## AN ISOLATED POINT IN A COMPLETE LATTICE WITH ODER TOPOLOGY

## By Tae Ho Choe

In this paper we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for an element of a complete lattice to be isolated in the oder topology. G. Birkoff has posed the problem: which should read "Find a necessary and sufficient condition for an indicated where the isolated (x) is the order topology.

element of a complet lattice to be isolated (a) in the order topology, (b) in the interval topology." (page 62 of [1]) The part (b) of the problem has been solved previously by E.S. Northam [2] and by the author [3]. This paper supplies a more or less complete answer to the part (a) of this problem. We here recall some standard terms. L is a complete lattice if it is a partially ordered, and has supremum and infimum of every subset of L, Let  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  be any net in a complete lattice L. We define  $x_{\alpha}$  order-converges to a to mean

$$\operatorname{Lim \ sup} \{x_{\alpha}\} = \operatorname{Lim \ inf} \{x_{\alpha}\} = a$$

that is, it means

$$a = \mathop{\wedge}_{\alpha} \left\{ \bigvee_{\beta \geq \alpha} x_{\beta} \right\} = \mathop{\vee}_{\alpha} \left\{ \mathop{\wedge}_{\beta \geq \alpha} x_{\beta} \right\}$$

And we define a subset M of L to be *closed* in the order topology, if and only if, for any net  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  in M, if  $x_{\alpha}$  order converge to a then  $a \in M$ . An element ain a complete lattice L is said to have finite property if for every subset S of Lsuch that  $a = \bigvee S$  there exists a finite subset F of S such that  $a = \bigvee F$  and dual.

We are now in a position to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM I. A necessary and sufficient condition for an element a of a complete lattice to be isolated in the order topology is that a has finite property.

PROOF. At first we shall show that the condition is necessary. Suppose that for some subset S of the complete lattice L,  $a \neq \forall F$  for every finite subset F of S. Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all finite subset of S. We then know that  $\Gamma$  is a directed set under set inclusion relation. To obtain a net in L-a which order converges to a, we set  $b_F = \forall F$  for every  $F \in \Gamma$ . And we shall show the net  $\{b_F\}$  in L-aorderconverges to a. In fact, since S is the set union of all  $F \in \Gamma$  we have

$$\alpha = \bigvee S = \bigvee_{F \in \Gamma} (\bigvee F) = \bigvee_{F \in \Gamma} b_F.$$

Therefore  $b_F \uparrow a$  which means that  $\{b_F\}$  is a monotone increasing net and

## 2 Tae Ho Choe

 $a = \bigvee_{F \in \Gamma} b_F$ . Hence  $\{b_F\}$  order-converges to a. Thus it follows that a is not an isolated point of L, which is a contradiction. Hence we have a finite subset F of S such that  $a = \bigvee_F$ . And dual.

We now show the sufficiency. Suppose that L-a is not closed in the order topology, then it means that there exists a net  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  in L-a such that  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  order-converges to a, i.e.,

$$x = \bigwedge \{ \bigvee x_o \} = \bigvee \{ \bigwedge x_o \}.$$

$$\alpha \left( \beta \ge \alpha \cap \beta \right) \quad \alpha \left( \beta \ge \alpha \cap \beta \right)$$

Setting  $u_{\alpha} = \bigvee_{\beta \geq \alpha} x_{\beta}$  and  $v_{\alpha} = \bigwedge_{\beta \geq \alpha} x_{\beta}$  we see that  $u_{\alpha} \downarrow_{a}$  and  $v_{\alpha} \uparrow_{a}$  such that  $u_{\alpha} \geq x_{\alpha} \geq v_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Since the element a has the finite property, and  $a = \bigvee_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}$ , there exists a finite subset of the indices set  $\{\alpha\}$  of  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  such that  $a = \bigvee_{\gamma} v_{\gamma}$ . Since  $\{\alpha\}$  is a directed set, we can find  $\delta \in \{\alpha\}$  such that  $\delta \geq \gamma$  for any  $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$ . Since  $\{v_{\alpha}\}$  is monotone increasing,  $v_{\delta} \geq v_{\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$ . It follows that  $v_{\delta} = a$ . And dualy we have  $\sigma \in \{\alpha\}$  such that  $v_{\sigma} = a$ . Taking  $\tau \in \{\alpha\}$  such that  $\tau \geq \delta$ ,  $\sigma$ , we have

$$a = u_{\sigma} \ge u_{\tau} \ge x_{\tau} \ge v_{\tau} \ge v_{\sigma} = a$$

Hence  $a = x_{\tau}$  for some  $\tau \in \{\alpha\}$ , which is a contradiction.

We have given an obvious corollary:

COROLLARY. Let L be a complete atomic lattice with unique complement. L is

a discrete space in its order topology if and only if the set of all atoms is finite.

Mathematical Department Liberal Arts and Science College Kyungpook University Taegu, Korea

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, rev. ed., New York (1948)
- [2] E.S. Northam, The interval topology of a lattice, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4, (1953)
  [3] T.H. Choe; An isolated point in a partly ordered set with interval topology, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, Vol.2, No.1, (1958)