

RADICAL PROPERTIES AND PARTITIONS OF RINGS

By R. E. Propes and Y. L. Lee

§ 1. Introduction.

Let S be a class of rings. We shall say that a ring R is an S -ring if R is in S . An ideal J of a ring R is called an S -ideal if J is an S -ring. A ring which contains no non-zero S -ideal is said to be S -semi-simple. We shall call S a radical property if the following three conditions hold:

- (A) A homomorphic image of an S -ring is an S -ring.
- (B) Every ring R contains a largest S -ideal $S(R)$.
- (C) The quotient ring $R/S(R)$ is S -semi-simple.

The largest S -ideal $S(R)$ of a ring R is called the S -radical of R ; and if $S(R) = R$, then R is called an S -radical ring [1], [2], [3].

Let S be a radical property, and let \mathcal{a} be the class of all simple rings. Then S partitions \mathcal{a} into two disjoint classes: \mathcal{a}_1 , the upper class, consisting of all S -semi-simple rings of \mathcal{a} ; and \mathcal{a}_2 , the lower class consisting of all S -radical rings of \mathcal{a} . Conversely, if $(\mathcal{a}_1, \mathcal{a}_2)$ is any partition of \mathcal{a} with isomorphic rings in the same class, then there exists a radical property S such that every ring in \mathcal{a}_1 is an S -semi-simple ring, and every ring in \mathcal{a}_2 is an S -radical ring [2], [3]. However, the class of all simple rings is not the only class having this property. The purpose of this paper is to extend the class of rings enjoying this property.

§ 2.

Given a class M of rings with the property that every non-zero ideal of a ring in M can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero ring of M , let S_M be the class of all rings which cannot be mapped homomorphically onto any non-zero ring of M . Then S_M , the upper radical property determined by M , is the largest radical property such that every ring in M is semi-simple.

In [4] the lower radical property was constructed for any class \mathcal{a} of rings. The construction was as follows: Let \mathcal{a} be a class of rings from a category C of rings, and let $\bar{\mathcal{a}}$ be the class of all homomorphic images of rings in \mathcal{a} . For each ring R in C , let $D_{\bar{\mathcal{a}}}(R)$ be the set of all ideals of R . Inductively we define

$D_{n+1}(R)$ to be the set of all rings which are ideals of rings in $D_n(R)$, i.e., $Q \in D_{n+1}(R)$ if and only if Q is an ideal of a ring in $D_n(R)$. Setting $D(R) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n(R)$, a ring R is called an S_a -ring if $D(R/I)$ contains a non-zero ring which is isomorphic to a ring in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ for each ideal I of R and $I \neq R$. Then S_a is the smallest radical property for which every ring in \mathcal{A} is a radical ring.

THEOREM. *Let \mathcal{A} be a class of rings which satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) *If $R \in \mathcal{A}$ and I is a proper ideal of R , then I is isomorphic to R .*
- (2) *If $R \in \mathcal{A}$ and R/I is not isomorphic to R , then R/I is not isomorphic to any ring in \mathcal{A} .*

Then for any radical property S , every non-zero ring in \mathcal{A} is either an S -radical ring or an S -semi-simple ring. Moreover, for any partition $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$ of \mathcal{A} with isomorphic rings in the same class; if S is the upper radical property determined by \mathcal{A}_1 or the lower radical property determined by \mathcal{A}_2 , then each ring in \mathcal{A}_1 is S -semi-simple and each ring in \mathcal{A}_2 is S -radical.

NOTE. The following are examples of rings which satisfy (1) and (2).

1. The class of all simple rings.
2. Any class \mathcal{B} of simple rings.
3. $\mathcal{B} \cup \{C^\infty\} / (\{Z_p : p=2, 3, 5, \dots\} \cup \{R : R \text{ is isomorphic to } Z_p \text{ for some prime } p\})$, where C^∞ is the zero ring of integers, and Z_p is the zero ring of integers modulo a prime number p .

We also note:

- (3) *If a ring R has property (1), and R is isomorphic to a ring R' , then R' also has property (1).*

In the proof of the theorem we employ the following notation.

$R \approx R'$ denotes: The rings R and R' are isomorphic.

$I \leq R$ denotes: I is an ideal of the ring R .

O , (depending upon the context in which it appears), denotes: either the zero ring or the zero ideal of a ring.

PROOF OF THEOREM. By (1), for any radical property S , every non-zero ring R in \mathcal{A} is either S -radical or S -semi-simple. For let $0 \neq R \in \mathcal{A}$, then since $S(R)$ is an ideal of R , we have by (1) that $S(R) \approx R$, in which case R is S -radical; or $S(R) = 0$, in which case R is S -semi-simple.

Next, let $(\mathcal{a}_1, \mathcal{a}_2)$ be a partition of \mathcal{a} with isomorphic rings in the same class, and let S_{a_2} be the lower radical property (as constructed in [4] determined by \mathcal{a}_2). Then each ring in \mathcal{a}_2 is an S_{a_2} -radical ring. Now if $R \in \mathcal{a} \cap S_{a_2}$ then R is an S_{a_2} -radical ring so that $D(R/O)$ contains a non-zero ring which is isomorphic to a ring in $\bar{\mathcal{a}}_2$. Then $D(R)$ contains a non-zero ring I which is isomorphic to a ring in $\bar{\mathcal{a}}_2$, i.e., $I \approx A/K$ where $A \in \mathcal{a}_2$ and $K \leq A$. Let n be the smallest positive integer such that I is in $D_n(R)$. Then there exists a finite set $\{J_i : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of rings with $J_i \in D_i(R)$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ and $J_{i+1} \leq J_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$ and $J_n = I$. Thus $O \neq I = J_n \leq J_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq J_1 \leq R$. By (1) we have $J_1 \approx R$. From (3) and (1) it then follows that $I = J_n \approx J_{n-1} \approx \dots \approx J_1 \approx R$, i.e., $I \approx R$ and so $R \approx A/K$. But $R \in \mathcal{a}$ and $A \in \mathcal{a}_2$ so that by (2) we have $A \approx A/K$; whence $R \approx A$ and $R \in \mathcal{a}_2$. Hence, we see that every non-zero ring in \mathcal{a}_1 is S_{a_2} -semi-simple.

By (1) every non-zero ideal of a ring in \mathcal{a}_1 can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero ring in \mathcal{a}_1 . Hence we can construct the upper radical property S_{a_1} determined by \mathcal{a}_1 , and every ring in \mathcal{a}_1 is S_{a_1} -semi-simple. Now let $O \neq R$ be in \mathcal{a} and be S_{a_1} -semi-simple. Then there exists a non-zero ring A in \mathcal{a}_1 and an ideal I of R such that $R/I \cong A$. But $R \in \mathcal{a}$ and $A \in \mathcal{a}_1$. Therefore, by (2), $R/I \approx R$ and so $R \approx A$, i.e., $R \in \mathcal{a}_1$. Thus every non-zero ring in \mathcal{a}_2 must be an S_{a_1} -radical ring. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Kansas State University and
University of Florida

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] S. Amitsur, *A general theory of radicals* I, II, III, Amer. J. of Math. (1952), (1954).
- [2] N. H. Divinsky, *Rings and radicals*, University of Toronto Press, 1965
- [3] A. Kurosh, *Radicals of rings and algebras*, Mat. Sb., 33 (1953).
- [4] Y. L. Lee, *On the construction of lower radical properties of rings* (to appear).