NOTE ON INFINITESIMAL CL-TRANSFORMATIONS OF NORMAL AND K-CONTACT METRIC SPACES.

By U-Hang Ki

Y. Tashiro and S. Tachibana showed some characteristic properties of Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds in their paper [1], where the notion of C-loxodromes was introduced in an almost contact manifold with affine connection. Recently S. Kotō and M. Nagao have obtained invariant tensors under a CL-transformation [2].

Further K. Takamatsu and H. Mizusawa have shown some relations in a compact normal contact metric space under an infinitesimal *CL*-transformation [3].

In this note, we shall show that an infinitesimal CL-transformation in a normal contact and K-contact metric space has some analogous properties of [3]. In §1, some preliminary notions and identities are given for later use. In §2, we shall deal with a C-loxodrome and a CL-transformation. In §3, infinitesimal CL-transformations in a normal contact metric space will be concerned. In §4, in a K-contact Einstein metric space, an infinitesimal CL-transformation necessary projective.

§ 1. Preliminaries

An n(=2m+1)-dimensional differentiable manifold M of class C^{∞} with (φ, ξ, η, g) -structure (or an almost contact metric structure) has been defined by S. Sasaki [4]. By definition it is a manifold with tensor fields $\varphi_j^i, \xi^i, \eta_i$ and so called an associated Riemannian metric tensor g_{ji} defined over M which satisfy the following relations:

$$(1. 1) \xi^i \eta_i = 1,$$

(1. 2)
$$rank |\varphi_{j}^{i}| = n-1,$$

$$(1. 3) \qquad \varphi_j^{i} \xi^j = 0,$$

$$(1. 4) \varphi_j^i \eta_i = 0,$$

$$(1. 5) \qquad \varphi_j^{\ r} \varphi_r^{\ i} = -\delta^i_j + \xi^i \eta_j,$$

$$(1. 6) g_{ji} \xi^{j} = \eta_{i},$$

$$(1. 7) g_{ji}\varphi_k^{\ j}\varphi_h^{\ i} = g_{kh} - \eta_k \eta_h.$$

On the other hand let M be a differentiable manifold with a contact structure. If we put

$$(1. 8) 2\mathbf{g}_{ir}\varphi_{j}^{r} = 2\varphi_{ji} = \partial_{j}\eta_{i} - \partial_{i}\eta_{j},$$

then we can find four tensors $\varphi_j^i, \xi^i, \eta_i$ and g_{ji} so that they define an (φ, ξ, η, g) -structure. Such a structure is called a contact metric structure [4].

In an almost contact metric space there are four tensor fields $N_{ji}^{\ h}$, $N_j^{\ i}$, N_{ji} and N_j which are the analogue of the Nijenhuis tensor in an almost complex structure [4]. In a contact metric space, $N_j^{\ i}=0$ and $N_{ji}=0$ hold good, $N_j^{\ i}=0$ is equivalent to the fact ξ^i is a Killing vector field and $N_{ji}^{\ h}=0$ yields $N_j^{\ i}=0$.

A contact metric space with $N_{ji}=0$ or $N_{ji}^{\ h}=0$ is called a K-contact metric space or a normal contact metric space respectively. Of course a normal contact metric space is a K-contact metric space and a K-contact metric space is a contact metric space [6]. In the following we consider a notation η^i instead of ξ^i .

A K-contact metric space in which the Ricci tensor takes the form

$$(1. 9) R_{ji} = \alpha g_{ji} + b \eta_j \eta_i;$$

is called a K-contact η -Einstein space, where a and b become constant (n>3), and

(1.10)
$$a+b=n-1$$
, $R=an+b$

hold good [5], [6].

Let $R_{kji}^{\ \ h}$ be the Riemannian curvature tensor and put

(1.11)
$$H_{ji} = \varphi^{kh} R_{kjih}, \text{ then } H_{ji} = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{kh} R_{khji}.$$

In a contact metric space, φ_{ji} is a skew symmetric closed tensor and

$$(1.12) \qquad \nabla_r \varphi_j^r = (n-1)\eta_j$$

holds good, where ∇_i denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection.

In a K-contact metric space the following identities are valid [6].

$$\nabla_{j}\eta_{i}=\varphi_{ji},$$

$$(1.14) \qquad \nabla_k \varphi_{ji} + R_{rkji} \eta^r = 0,$$

$$(1.15) R_{kjih}\eta^k\eta^j = 0,$$

$$(1.16) R_{kjih}\eta^k\eta^k = g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i,$$

(1.17)
$$R_{ir}\eta^{r} = (n-1)\eta_{i}.$$

In a normal contact metric space

$$(1.18) \qquad \nabla_k \varphi_{ji} = \eta_j g_{ki} - \eta_i g_{kj},$$

(1.19)
$$\eta_{r} R_{kji}{}^{r} = \eta_{k} g_{ji} - \eta_{j} g_{ki},$$

(1.20)
$$\varphi_{j}^{r}R_{ri} = H_{ji} + (n-2)\varphi_{ji}$$

and also (1.13), (1.17) hold good [6].

$\S 2.$ C-loxodromes and infinitesimal CL-transformations ([1], [3]).

The equation of a C-loxodrome in a normal contact metric space in terms of any prameter t is

$$(2. 1) \qquad \frac{\delta^2 x^h}{dt^2} = \alpha \frac{dx^h}{dt} + a\eta_j \varphi_i^h \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^l}{dt},$$

where δ is indicates the covariant differentiation along the curve $x^{i}(t)$, α is a function of t and a is a constant.

Now let us consider a relation between symmetric affine connections in an almost contact manifold. If it carries C-loxodrames to C-loxodromes, then it will be called a CL-transformation. By the usual process it follows that their connections are in the relation

$$T_{ji}^{h} - \Gamma_{ji}^{h} = \rho_{j} \delta_{i}^{h} + \rho_{i} \delta_{j}^{h} + \alpha (\eta_{j} \varphi_{i}^{h} + \eta_{i} \varphi_{j}^{h}),$$

where ρ_i is a vector field and α is a certain scalar [1].

In a normal contact or K-contact metric space a vector v^i is called an infinitesimal CL-transformation if it satisfies

(2. 2)
$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\begin{matrix} h \\ ji \end{matrix}\right\} = \rho_j \delta_i^h + \rho_i \delta_j^h + \alpha (\eta_j \varphi_i^h + \eta_i \varphi_j^h),$$

where \mathcal{L}_{v} is the operator of Lie derivative and $\begin{Bmatrix} h \\ j_i \end{Bmatrix}$ is Riemannian connection. Contracting h and j in (2.2), we see that ρ_i is a gradient.

In a normal contact metric space an infinitesimal CL-transformation hold good

the following relations [3].

(2. 3)
$$\pounds R_{ji} = (1-n)\nabla_{j}\rho_{i} + 2\alpha(n\eta_{j}\eta_{i} - g_{ji}) + \eta_{j}\varphi_{i}^{\ r}\nabla_{r}\alpha + \eta_{i}\varphi_{j}^{\ r}\nabla_{r}\alpha,$$

(2. 4)
$$\eta_k \mathfrak{L} R_{kji}^{\ \ h} = \eta_j \nabla_k \rho_i - \eta_k \nabla_j \rho_i + \alpha (\eta_k g_{ji} - \eta_j g_{ki}).$$

Taking the Lie derivative of the both sides of (1.19) and substituting (2.4) into the equation thus obtained, we get

$$R_{kji}^{h} \pounds \eta_{h} = g_{ji} \pounds \eta_{k} + \eta_{k} \pounds g_{ji} - g_{ki} \pounds \eta_{j} - \eta_{j} \pounds g_{ki} - \eta_{j} \nabla_{k} \rho_{i}$$
$$+ \eta_{k} \nabla_{j} \rho_{i} + \alpha (\eta_{j} g_{ki} - \eta_{k} g_{ji}).$$

Transvecting the last equation with $g^{\prime\prime}$, we have

(2. 5)
$$R_{k}^{h} \pounds_{v} \eta_{h} = (n-1) \pounds_{v} \eta_{k} + \eta_{k} (g^{ji} \pounds_{v} g_{ji} + \nabla_{r} \rho^{r}) - \eta^{r} (\pounds_{v} g_{kr} + \nabla_{k} \rho_{r}) + \alpha \eta_{k} (1-n).$$

Finally we shall prepare the following two theorems which have been proved by H. Mizusawa and K. Takamatsu.

LEMMA 2.1. In a normal contact metric space, if v^i is an infinitesimal CL-transformation, then the following relation holds good [3].

(2. 6)
$$\pounds_{\boldsymbol{y}} g_{ji} = -\nabla_{j} \rho_{i} + \alpha (g_{ji} + \eta_{j} \eta_{i}).$$

LEMMA 2.2. In a normal contact metric space of constant scalar curvature, the relation

(2. 7)
$$\nabla^{i} H_{ji} = [R - (n-1)^{2}] \eta_{j}$$

holds good [6].

§ 3. Infinitesimal CL-transformations in a normal contact metric space.

Let v^t be an infinitesimal CL-transformation in a normal contact metric space. Substituting (2.2) and (2.6) into the identity

$$\nabla_k \mathfrak{L}_{g_{ji}} = g_{hi} \mathfrak{L}_{v}^{\left\{h\atop ki\right\}} + g_{jh} \mathfrak{L}_{v}^{\left\{h\atop ki\right\}},$$

and using (1.13), we get

$$(3. 1) \qquad -\nabla_k \nabla_j \rho_i + (g_{ji} + \eta_j \eta_i) \nabla_k \alpha = 2\rho_k \rho_{ji} + \rho_j g_{ki} + \rho_i g_{jk}.$$

By virtue of Ricci identity, this equation is written as

$$(3. 2) R_{kjir}\rho^r + (g_{ji} + \eta_j\eta_i)\nabla_k\alpha - (g_{ki} + \eta_k\eta_i)\nabla_j\alpha = \rho_kg_{ji} - \rho_jg_{ki}.$$

Transvecting (3.2) with g^{kh} , we have

$$(3. 3) R_{rij}{}^h \rho^r + (g_{ji} + \eta_j \eta_i) \nabla^h \alpha - (\delta_i^h + \eta^h \eta_i) \nabla_j \alpha = \rho^h g_{ji} - \rho_j \delta_i^h.$$

Moreover, transvecting (3.1) with g^{ih} , we get

$$(3. 4) \qquad -\nabla_k \nabla_j \rho^h + (\delta_j^h + \eta^h \eta_j) \nabla_k \alpha = 2\rho_k \delta_j^h + \rho_j \delta_k^h - \rho^h g_{kj}.$$

According to (3.3) and (3.4), it follows that

$$(3. 5) \qquad \mathcal{L}{\left\{h\atop kj\right\}} + 2(\rho_k \delta_j^h + \rho_j \delta_k^h) = (\delta_k^h + \eta^h \eta_k) \nabla_j \alpha + (\delta_j^h + \eta^h \eta_j) \nabla_k \alpha$$
$$-(g_{jk} + \eta_j \eta_k) \nabla^h \alpha.$$

Thus we have the following

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let v^i be an infinitesimal CL-transformation and ρ_i be its associated vector. If α is constant then ρ^i is an infinitesimal projective transformation.

Next we shall prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2.* Let M be a normal contact metric space of constant scalar curvature $R \neq n(n-1)$ and ρ_i be an associated vector of an infinitesimal CL-transformation, then $\eta^r \rho_r = 0$.

PROOF. Contracting h and i in (3.3), we have

$$-R_{rj}\rho^r + \eta_j\eta_r\nabla^r\alpha - n\nabla_j\alpha = \rho_j - n\rho_j.$$

Transvecting the last equation with η^{j} and using of (1.17), we get

(3. 6)
$$\eta^r \nabla_r \alpha = 0 \text{ for } n > 1.$$

On the other hand, transvecting (3.2) with φ^{ji} and using (1.4) and (1.11), we have

$$(3. 7) H_{kr}\rho^r = \varphi_k^r(\rho_r - \nabla_r \alpha).$$

^{*} This result is also obtained by K. Takamatsu and H. Mizusawa in compact case.

Operating ∇^k to (3.7) and making use of (1.12) and (3.6), we get

(3. 8)
$$\rho^r \nabla^i H_{ir} = (1-n)\rho^r \eta_r$$
.

According to (3.8) and (2.7), it follows that

$$[R-n(n-1)]\eta^{r}\rho_{r}=0.$$

This completes the proof by Lemma 2.2.

Q. E. D.

K. Takamatsu and H. Mizusawa have proved that, in an n(n>3)-dimensional compact normal contact metric space, an infinitesimal CL-transformation is necessary projective.

Now we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. In an n(n>1)-dimensional normal contact metric space an infinitesimal CL-transformation with $\eta_r o^r = 0$ is necessary projective.

PROOF. Substituting (2.6) into (2.5), we get

$$(3. 9) R_k^h \mathfrak{L}\eta_h = (n-1)\mathfrak{L}\eta_k.$$

On the other hand (1.17) yields that

$$R_k^h \pounds \eta_h + \eta_h \pounds R_k^h = (n-1) \pounds \eta_k.$$

Thus we have

(3.10)
$$\eta_h \pounds R_h^h = \eta_h R_{hr} \pounds g^{hr} + \eta^r \pounds R_{hr} = 0.$$

From (2.6), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{L}g^{hr} = \nabla^r \rho^h - \alpha(g^{hr} + \eta^h \eta^r),$$

and substituting this and (2.3) into (3.10), we get

$$(3.11) R_h^r \eta_h \nabla_r \rho^h + (1-n)\eta_h \nabla_h \rho^h + \varphi_h^r \nabla_r \alpha = 0.$$

By hypothesis, i.e., $\eta_h \rho^h = 0$, we get

(3.12)
$$\eta_h \nabla_k \rho^h = -\rho^h \nabla_k \eta_h = \rho^h \varphi_{hh}.$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.11), we have

$$\rho^h \varphi_{hr} R_h^r + (1-n)\rho^h \varphi_{hk} + \varphi_k^r \nabla_r \alpha = 0.$$

By (1.20), this equation can be written as

$$H_{hk}\rho^h - \varphi_{hk}\rho^h + \varphi_k^r \nabla_r \alpha = 0.$$

From (3.7) and the last equation, it follows that

Transvecting (3.13) with φ_j^k and using (1.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\nabla_{b}\alpha=0$$
, i.e. $\alpha=$ constant.

This completes the proof by proposition 3.1.

Q. E. D.

According to proposition 3.2 and theorem 3.3, we have the following.

COROLLARY. In an n(n>1)-dimensional normal contact metric space with constant scalar curvature $R \neq n(n-1)$, an infinitesimal CL-transformation is necessary projective.

\S 4. Infinitesimal CL-transformations in a K-contact metric space.

Let v^i be an infinitesimal CL-transformation in a K-contact metric space.

LEMMA 4.1. In a K-contact metric space if v^i is an infinitesimal CL-transformation, then (2.3) and (2.4) hold good.

PROOF. From (2.2) we have

$$(4. 1) \qquad \nabla_{k} \mathcal{L}_{v}^{h} \left\{ h_{ji} \right\} = \delta_{i}^{h} \nabla_{k} \rho_{j} + \delta_{j}^{h} \nabla_{k} \rho_{i} + \alpha (\varphi_{i}^{h} \nabla_{k} \eta_{j} + \eta_{j} \nabla_{k} \varphi_{i}^{h} + \varphi_{j}^{h} \nabla_{k} \eta_{i} + \eta_{i} \nabla_{k} \varphi_{i}^{h}) + (\eta_{i} \varphi_{i}^{h} + \eta_{i} \varphi_{j}^{h}) \nabla_{k} \alpha.$$

Substituting (4.1) into the following identity

$$\mathfrak{L}_{v}^{R_{kij}^{h}} = \nabla_{k}\mathfrak{L}_{v}^{h} \left\{ \frac{h}{ii} \right\} - \nabla_{j}\mathfrak{L}_{v}^{h} \left\{ \frac{h}{ki} \right\},$$

and using of (1.13) and (1.14), we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{h}R_{kji}^{h} = \delta_{j}^{h}\nabla_{k}\rho_{i} - \delta_{k}^{h}\nabla_{j}\rho_{i} + \alpha\{2\varphi_{kj}\varphi_{i}^{h} + \varphi_{ki}\varphi_{j}^{h} - \varphi_{ji}\varphi_{k}^{h} - \eta_{j}\eta^{r}R_{rki}^{h} + \eta_{k}\eta^{r}R_{rji}^{h} - \eta_{i}\eta^{r}R_{rkj}^{h} + \eta_{i}\eta^{r}R_{rjk}^{h}\} + \varphi_{i}^{h}(\eta_{j}\nabla_{k}\alpha - \eta_{k}\nabla_{j}\alpha) + \eta_{i}(\varphi_{j}^{h}\nabla_{k}\alpha - \varphi_{k}^{h}\nabla_{j}\alpha).$$

Contracting h and k in (4.2) and using of (1.16) and (1.17), we have

$$\begin{split} \pounds_{v}R_{ji} &= (1-n)\nabla_{j}\rho_{i} + \alpha\{2(-g_{ji} + \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) + 2(n-1)\eta_{j}\eta_{i}\} \\ &+ \eta_{i}\varphi_{i}{}^{r}\nabla_{r}\alpha + \eta_{i}\varphi_{i}{}^{r}\nabla_{r}\alpha. \end{split}$$

Hence, we have (2.3).

Similarly transvecting (4.2) with η_h , we get (2.4).

Q. E. D.

Transvecting (2.4) with η^k , it can be written as

(4. 3)
$$\eta^k \eta_k \mathfrak{L} R_{kji}^{\ \ k} = \eta_j \eta_r \nabla^r \rho_i - \nabla_j \rho_i + \alpha (\mathbf{g}_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i).$$

Taking the Lie derivative of the both sides of (1.16) and substituting (4.3) into the equation obtained, we get

$$(4. 4) \qquad \pounds g_{ji} = \eta_j \eta^r \nabla_r \rho_i - \nabla_j \rho_i + \alpha (g_{ji} - \eta_j \eta_i) + \eta^k R_{kji}^{\ \ h} \pounds \eta_h$$

$$+ \eta_h R_{kji}^{\ \ h} \pounds \eta^k + \eta_j \pounds \eta_i + \eta_i \pounds \eta_j.$$

Next, from (1.9) we have

(4. 5)
$$\pounds R_{ji} = a \pounds g_{ji} + b(\eta_i \pounds \eta_j + \eta_j \pounds \eta_i).$$

Substituting (2.3), (1.10) and (4.4) into (4.5), we get

$$(1-n)\nabla_{j}\rho_{i}+2\alpha(n\eta_{j}\eta_{i}-g_{ji})+\eta_{j}\varphi_{i}^{r}\nabla_{r}\alpha+\eta_{i}\varphi_{j}^{r}\nabla_{r}\alpha$$

$$(4.6) = a\{\eta_{j}\eta^{r}\nabla_{r}\rho_{i} - \nabla_{j}\rho_{i} + \alpha(g_{ji} - \eta_{j}\eta_{i}) + \eta^{k}R_{kji}{}^{h}\mathcal{L}\eta_{k} + \eta_{k}R_{kji}{}^{h}\mathcal{L}\eta^{k}\} + (n-1)(\eta_{j}\mathcal{L}\eta_{i} + \eta_{i}\mathcal{L}\eta_{j}).$$

Transvecting (4.6) with g^{ji} , we have

(4.7)
$$(1-n)\nabla^{r}\rho_{r} = a\{\beta - \nabla^{r}\rho_{r} + \alpha(n-1)\} + 2(n-1)\eta^{r} \pounds \eta_{r},$$

where β

$$\beta = \eta^r \eta^s \nabla_r \rho_s.$$

On the other hand, (1.17) yields that

(4. 8)
$$\eta^{j} \pounds R_{ji} + R_{ji} \pounds \eta^{j} = (n-1) \pounds \eta_{i}.$$

Substituting (2.3) into (4.8) and transvecting with η^i , we have

$$(1-n)\eta^r \eta^s \nabla_r \rho_s + 2\alpha(n-1) = 2(n-1)\eta^r \pounds \eta_r$$

or

(4. 9)
$$2\alpha - \beta = 2\eta^r \mathfrak{L}\eta_r, \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

From (4.7) and (4.9) it follows that

$$\{a - (n-1)\} \nabla^r \rho_r = (n-1)(a+2)\alpha + \{a - (n-1)\}\beta.$$

Suppose a=n-1 (i.e. Einstein metric space) then the last equation can be written as $(n-1)^2\alpha=0$. Therefore $\alpha=0$ for n>1.

Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. In a K-contact Einstein metric space, an infinitesimal CL-transformation is necessary projective.

February 1967
Department of Mathematics
Teacher's College
Kyungpook University

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Tashiro and S. Tachibana, On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 15(1963)176-183.
- [2] S. Kotō and M. Nagao, On invariant tensor under a CL-transformation. Kōdai, Math. Sem. Rep. 18(1966)87-95.
- [3] K. Takamatsu and H. Mizusawa, On infinitesimal CL-transformations of compact normal contact metric spaces, Science Rep. of Niig. Univ. A (1966)31-39.
- [4] S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama, On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures. Journ. Math. Soc. Japan. 14(1962)249-271.
- [5] M. Okumura, On infinitesimal and projective transformations of normal contact spaces. Tohoku. Math. Journ. 14(1962)398-412.
- [6] M. Mizusawa, On infinitesimal transformations of K-contact and normal contact metric spaces. Science Rep. of Niig. Univ. A (1964)5-18.