

## ON PSEUDO MANIFOLD WITH $(fr, g)$ -STRUCTURE.

By Yongbai Baik

Yano [4] introduced the concept of  $f$ -structure satisfying  $f^3 + f = 0$  on an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold, and the author investigated integrability conditions from the global view point.

The  $f$ -structure may be regarded as a generalization of the almost complex structure and almost contact structure. Later on Kodo [1] has defined a normal  $(fr, g)$ -structure and investigated such an infinitesimal transformation  $v^h$  of a differentiable manifold with  $f$ -structure as leaves the structure tensor  $f_j^i$  invariant.

In the present paper, we shall define a pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$  structure and prove some identities valid in this manifold.

Furthermore, we define a  $f_j^i$ -invariant vector which is a generalization of an analytic vector in almost complex spaces, and deduce some theorems in pseudo manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure.

### § 1. Introduction.

We consider an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$  covered by a system of coordinate neighborhood  $\{x^h\}$ , and a tensor field  $f_i^h$  of type  $(1, 1)$  and of class  $C^\infty$  satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad f_i^t f_t^s f_s^h + f_i^h = 0. \quad (i, h, s, t, \dots = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

For a tensor  $f_i^h$  satisfying (1.1) the operators

$$(1.2) \quad l_i^h = -f_i^t f_t^h \quad \text{and} \quad m_i^h = -l_i^h + \delta_i^h$$

applied to the tangent space at a point of the manifold are complementary projection operators. Thus if there is given a tensor  $f_i^h$  satisfying (1.1), there exist complementary distributions  $L$  and  $M$  corresponding to the projection operators  $l_i^h$  and  $m_i^h$  respectively. If the rank of  $f$  is  $r$ , then we call such a structure an  $f$ -structure of rank  $r$  ( $r \leq n$ ), in this case the dimensions of  $L$  and  $M$  are  $r$  and  $n-r$  respectively.

For  $f_i^h$  satisfying (1.1) and  $l_i^h, m_i^h$  defined by (1.2), we have

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} l_i^t f_t^h = f_i^h, & l_i^t l_t^h = l_i^h, \\ m_i^t m_t^h = m_i^h, & f_i^t m_t^h = l_i^t m_t^h = 0. \end{cases}$$

If the rank of  $f$  is  $n$ , then  $l_i^h = \delta_i^h$  and  $m_i^h = 0$ , so that, we find that the  $f$ -structure of rank  $n$  is an almost complex structure. And if the rank of  $f$  is  $n-1$ , then the distribution  $M$  is one dimensional and  $m_j^i$  should have the form:

$$(1.4) \quad m_j^i = f^i f_j.$$

where  $f^i$  and  $f_j$  are contravariant and covariant vector respectively. From the relations (1.2) we have

$$(1.5) \quad f_j^t f_t^i = -f_j^i + f^i f_j.$$

Therefore, we find that the  $f$ -structure of rank  $n-1$  is an almost contact structure defined by Sasaki [4].

It is well known [6] that a manifold with  $f$ -structure of rank  $r$  always admits a positive definite Riemannian metric tensor  $g_{ji}$  such that

$$(1.6) \quad f_j^t f_i^s g_{ts} = g_{ji} - m_{ji}, \quad \text{where} \quad m_{ji} = m_j^t g_{ti},$$

from which we see that the tensor  $m_{ji}$  is a symmetric one.

If an  $f$ -structure of rank  $r$  admits a positive definite Riemannian metric defined by (1.6), then we shall call the structure an  $(f_r, g)$ -structure.

Next, operating  $\nabla_j$  to (1.1), we find

$$(1.7) \quad f_t^s f_s^h \nabla_j f_t^i + f_i^t f_s^h \nabla_j f_t^s + f_i^t f_t^s \nabla_j f_s^h + \nabla_j f_i^h = 0,$$

where  $\nabla_j$  denotes the operator of covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection formed with  $g_{ji}$ , and tensor  $f_{ji} = f_j^t g_{ti}$  is a skew-symmetric one [1].

Applying  $\nabla_h$  to (1.6), we have

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla_h m_{ji} + f_{jt} \nabla_h f_i^t + f_{it} \nabla_h f_j^t = 0.$$

From (1.3) and (1.7), we find [2]

$$(1.9) \quad f_i^t l_h^s \nabla_j f_{ts} = l_i^t f_h^s \nabla_j f_{ts}.$$

By virtue of (1.2) and (1.8), we have

$$(1.10) \quad m_t^j m_s^i \nabla_h m_{ji} = 0.$$

§ 2. Pseudo-manifold with  $(f_r, g)$ -structure.

In a manifold with  $(f_r, g)$ -structure, if its structure tensor  $f_i^h$  satisfies

$$(2. 1) \quad N_{ji}^h \equiv f_j^l \nabla_l f_i^h - f_i^l \nabla_l f_j^h - (\nabla_j f_i^l - \nabla_i f_j^l) f_l^h = 0.$$

and

$$(2. 2) \quad F_{jih} \equiv \nabla_j f_{ih} + \nabla_i f_{hj} + \nabla_h f_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(2. 3) \quad M_{jih} \equiv \nabla_j m_{ih} + \nabla_i m_{hj} + \nabla_h m_{ji} = 0,$$

where  $N_{ji}^h$  is the Nijenhuis tensor for the structure tensor  $f_i^h$  defined by Yano [4], then we shall call the manifold a pseudo-manifold with  $(f_r, g)$ -structure.

Transvecting (2.1) with  $m_h^k$ , we have

$$f_j^l f_i^h (\nabla_l m_h^k - \nabla_h m_l^k) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2. 4) \quad l_j^l l_i^h (\nabla_l m_h^k - \nabla_h m_l^k) = 0.$$

Thus, if the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes, then the distribution  $L$  is integrable [4].

Next, the Nijenhuis tensor can be written as

$$N_{jih} \equiv N_{ji}^k g_{kh} = f_j^l F_{lih} - f_i^l F_{ljh} + \nabla_i m_{hj} - \nabla_j m_{ih} - \nabla_h m_{ji} - 2f_i^l \nabla_h f_{jl}.$$

Using of (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$(2. 5) \quad \nabla_i m_{hj} - \nabla_j m_{ih} - \nabla_h m_{ji} = 2f_i^l \nabla_h f_{jl}.$$

Transvection (2.5) with  $m_i^j m_s^j$  by virtue of (1.10) we have

$$(2. 6) \quad m_i^j m_s^i (\nabla_i m_j^h - \nabla_j m_i^h) = 0.$$

This equation shows that the distribution  $M$  is integrable [1]. Thus we have-

**THEOREM 1.** *In a pseudo-manifold with  $(f_r, g)$ -structure, the distributions  $L$  and  $M$  are integrable.*

From (2.3) and (2.5), we get

$$(2. 7) \quad \nabla_i m_{hj} = f_i^l \nabla_h f_{jl}.$$

Since the tensor  $m_{hj}$  is symmetric in  $h$  and  $j$ , we find

$$(2.8) \quad f_i^l \nabla_h f_{jl} - f_i^l \nabla_j f_{hl} = 0.$$

taking account of (2.2), we get

$$(2.9) \quad f_i^l \nabla_l f_{jh} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.10) \quad l_i^l \nabla_l f_{jh} = 0.$$

Transvecting (2.9) with  $f_k^h$ , using of (2.7) we get

$$f_i^l \nabla_k m_{lj} = 0,$$

from which taking account of (1.3), we have

$$(2.11) \quad m_j^l \nabla_k f_{il} = 0.$$

From (2.2), we find

$$m_j^l \nabla_l f_{ik} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.12) \quad m_i^l \nabla_l f_{jh} = 0.$$

From (2.10) and (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \nabla_i f_{jh} = 0.$$

Conversely, if, in a manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, (2.13) holds good, then we get  $N_{ii} = 0$ ,  $F_{jih} = 0$  and  $M_{jih} = 0$ , which shows that the manifold is a pseudo-manifold. Thus we have

**THEOREM 2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition the manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure is pseudo-manifold is that (2.13) holds good.*

In a manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, if affine connection  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  satisfies

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_i f_{jk} = \partial_i f_{jk} - f_{ak} \Gamma_{ij}^a - f_{ja} \Gamma_{ik}^a = 0,$$

then we shall call the affine symmetric connection  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  is an  $f$ -connection defined by Yano.

Thus we have

**THEOREM 3.** *In a pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, an affine symmetric connection  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  is an  $f$ -connection.*

### § 3. Curvatures.

In this section, we shall assume we are in a pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure.

Let  $K_{hji}{}^l$  be the Riemannian curvature tensor, and we put

$$(3. 1) \quad K_{ji} = K_{lji}{}^l, \quad K = g^{ji} K_{ji}, \quad K_{hji} = K_{hji}{}^m g_{ml}$$

$$(3. 2) \quad H_{ji} = f^{lh} K_{hji}{}^l, \quad H = -f^{ji} H_{ji}$$

Applying the Ricci formulae to  $f_i^h$ , we have the following identities which are valid in a pseudo-manifold

$$(3. 3) \quad \nabla_k \nabla_j f_i^h - \nabla_j \nabla_k f_i^h = K_{kjl}{}^h f_i^l - K_{kji}{}^l f_l^h$$

Taking account of (2.13), we get

$$(3. 4) \quad K_{kjl}{}^h f_i^l - K_{kji}{}^l f_l^h = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.4) with  $g^{ji}$ , we get

$$K_k{}^l f_l^h = K_{kjl}{}^h f^{jl} = \frac{1}{2} (K_{kjl}{}^h - K_{klj}{}^h) f^{jl},$$

from which we have

$$(3. 5) \quad K_k{}^l f_{lh} = -\frac{1}{2} K_{jlk}{}^h f^{jl}.$$

From (3.2), we get

$$(3. 6) \quad K_k{}^l f_{lh} = -H_{kh}.$$

Since the tensor  $H_{kh}$  is a skew symmetric in  $k$  and  $h$ , we get

$$(3. 7) \quad K_k{}^l f_l^h = K_l{}^h f_k^l.$$

Transvecting (3.6) with  $f^{kh}$ , we have

$$(3. 8) \quad K - H = m^{kh} K_{kh}.$$

Next, we consider a pseudo-manifold of positive constant curvature, in this case the Riemannian curvature tensor takes the form;

$$(3. 9) \quad K_{hji} = \frac{K}{n(n-1)} (g_{hl} g_{ji} - g_{jl} g_{hi}).$$

Transvecting (3.7) with  $g^{lh}$ , we get

$$(3.10) \quad K_{ji} = \frac{K}{n} g_{ji},$$

from which we have

$$m^a K_{ji} = \frac{1}{n} m_a^a K.$$

Taking a count of (3.8), we have

$$(3.11) \quad K - H = \frac{1}{n} m_a^a K.$$

Transvecting (3.9) with  $f^{hl} f^{ji}$  and using of  $f^{hl} f^{ji} g_{hl} g_{ji} = 0$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad H = \frac{K}{n(n-1)} l_a^a.$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.13) \quad K - H = K \left( 1 - \frac{l_a^a}{n(n-1)} \right),$$

From (3.10) and (3.12), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{n-2}{n-1} K \left( 1 - \frac{m_a^a}{n} \right) = 0.$$

Since the relation  $m_a^a \neq n$ , we have  $K = 0$ , ( $n > 2$ ). Thus we have

**THEOREM 4.** *In a pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, there does not exist a manifold of non-zero constant curvature.*

#### § 4. $f_j^i$ -invariant.

In this section, we shall consider in a pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure a vector field  $v^h$  satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_v f_j^i = -f_j^l \nabla_l v^i + f_l^i \nabla_j v^l = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_v$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to an infinitesimal transformation  $v^h$ , then we shall call the vector field  $v^h$  is  $f_j^i$ -invariant. From (1.2), we easily get

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_v m_j^i = 0.$$

And the following identities are well known:

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_v \nabla_h f_j^i - \nabla_h \mathcal{L}_v f_j^i = f_j^l \mathcal{L}_v \{^i_h\} - f_l^i \mathcal{L}_v \{^l_j\}.$$

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_v \{^i_{hj}\} = \frac{1}{2} g^{il} [\nabla_h \mathcal{L}_v g_{lj} + \nabla_j \mathcal{L}_v g_{hl} - \nabla_l \mathcal{L}_v g_{hj}].$$

In this manifold, by virtue of (2.13), we have

$$f_j^l \mathcal{L}_v \{^i_{hl}\} - f_l^i \mathcal{L}_v \{^l_{hj}\} = 0.$$

Contracting for  $i$  and  $h$ , we get

$$(4.5) \quad f_j^l \mathcal{L}_v \{^l_{tl}\} - f_l^t \mathcal{L}_v \{^l_{tj}\} = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (4.2) we have

$$(4.6) \quad m_j^l \mathcal{L}_v \{^t_{tl}\} - m_l^t \mathcal{L}_v \{^l_{tj}\} = 0.$$

Substituting (4.4) in (4.5) and (4.6) respectively, we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} f_j^l g^{ts} (\nabla_l \mathcal{L}_v g_{ts}) + f^{lt} (\nabla_l \mathcal{L}_v g_{tj}) = 0.$$

$$(4.8) \quad m_j^l g^{ts} (\nabla_l \mathcal{L}_v g_{ts}) - m^{ts} (\nabla_j \mathcal{L}_v g_{ts}) = 0.$$

In an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian space, if a vector field  $v^h$  satisfies each of the following conditions:

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{L}_v g_{ji} \equiv \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = 0,$$

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{L}_v g_{ji} \equiv \nabla_j v_i + \nabla_i v_j = 2\phi g_{ji},$$

$$(4.11) \quad \mathcal{L}_v \{^h_{ji}\} \equiv \nabla_j \nabla_i v^h + K_{ji}^k v^l = \delta_j^h \Psi_i + \delta_i^h \Psi_j.$$

then it is called a Killing vector, a conformal Killing vector and a projective Killing vector, respectively, where

$$\phi = \frac{1}{n} \nabla_l v^l, \quad \Psi_i = \frac{1}{n+1} \nabla_i \nabla_l v^l.$$

If a conformal Killing vector  $v^h$  is at the same time  $f_j^i$ -invariant, then substituting (4.10) in (4.7) and (4.8) respectively, we find

$$(4.12) \quad f_j^l \phi_l = 0,$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad n m_j^l \phi_l - m_l^j \phi_j = 0. \quad (n > 2)$$

(4.12) is equivalent to

$$(4.14) \quad l_j^l \phi_l = 0.$$

From (4.12) and (4.13) and by virtue of (1.2), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n} m_l^l\right) \phi_j = 0,$$

from which we have

$$(4.15) \quad \phi_j = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.16) \quad \nabla_j \nabla_l v^l = 0.$$

As the manifold is compact, using the Green's theorem, we deduce

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_l v^l = 0.$$

Thus we have

**THEOREM 5.** *In a compact pseudo-manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, a conformal Killing vector  $v^h$  which admits  $f_j^i$ -invariant is a Killing vector.*

For a projective Killing vector  $v^h$  which is at the same time  $f_j^i$ -invariant, substituting (4.11) in (4.5) and (4.6) respectively, we get  $\nabla_i \nabla_l v^l = 0$ , therefore, as the space is compact, we have  $\nabla_l v^l = 0$ , that is, the vector becomes a Killing one.

Thus we have

**THEOREM 6.** *In a compact pseudo manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, a projective Killing vector  $v^h$  which admits  $f_j^i$ -invariant is a Killing vector.*

Next, applying  $\nabla_k$  to (4.1) and transvecting with  $g^{kj}$ , we get

$$f_l^i g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l - \frac{1}{2} f^{kj} (\nabla_k \nabla_j v^i - \nabla_j \nabla_k v^i) = 0,$$

$$f_l^i g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l - \frac{1}{2} f^{kj} K_{kjl}^i v^l = 0.$$

From (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$(4.18) \quad f_l^i (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^i) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.19) \quad l_l^i (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^i) = 0.$$

Now, if a contravariant vector field  $v^k$  is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$ , then we have

$$(4.20) \quad m_l^i v^l = 0.$$



Applying the Ricci formula to  $m_i^i$  and taking account of (2.13), we have

$$(4.21) \quad K_{kjl}^h m_i^l - K_{kji}^l m_l^h = 0,$$

transvecting (4.21) with  $g^{ji}$ , we get

$$(4.22) \quad K_k^l m_l^h = K_{kjl}^h m^{jl},$$

since the tensor  $m_{jl}$  is a symmetric in  $j$  and  $l$ , we have

$$(4.23) \quad K_k^l m_l^h = K_l^h m_k^l.$$

From (4.20) and (4.23), we have

$$(4.24) \quad m_l^i (g_{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j) = 0.$$

From (4.19) and (4.24), we find

$$(4.25) \quad g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^i + K_j^i v^j = 0.$$

Thus we have

**THEOREM 7.** *In a compact orientable pseudo manifold with  $(fr, g)$ -structure, if an  $f_j^i$ -invariant vector field  $v^h$  admits  $m_i^i v^l = 0$  and  $\nabla_l v^l = 0$ , then it is a Killing vector.*

### §5. Integral formulae

Now, in a compact pseudo manifold with  $(f_r, g)$ -structure, we shall obtain a necessary and sufficient condition that a contravariant vector field  $v^i$  is  $f$ -invariant, For  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad f_l^i \nabla_j v^l - f_j^l \nabla_l v^i = 0.$$

Operating  $\nabla_k$  to the last equation, we get

$$f_l^i \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l - f_j^l \nabla_k \nabla_l v^i = 0.$$

Transvecting this equation with  $g^{kj}$ , we find

$$(5.2) \quad f_l^i g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l - \frac{1}{2} f^{kl} (\nabla_k \nabla_l v^i - \nabla_l \nabla_k v^i) = 0.$$

Applying the Ricci formula to  $v^i$ , we have the following identities which are valid in this manifold;

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla_k \nabla_l v^i - \nabla_l \nabla_k v^i = K_{klj}^i v^j$$

From (3.5) and (5.3), (5.2) becomes

$$(5.4) \quad f_l^i (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^i v^j) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(5.5) \quad l_l^i (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^i v^j) = 0.$$

This equation is a necessary condition for a vector  $v^i$  to be  $f$ -invariant.

Next, we shall get a sufficient condition. For a contravariant vector  $v^i$ , if we put

$$T_{ji} \equiv (\mathfrak{L} f_j^a) g_{ai} = -f_j^l \nabla_l v_i - f_i^l \nabla_l v_j,$$

then we have

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} T^2 = (\nabla_j v_i) (\nabla^j v^i) - f_j^l f_i^m (\nabla_l v_m) (\nabla^j v^i) - \frac{1}{2} m_t^l \{ (\nabla_l v_i) (\nabla^t v^i) + (\nabla_i v_l) (\nabla^t v^t) \}$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \nabla^j (T_{jl} f_i^l v^i) = (\nabla_j v_i) (\nabla^j v^i) - f_j^l f_i^m (\nabla_l v_m) (\nabla^j v^i) - m_t^l (\nabla_i v_l) (\nabla^t v^i) + l_l^i (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j) v_i.$$

From (5.6) and (5.7), we get

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} T^2 - \nabla^j (T_{jl} f_i^l v^i) = -l_l^i (g^{kl} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j) v_i - \frac{1}{2} m_t^l \{ (\nabla_l v_i) (\nabla^t v^i) - (\nabla_i v_l) (\nabla^t v^t) \}.$$

On the other hand, for a contravariant vector  $v^i$ , we have

$$(5.9) \quad \mathfrak{L} m_t^i \equiv -m_t^l \nabla_l v^i + m_t^i \nabla_t v^l,$$

from which we find

$$(5.10) \quad \mathfrak{L} m_t^i (\nabla^t v_i) = -m_t^l \{ (\nabla_l v_i) (\nabla^t v^i) - (\nabla_i v_l) (\nabla^t v^t) \}.$$

If we put

$$U_{ji} = m_j^t (\nabla_t v_i), \quad V_{ji} = m_j^t (\nabla_i v_t),$$

then we have

$$(5.11) \quad U^2 = m_t^l (\nabla_l v_i) (\nabla^t v^i), \quad V^2 = m_t^l (\nabla_i v_t) (\nabla^i v^t).$$

From (5.10) and (5.11), the equation (5.8) may be written

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{1}{2}T^2 - \nabla^j(T_{ji}f_i^l v^i) = -l_i^i(g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j)v_i - \frac{1}{2}(U^2 - V^2).$$

Hence, applying the Green's theorem, we have

LEMMA 1. *In a compact pseudo manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, the integral formula*

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{M_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}T^2 + \frac{1}{2}(U^2 - V^2) + l_i^i(g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j)v_i \right\} d\sigma = 0$$

is valid for any vector field  $v^i$ , where  $d\sigma$  means the volume element of the manifold  $M_n$ , and  $T_{ji} = (\mathcal{L}f_j^l)g_{li}$ ,  $U_{ji} = m_j^l \nabla_l v_i$ ,  $V_{ji} = m_j^l \nabla_i v_l$ .

From this lemma, we have

THEOREM 7. *In a compact pseudo manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, a necessary and sufficient condition that a contravariant vector  $v^i$  is  $f$ -invariant vector is that it satisfies*

$$l_i^i(g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^i + K_j^i v^j) = 0 \text{ and } U^2 = V^2.$$

Now, If a contravariant vector  $v^i$  is orthogonal to the distribution M, that is,

$$(5.14) \quad m_i^i v^l = 0.$$

Operating  $\nabla_j$  to the last equation, we get

$$m_i^i \nabla_j v^l = 0,$$

from which we have  $V_{ji} = 0$ .

On the other hand, transvecting (3.7) with  $f_h^i$ , we find

$$(5.15) \quad K_j^r m_r^i = K_r^i m_j^r.$$

From (5.14) and (5.15), we get

$$(5.16) \quad m_i^i(g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j) = 0,$$

from which we have

$$(5.17) \quad l_i^i(g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^l + K_j^l v^j) = g^{kj}\nabla_k\nabla_j v^i + K_j^i v^j$$

by virtue of (1.2).

Thus we have

LEMMA 2. *In a compact pseudo manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, the integral formula*

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{M_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} T^2 + \frac{1}{2} U^2 + (g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^i + K_j^i v^j) v_i \right\} d\sigma = 0.$$

*is valid for any vector field  $v^i$  which is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$ , where  $d\sigma$  means the volume element of the manifold  $M_n$ , and*

$$T_{ji} = (\mathfrak{L} f_j^i) g_{ii}, \quad U_{ji} = m_j^i (\nabla_i v_i).$$

From this lemma, we have

THEOREM 8. *In a compact pseudo manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, a necessary and sufficient condition that a contravariant vector  $v^i$  which is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$  is  $f$ -invariant is that*

$$g^{kj} \nabla_k \nabla_j v^i + K_j^i v^j = 0.$$

From this theorem, we have

THEOREM 9. *In a compact orientable pseudo manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, a necessary and sufficient condition that  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$  which is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$  is a Killing vector is that it satisfies  $\nabla_i v^i = 0$ .*

## 6. Pseudo Einstein manifold.

In this section, we shall consider a compact pseudo Einstein manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure and give a theorem of  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$ . This theorem corresponds to Matsushima's theorem in a compact Einstein Kaehlerian space.

Now, we shall consider a contravariant vector  $v^i$  satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad \mathfrak{L}_v f_j^i = 0 \text{ and } m_h^i v^h = 0,$$

from which we find

$$(6.2) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v^h + K_r^h v^r = 0$$

by virtue of (5.19).

As  $M_n$  is an Einstein manifold, it holds that

$$(6.3) \quad K_{ji} = c g_{ji}, \quad c = \frac{K}{n},$$

where  $c \neq 0$ .

In this case, (6.2) may be written the following

$$(5.4) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r v^h + c v^h = 0.$$

Operating  $\nabla_h$  in the last equation, we have

$$(6.5) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r (\nabla_h v^h) + 2c (\nabla_h v^h) = 0.$$

If we put

$$(6.6) \quad \phi = \nabla_h v^h$$

then the equation (6.5) is written as

$$(5.7) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r \phi + 2c \phi = 0.$$

If a scalar function  $\phi$  is a solution of (6.7), the equation

$$(6.8) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r \nabla_i \phi + c \nabla_i \phi = 0$$

is valid for an  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$  in this manifold. Hence the gradient  $\nabla_i \phi$  of

$\phi$  for an  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$  is also  $f$ -invariant vector.

Now, we put

$$(6.9) \quad p^h = v^h + \frac{1}{2c} \nabla^h \phi,$$

then the vector  $p^h$  is an  $f$ -invariant vector.

Operating  $\nabla_h$  to the equation (6.9) and taking account of (6.7), we have

$$(6.10) \quad \nabla_h p^h = 0.$$

from (6.4) and (6.8), we get

$$(6.11) \quad \nabla^r \nabla_r p^h + K_r^h p^r = 0.$$

Hence the vector  $p^h$  is a Killing vector.

Next, we put

$$(6.12) \quad q^h = f_l^h \left[ -\frac{1}{2c} \nabla^l \phi \right],$$

then we find

$$(6.13) \quad m_h^i q^h = 0.$$

Hence the vector  $q^h$  is an  $f$ -invariant and orthogonal to the distribution  $M$ .

Operating  $\nabla_h$  to the equation (6.12), we have [7]

$$(6.14) \quad \nabla_h q^h = 0.$$

Hence, by the theorem 9, the vector  $q^h$  is a Killing vector.

From (6.9), we have

$$(6.15) \quad v^h = p^h - \frac{1}{2c} \nabla^h \phi.$$

Transvecting this equation with  $l_h^i$  and taking account of (1.2) and (6.12), we get

$$v^i - m_h^i v^h = l_h^i p^h + f_h^i q^h.$$

From the second term of (6.1), the last equation may be written as

$$(6.16) \quad v^i = l_h^i p^h + f_h^i q^h.$$

where  $p^h$  and  $q^h$  are Killing vectors.

If an  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$  which is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$  is represented in the following difference form;

$$(6.17) \quad v^i = l_h^i p^h + f_h^i q^h.$$

From (6.16) and (6.17), we have

$$l_h^i (p^h - p'^h) + f_h^i (q^h - q'^h) = 0.$$

Transvecting the last equation with  $f_i^l$ , we have

$$(6.18) \quad f_h^l (p^h - p'^h) - (q^l - q'^l) = 0$$

by virtue of (6.13).

Operating  $\nabla_i$  the equation (6.18) and taking account of (6.14), we find

$$(6.19) \quad f_h^i \nabla_i (p^h - p'^h) = 0,$$

from which we have

$$(6.20) \quad p^h = p'^h.$$

From (6.18) and (6.20), we have

$$(6.21) \quad q^h = q'^h.$$

Thus we have

**THEOREM 10.** *In a compact pseudo Einstein manifold with  $(f, g)$ -structure, an  $f$ -invariant vector  $v^i$  which is orthogonal to the distribution  $M$  is uniquely represented in the form;*

$$v^i = l_h^i p^h + f_h^i q^h,$$

where  $p^h$  and  $q^h$  are Killing vectors.

April, 1965

Taegu Teacher's College

Taegu, Korea

**References**

- [1] Kodo, S., *Infinitesimal transformations of a manifold with  $f$ -structure*, Kodai Math. Reports, 16(1964), 116—126.
- [2] Kodo, S., *Some theorems on almost Kahlerian spaces*, Journ. Math. Soc. Japan, 12 (1960), 422—433.
- [3] Shoji, W., *Some transformations in certain special Kawaguchi spaces*, Tensor, New Series, 12(1962), 244—253.
- [4] Yano, K., *On a structure defined by tensor field  $f$  of type  $(1,1)$  satisfying  $f^3+f=0$* , Tensor, New Series, (1963), 99—109.
- [5] Yano, K., *On analytic vectors*, Sugagu, Japan, 8(1957), 1—14.