

J. Korean Math. Soc. 7(1970), pp 15—18

A Note on the Complexification of a Ring

YOUNG L. PARK

The main objective of this note is to describe a relationship between the structure space of a ring and the corresponding space of its complexification. Also as byproducts it is shown that the maximal ring of quotients $Q(C(X))$ of the ring $C(X)$ of all complex-valued continuous functions on X does not have any complex ideal if X is a separable metric space without isolated points, and the structure space of $Q(C(X))$ is the projective cover [4] of the Stone-Čech compactification βX of a completely regular Hausdorff space X .

1. Complexification. The symbol A will be used throughout this section to represent a commutative ring with unit e . Consider the cartesian product $A \times A$, and define the operation $+$ and \cdot on $A \times A$ by $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$ and $(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + bc)$. Put $A_c = (A \times A, +, \cdot)$. Then it is readily verified that A_c is a commutative ring with unit $(e, 0)$ and the mapping $a \rightarrow (a, 0)$ is an isomorphism of A into A_c . We call the ring A_c the complexification of A . Also it is easy to check that if I is an ideal in A then its complexification I_c is also an ideal in A_c . For a subset S of A_c we put

$$r(S) = \{a \in A \mid (a, b) \in S \text{ for some } b \in A\},$$

$$i(S) = \{b \in A \mid (a, b) \in S \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

Then clearly $S \subseteq r(S) \times i(S)$. We prove following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If J is an ideal in A_c , then $r(J) = i(J) (\cong I)$ and I is an ideal in A .*

Proof. Let $x \in r(J)$. Then there exists $x' \in A$ such that $(x, x') \in J$. Since $e \in A$, $(0, e)(x, x') = (-ex', ex) = (-x', x) \in J$; hence $x \in i(J)$, i. e., $r(J) \subseteq i(J)$. Also $i(J) \subseteq r(J)$ can be shown in the same way. To show I an ideal, let $x, y \in I$. Then there exists $x', y' \in A$ such that $(x, x'), (y, y') \in J$. Hence $(x + y, x' + y') \in J$, i. e., $x + y \in I$. Since J is an ideal in A_c and $(x, 0) \in A_c$, $(x, 0)(y, y') = (xy, xy') \in J$; and hence $xy \in I$. Finally let $a \in A$ and $x \in I$. Then there exists $x' \in A$ such that $(x, x') \in I$, and $(a, 0)(x, x') = (ax, ax') = (ax, ax') \in J$, i. e., $ax \in I$.

The proof of the following Lemma is straightforward.

LEMMA 2. *For an ideal I in A , $(A/I)_c \cong A_c/I_c$.*

Received by the editors March 2, 1970.

REMARK. We remarked that, for a subset $J \subset A_C$, $J \subset r(J) \times i(J)$. In general $J \neq r(J) \times i(J)$ even though J is an ideal in A_C . For instance, consider $A = Z_2$ and $J = \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$, then clearly J is an ideal in A_C . But $r(J) = i(J) = \{0, 1\}$ and $J \neq r(J) \times i(J)$. This means that for arbitrary ideal J in A_C there may not exist an ideal I in A such that $J = I_C$. However, we have the following situation.

DEFINITION 1. A ring A is said to be weakly convex if all $e+a^2$, $a \in A$ are invertible in A .

LEMMA 3. Let A be a weakly convex ring. If M' is a maximal ideal in A_C , then there exists a maximal ideal M in A such that $M' = M_C$.

Proof. Put $M = r(M')$. Then M is an ideal in A . We show M is proper. Suppose $e \in M$, then $(e, b) \in M'$ for some $b \in A$, and hence $(e(e+b^2)^{-1}, -b(e+b^2)^{-1})(e, b) = (e, 0) \in M'$, a contradiction; hence $e \notin M$. Clearly $M' \subset M \times M$. Take any $(a, b) \in M \times M$. Suppose $(a, b) \notin M'$. Then there exists $(x, y) \in A_C$ such that $(e, 0) - (a, b)(x, y) \in M'$ since $(a, b) + M' \neq 0$ in A_C/M' . Then $(e - (ax - by), -(ay + bx)) \in M'$. Hence $e - (ax - by) \in M$ where $a, b \in M$ and $x, y \in A$. This implies that $e \in M$, a contradiction. Thus $M' = M_C$. Now we show that M is maximal in A . Let $a \notin M$. Then $(a+M, o') \neq 0$ in $(A/M)_C$ where o' denotes the zero in A/M . Since $(A/M)_C$ is a field, hence there exists $x, y \in A$ such that $(a+M, o')(x+M, y+M) = (e+M, o')$. Thus $((e-ax)+M, -ay+M) = (o', o')$, and hence $e-ax \in M$. This concludes that M is a maximal ideal in A .

LEMMA 4. If K is a formally real field, then its complexification K_C is a field.

Proof. Clearly K_C is a commutative ring with unit $(e, 0)$. Let $(a, b) \neq 0$ in K_C ; then $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$, or both $a, b \neq 0$ in K . Hence $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ in K . Clearly $(a(a^2+b^2)^{-1}, -b(a^2+b^2)^{-1}) \in K_C$ and hence $(a(a^2+b^2)^{-1}, -b(a^2+b^2)^{-1})(a, b) = (e, 0)$, i.e., each nonzero element of K_C is invertible.

REMARK. In general, K_C needs not be a field even if K is a field. For instance, if K is the field of complex numbers, then K_C is not a field since the element $(1, i)$ does not have an inverse element in K_C . Also consider the ring Z_2 , then Z_2 is a field, but the complexification $(Z_2)_C$ is not a field since $(1, 1)$ does not have an inverse element in $(Z_2)_C$. As a matter of fact $(Z_2)_C$ is not even an integral domain.

NOTATION. By $\Omega(A)$ we denote the structure space of A , i.e., the maximal ideal space of A endowed with the Stone topology.

THEOREM. Let A be a weakly convex ring such that, for each maximal ideal M ,

the quotient field A/M is formally real. Then $\Omega(A)$ is homeomorphic to $\Omega(A_C)$.

Proof. The mapping $\theta : \Omega(A) \rightarrow \Omega(A_C)$ defined by $\theta(M) = M_C$ is clearly one to one, and in virtue of the Lemma 3 the mapping θ is onto. To show that θ is a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that $\theta(\Omega(ab)) = \Omega_C((a, b))$ where $\Omega(a)$, $a \in A$ and $\Omega_C((a, b))$, $(a, b) \in A_C$ are the basic open sets of $\Omega(A)$ and $\Omega(A_C)$ respectively. This follows from the fact that $ab \notin M$ iff $a \notin M$ and $b \notin M$, and iff $(a, b) \in M_C = \theta(M)$.

2. Applications. Let X denote a completely regular Hausdorff space and ϑ a filter base of dense subsets of X . Denote by $C_\vartheta(X)$ the ring of all complex valued functions f on X which have continuous restriction $f|D$ to some member D of ϑ and by $Z_\vartheta(X)$ the ideal of $C_\vartheta(X)$ consisting of all functions f with $f|D = 0$ for some D in ϑ . Put $Q_\vartheta(X) = C_\vartheta(X)/Z_\vartheta(X)$. It is known in [1] that if ϑ is the set of all dense open subsets of X then $Q_\vartheta(X)$ is the ring of fractions of $C(X)$, i.e., $Q_\vartheta(X)$ is the maximal ring of quotients of $C(X)$. The corresponding ring for the real-valued functions will be denoted by $Q_\vartheta(X, R)$, i.e., $Q_\vartheta(X, R) = C_\vartheta(X, R)/Z_\vartheta(X, R)$. It is evident that, for $u \in Q_\vartheta(X)$ with $u \notin Z_\vartheta(X)$, the mapping $u \rightarrow (Re(f) \cdot Z_\vartheta(X, R), Im(f) \cdot Z_\vartheta(X, R))$ is an isomorphism of $Q_\vartheta(X)$ onto $Q_\vartheta(X, R)_C$. Also we note that $Q_\vartheta(X, R)$ is weakly convex.

DEFINITION 2. A maximal ideal M of a ring A is said to be complex (resp. real) if the quotient field A/M is isomorphic to the field of complex (resp. real) numbers. A ring is said to be totally uncomplex (resp. totally unreal) if it does not have any complex (resp. real) ideal.

In virtue of Lemma 2 and 3, the Corollary 1 is an immediate consequence.

COROLLARY 1. If a ring A is weakly convex, then A_C is totally uncomplex iff A is totally unreal.

COROLLARY 2. If $Q_\vartheta(X)$ is totally uncomplex, then $\bigcap \vartheta = \emptyset$, and the converse holds, provided each member of ϑ is realcompact.

Proof. If $Q_\vartheta(X, R)$ is totally unreal, then $\bigcap \vartheta = \emptyset$ [6], and the converse holds if each member of ϑ is realcompact.

COROLLARY 3. Let X be a separable realcompact space without isolated point such that every closed subset is G_δ -set; then the maximal ring of quotients of $C(X)$ is totally uncomplex.

COROLLARY 4. For a separable metric space X without isolated point, the maximal ring of quotients of $C(X)$ is totally uncomplex.

LEMMA 5. For a filter base \mathcal{v} of dense subsets of X , the quotient field $Q_{\mathcal{v}}(X, R)/M$ is real-closed for each maximal ideal M .

Proof. Essentially the same as in [3, Theorem 13.4].

COROLLARY 5. The structure space of the maximal ring of quotients of $C(X)$ is the projective cover of βX .

Proof. Let \mathcal{v} be the set of all dense open subsets of X . From Lemma 5, for each maximal ideal M , $Q_{\mathcal{v}}(X, R)/M$ is formally real. Hence from Theorem 1, we have $\Omega(Q(C(X))) \cong \Omega(Q_{\mathcal{v}}(X)) \cong \Omega(Q_{\mathcal{v}}(X, R)) \cong \Omega(Q(C(X, R)))$. It is proved in [5] that $\Omega(Q(C(X, R)))$ is the projective cover of βX .

Bibliography

1. B. Banaschewski, *Maximal Rings of Quotients of Semi-simple Commutative Rings*. Arch. Math., 16(1965), 414—420.
2. N. Fine, L. Gillman and J. Lambek, *Rings of Quotients of rings of Functions*. McGill Univ. Press, Montreal, 1965.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous Functions*. Princeton, 1960.
4. A.M. Gleason. *Projective Topological Space*. III. J.Math., 2(1958), 482—489.
5. Y.L. Park, *On the Projective Cover of the Stone Čech Compactification of a Completely Regular Hausdorff Space*. Can. Math. Bull. Vol. 12, No.3, (1969), 327—331.
6. Y.L. Park, *On Quotient-like Extensions of $C(X)$* Can. Math. Bull. Vol. 12, No.6, (1969).

Laurentian University