{i}^{} = g^{i}g_{i}^{} = 1 - f^{2}, \quad f^{i}g_{i}^{} = 0.$$

Last, we denote by  $\overline{R}_{
u\mu\lambda\kappa}$  and  $R_{kjih}$  the components of the curvature tensors of  $\overline{M}$  and M respectively, then we find

Note on Surface of Codimension 2 in a Kaehlerian Manifold

61

$$(2.8) \quad R_{kji}^{\ h} = H_k^{\ h} H_{ji} - H_{ki} H_j^{\ h} + K_k^{\ h} K_{ji} - K_{ki} K_j^{\ h} + \overline{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\ \kappa} B_k^{\ \nu} B_j^{\ \mu} B_i^{\ \lambda} B_k^{\ h} = K_{\mu}^{\ \nu} B_i^{\ \mu} B_i^{\ \lambda} B_k^{\ h} B_j^{\ \mu} B_i^{\ \lambda} B_k^{\ h} = \overline{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\ \kappa} B_k^{\ \nu} B_j^{\ \mu} B_i^{\ \lambda} C_{\kappa},$$

$$\nabla_k K_{ji} - \nabla_j K_{ki} - H_{ki} L_j + H_{ji} L_k = \overline{R}_{\nu\mu\lambda}^{\ \kappa} B_k^{\ \nu} B_j^{\ \mu} B_i^{\ \lambda} D_{\kappa},$$

Which are the so-called Gauss and Codazzi equations.

First, We shall prove the following

LEMMA 2.1 The scalar function f defined by (2.1) is determined independently

of the choice of mutually orthogonal unit normal vectors  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  to the surface M, and consequently f is globally defined in M.

PROOF. Let  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  be mutually orthogonal unit normal vectors to the manifold M at a point P, then we find that, between a pair of unit normal vectors  $(C^{\lambda}, D^{\lambda})$  and  $(C^{\lambda}, D^{\lambda})$  chosen as above at each point of M the relatons (2.1) hold. So we find,  $f = C^{\lambda} F_{\lambda}^{\kappa} D_{\kappa}$ , which shows that f is independent of the choice of unit normal vectors  $C^{\lambda}$  and  $D^{\lambda}$  and that f is a globally defined.

### 3. Totally umbilical surfaces of codimension 2 in a Kaehlerian manifold.

When, at each point of the surface M of codimension 2, the relations  $H_{ji} = Hg_{ji}$ ,  $K_{ji} = Kg_{ji}$  are always valid, the surface is called a totally umbilical surface, H and K being given by  $\frac{1}{2n-2}g^{ji}H_{ji}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2n-2}g^{ji}K_{ji}$  respectively.

The mean curvature vector field  $H^{\lambda}$  of M in  $\overline{M}$  is given by  $H^{\lambda} = HC^{\lambda} + KD^{\lambda}$ 

Then the following theorem is well known [4]

THEOREM B. Let M be a (2n-1)-dimensional totally umbilical surface in a (2n+1)-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\overline{M}$ . If the covariant derivative  $\nabla_j H^{\lambda}$  of the mean curvature vector field  $H^{\lambda}$  of M is tangent to M, then M is of constant mean curvature.

Next, We shall prove

LEMMA 3.1. Let M be a (2n-2)-dimensional totally umbilical surface with nonzero mean curvature in a Kaehlerian manifold. Suppose that  $\nabla_j H^{\lambda}$  is tangent to M, then the function f defined by (2.4) is non-constant.

PROOF. Suppose that the function f is constant in M. Differentiating covariantly the last equation of (2.6), we get

#### 62 Jin-Suk Pak

(3.1)  $\nabla_j \nabla_i f = -f(H^2 + K^2) g_{ji},$ 

from which we have f=0. Again, transvecting  $\nabla_j f$  of (2.6) with  $f^j$  and  $g^j$  respectively and taking account of (2.7) and f=0, we find K=0, H=0 respectively. These results contradict to our assumption. Thus, the function f is non-constant,

As a consequence of this lemma and theorem B, we have

LEMMA 3.2 Let M be a (2n-2)-dimensional umbilical surface with non-zero mean

curvature in a Kaehlerian manifold. Suppose that  $\nabla_j H^{\lambda}$  is tangent to M, then the gradient of the scalar function f is an infinitesimal special concircular transformation.

Combining lemma 3.2 and theorem A, we have

THEOREM 3.3. Let M be a (2n-2)-dimensional complete connected totally umbilical surface with non-zero mean curvature in Kaehlerian manifold  $(n\geq 2)$ . Suppose that  $\nabla_j H^{\lambda}$  is tangent to M, then M is isometric with a sphere of radius  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{H^2+K^2}}$  in the Euclidean space, where  $H^2+K^2$  is the mean curvature of M.

It has been proved that the Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\overline{K}$  has the curvature tensor of the form [2]

(3.2) 
$$R_{\nu\mu\lambda\kappa} = k(G_{\nu\kappa}G_{\mu\lambda} - G_{\nu\lambda}G_{\mu\kappa} + F_{\nu\kappa}F_{\mu\lambda} - F_{\nu\lambda}F_{\mu\kappa} - 2F_{\nu\mu}F_{\lambda\kappa}), \text{ where}$$
$$k = \overline{K}/4 \text{ is constant. Substituting (3.2) into (2.8),}$$
(3.3) 
$$R_{\kappa jih} = H_{kh}H_{ji} - H_{hi}H_{jh} + K_{kh}K_{ji} - K_{hi}K_{jh} + k(g_{kh}g_{ji})$$

$$-g_{ki}g_{jh}+f_{kh}f_{ji}-f_{ki}f_{jh}-2f_{kj}f_{ih}$$

and

(3.4)  $\nabla_k H_{ji} - \nabla_j H_{ki} - K_{ji} L_k + K_{ki} L_j = k(f_k f_{ji} - f_j f_{ki} - 2f_i f_{kj})$ ,  $f_{ji}$  being defined by  $f_{ji} = g_{ih} f_j^{h}$ . Suppose that M is a totally umbilical suaface of codimension 2 with non-zero mean curvature in  $\overline{M}$  and that the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector of M is tangent to M. Transvecting (3.4) with  $g^{ji}$ , we get  $0 = -3kf(1-f^2)$  by virtue of the skew symmetry of  $f_{ji}$ . Taking account of lemma 3.1 and transvecting (3.3) with  $g^{kh}$ , we obtain  $R_{ji} = (2n-3)(H^2 + K^2)g_{ji}$ . Thus we have the following

THEOREM 3.4 Let M be a totally umbilical surface of codimension 2 with non-zero mean curvature in a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional cucvature. If the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector of M is tangent

Note on Surface of Codimension of 2 in a Kaehlerian Manifold

to M, then M is Einstein space.

From this theorem, we have

COROLLARY 3.5. If the covariant derivative of the mean curvature vector of M is tangent to M, then there is no totally umbilical surface of codimension 2 with non-zero mean curvature other than Einstein in a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

### Kyungpook University

63

۰.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Tashiro, Y., Complete Riemannian manifolds and some vector field. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117(1965), 251-275.
- [2] Yano. K., And I.Mogi, On real representations of Kaehlerian manifolds. Ann. of Math.
   61 (1955), 170-188.
- [3] Yano, K., Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces, Pergamon press, (1965).
- [4] Watanabe, Y., Totally umbilical surfaces in normal contact Riemannian minifolds, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. Vol. 19, 1967.

. . .