

# PROJECTIVE MOTIONS IN NON-RIEMANNIAN $K^*$ -SPACES I

By Younki Chae

## §1. Introduction.

We consider an  $N$ -dimensional analytic space  $A_N$  with a symmetric connection  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$ .  $A_N$  is called an  $AK_N^*$ -space if its curvature tensor

$$B_{jkl}^i = \Gamma_{jl,k}^i - \Gamma_{jk,l}^i + \Gamma_{jl}^h \Gamma_{hk}^i - \Gamma_{jk}^h \Gamma_{hl}^i$$

satisfies the relation

$$(1.1) \quad B_{jkl;m}^i = B_{jkl}^i K_m,$$

where a comma means ordinary partial differentiation with respect to coordinates, a semi-colon denotes covariant differentiation with respect to  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  and  $K_m$  a non-zero vector. In this paper, the author will discuss the projective motion of torse-forming form, in  $AK_N^*$ -space, defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt, \quad v^i_{;j} = \rho(x)\delta_j^i + \phi_j(x)v^i(x)$$

where  $\rho(x)$  means any function of  $x$  and  $\phi_j$  denotes a certain covariant vector.

In what follows, we assume the existence of projective motion of the torse-forming form (1.2), that is, we assume the condition

$$(1.3) \quad \mathfrak{L}\Gamma_{jk}^i = \delta_j^i \Psi_k + \delta_k^i \Psi_j, \quad \text{or} \quad v^i_{;j;k} = B_{jkl}^i v^l + \delta_j^i \Psi_k + \delta_k^i \Psi_j$$

which is the necessary and sufficient condition that the vector  $v^i(x)$  defines a projective motion, where the symbol  $\mathfrak{L}$  means the Lie derivative with respect to  $v^i(x)$  and  $\Psi_j(x)$  is a certain non-zero vector.

We introduce a quantity  $\Pi_{jk}^o$  by

$$(1.4) \quad \Pi_{jk}^o = -\frac{1}{N^2-1} (NB_{jk} + B_{kj}), \quad B_{jk} = B_{jkh}.$$

Then we can obtain Wyle's projective curvature tensor  $P_{jkl}^i$  of the form:

$$(1.5) \quad P_{jkl}^i = B_{jkl}^i + \Omega_{jkl}^i,$$

where we have put

$$(1. 6) \quad \Omega_{jkl}^i = \delta_l^i \Pi_{jk}^o - \delta_k^i \Pi_{jl}^o - \delta_j^i \Pi_{kl}^o + \delta_j^i \Pi_{lk}^o .$$

If we introduce an other quantity  $P_{jkl}^o$  by

$$(1. 7) \quad P_{jkl}^o = \Pi_{jk;l}^o - \Pi_{jl;k}^o ,$$

the well known equation  $\mathcal{L}B_{jkl}^i = (\mathcal{L}\Gamma_{jk}^i)_{;l} - (\mathcal{L}\Gamma_{jl}^i)_{;k}$  gives

$$(1. 8) \quad \mathcal{L}\Pi_{jk}^o = v^m \Pi_{jk;m}^o + v_{;k}^m \Pi_{jm}^o + v_{;j}^m \Pi_{mk}^o = \Psi_{j;k} .$$

It is known [1] that the integrability condition of (1.3) is

$$(1. 9) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad \mathcal{L}P_{jkl}^i &= \mathcal{L}B_{jkl}^i + \delta_l^i \Psi_{j;k} - \delta_k^i \Psi_{j;l} - \delta_j^i \Psi_{k;l} + \delta_j^i \Psi_{l;k} = 0 , \\ (ii) \quad \mathcal{L}P_{jkl}^o &= -\Psi_{\phantom{h}k}^h P_{jkl}^h . \end{aligned}$$

## §2. Projective motion and two cases.

In order to discuss the projective motion of torse-forming form, we recall the following lemma which has proved by K. Takano [2] :

LEMMA. *If an  $AK_N^*$ -space ( $N \geq 3$ ) admits an infinitesimal projective motion, the motion should be of the form:*

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt, \quad \mathcal{L}\Gamma_{jk}^i = \delta_j^i \Psi_k + \delta_k^i \Psi_j, \quad \Psi_k = \frac{1}{N-2} \mathcal{L}K_k .$$

It follows from the above Lemma that the equation (1.3) may be rewritten in the form:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{;j;k}^i &= B_{jkl}^i v^l + \frac{1}{N-2} \left( \delta_j^i [v^a K_{k;a} + \rho K_k + v^a K_a \phi_k] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_k^i [v^a K_{j;a} + \rho K_j + v^a K_a \phi_j] \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (1.2) covariantly, we obtain

$$v_{;j;k}^i = \phi_{j;k} v^i + \phi_j \phi_k v^i + \rho_k \delta_j^i + \rho \phi_j \delta_k^i .$$

Substituting this equation into (2.1), we have

$$(2. 2) \quad B_{jkl}^i v^l = \phi_{j;k} v^i + \phi_j \phi_k v^i + \rho_k \delta_j^i + \rho \phi_j \delta_k^i \\ - \frac{1}{N-2} (\delta_j^i [v^a K_{k;a} + \rho K_k + v^a K_a \phi_k] + \delta_k^i [v^a K_{j;a} \\ + \rho K_j + v^a K_a \phi_j]) .$$

The identity  $B_{jkl}^i v^k v^l = 0$  gives the following equation by means of (2.2):

$$(2. 3) \quad \phi_{j;k} v^i v^k + \phi_j \phi_k v^i v^k + \rho_k v^k \delta_j^i + \rho \phi_j v^i \\ - \frac{1}{N-2} (\delta_j^i [K_{k;a} v^k v^a + \rho K_k v^k + v^a K_a \phi_k v^k] \\ + v^i [v^a K_{j;a} + \rho K_j + v^a K_a \phi_j]) = 0 .$$

Contraction of  $i=j$  gives

$$(2. 3)_1 \quad \phi_{j;k} v^j v^k + \phi_j \phi_k v^j v^k + N \rho_j v^j + \rho \phi_j v^j \\ = \frac{N+1}{N-2} (K_{j;a} v^j v^a + \rho K_j v^j + v^a K_a \phi_j v^j) .$$

Multiplying  $v^j$  to the equation (2.3) and summing over  $j$ , we get the following equation for non-zero vector  $v^i$ :

$$(2. 3)_2 \quad \phi_{j;k} v^j v^k + \phi_j \phi_k v^j v^k + \rho_j v^j + \rho \phi_j v^j \\ = \frac{2}{N-2} (K_{j;a} v^j v^a + \rho K_j v^j + v^a K_a \phi_j v^j) .$$

Comparing these two equations, we have

$$(2. 4) \quad (N-2) \rho_j v^j = K_{j;a} v^j v^a + \rho K_j v^j + v^a K_a \phi_j v^j .$$

Substitution of (2.4) into (2.3) gives, for non-zero  $v^i$ .

$$(2. 5) \quad \phi_{j;k} v^k = \frac{1}{N-2} (v^k K_{j;k} + \rho K_j + v^k K_k \phi_j) - \phi_j \phi_k v^k - \rho \phi_j .$$

Multiplying  $v^j$  to the equation (2.5) and summing over  $j$ , we have

$$(2. 5)' \quad \phi_{j;k} v^j v^k = \rho_j v^j - \rho \phi_j v^j - \phi_j v^j \phi_k v^k .$$

Differentiating (2.4) covariantly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(N-2)\rho_{a;j}v^a + (N-2)(\rho\rho_j + \rho_a v^a \phi_j) &= K_{a;b;j}v^a v^b \\
+ \rho K_{j;b}v^b + K_{a;b}v^a v^b \phi_j + \rho K_{a;j}v^a + K_{a;b}v^a v^b \phi_j + K_a v^a \rho_j \\
+ \rho K_{a;j}v^a + \rho^2 K_j + \rho K_a v^a \phi_j + \rho K_j \phi_a v^a + v^a K_a v^b \phi_b \phi_j \\
+ K_{a;j}v^a \phi_b v^b + v^a K_a \phi_{b;j}v^b + \rho K_a v^a \phi_j + v^a K_a v^b \phi_b \phi_j .
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying  $v^j$  and making use of (2.5)' and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad (N-2)\rho_{a;b}v^a v^b - K_{a;b;c}v^a v^b v^c \\
= 2K_{a;b}v^a v^b \phi_c v^c + 2\rho K_{a;b}v^a v^b + 2\rho_a v^a K_b v^b .
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we are going to classify the projective motion by using above results. Contraction of  $i=k$  in the equation (2.2) gives

$$B_{jhl}^h v^l = \phi_{j;h}v^h + \phi_{hv}^h \phi_j + \rho_j + N\rho\phi_j - \frac{N+1}{N-2}(v^a K_{j;a} + \rho K_j + v^a K_a \phi_j).$$

Comparing this equation with (2.5), we get

$$(2.7) \quad B_{jhl}^h v^l = \rho_j + (N-1)\rho\phi_j - \frac{N}{N-2}(v^a K_{j;a} + \rho K_j + v^a K_a \phi_j).$$

Differentiating (2.7) covariantly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(K_m + \phi_m)B_{jhl}^h v^l + \rho B_{jhm}^h = \rho_{j;m} + (N-1)\rho_m \phi_j + (N-1)\rho\phi_{j;m} \\
- \frac{N}{N-2}(\rho K_{j;m} + \phi_m K_{j;a} v^a + v^a K_{j;a} \phi_m + \rho_m K_j + \rho K_{j;m} \\
+ \rho K_m \phi_j + v^a K_a \phi_m \phi_j + v^a K_{a;m} \phi_j + v^a K_a \phi_{j;m}).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both hand sides of the above by  $v^j v^m$  and summing over  $j$  and  $m$ , it follows from (2.5)' and (2.6) that

$$(2.8) \quad \rho_{j;m}v^j v^m + (K_m v^m + 2\phi_m v^m + 2\rho)(\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j) = 0 .$$

On the other hand, by making use of (2.4) and (2.7), we have

$$-B_{jl}v^j v^l = (N-1)(\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j) .$$

By the general rule of Lie differentiation and (1.2), we get

$$\mathcal{L}B_{jk} = B_{jk}v^a K_a + \rho B_{jk} + v^a B_{ak}\phi_j + \rho B_{jk} + v^a B_{ja}\phi_k .$$

Comparing these two equations, we have

$$-(\mathcal{L}B_{jk})v^j v^k = (N-1)(v^a K_a + 2\phi_a v^a + 2\rho)(\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j).$$

Substitution of this equation into (1.4) gives

$$(2.9) \quad (\mathcal{L}H^0_{jk})v^j v^k = (v^a K_a + 2\phi_a v^a + 2\rho)(\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j).$$

By using the Lemma of §1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{j;k} = \frac{1}{N-2} & (\rho K_{j;k} + K_{j;a}v^a \phi_k + v^a K_{j;a;k} + \rho_k K_j + \rho K_{j;k} + \rho K_{k\phi_j} \\ & + v^a K_{a\phi_j} \phi_k + v^a K_{a;k} \phi_j + v^a K_a \phi_{j;k}). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both hand sides of the above by  $v^j v^k$ , and summing over  $j$  and  $k$ , it follows from (2.5)' and (2.6) that

$$(2.10) \quad \phi_{j;k} v^j v^k = \rho_{j;k} v^j v^k .$$

Hence, we have the following equation by combining the equations (1.8), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.8):

$$(2.11) \quad (K_m v^m + 2\phi_m v^m + 2\rho)(\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j) = 0 .$$

Therefore we have proved

**THEOREM 1.** *If a general  $AK_N^*$ -space admits a projective motion of torse-forming form (1.2) ( $N \geq 3$ ), then there exist two cases:*

$$(i) \quad \rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j = 0, \quad (ii) \quad K_j v^j + 2\phi_j v^j + 2\rho = 0 .$$

Differentiating  $\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j = 0$  covariantly, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \rho_m \phi_j v^j + \rho \phi_{j;m} v^j + \rho^2 \phi_m = \rho_{j;m} v^j + \rho \rho_m ,$$

in which we have used  $\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j = 0$  .

Multiplying both sides of (2.12) by  $v^m$  and making use of (2.5)' and

$\rho\phi_j v^j - \rho_j v^j = 0$  give

$$(2.13) \quad \rho^2 \phi_j v^j = 0$$

Hence, we have

**THEOREM 2.** *The first case of theorem 1 is degenerated into the following two parts again:*

$$(i) \quad \rho = 0, \quad (ii) \quad \phi_j v^j = 0.$$

June, 1962

Mathematical Department

Kyungpook University

Taegu, Korea

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Yano, *Lie derivatives and its applications*, Amsterdam (1957).
- [2] K. Takano, *On projective motion in a space with recurrent curvature*, Tensor, New Series, 12 (1962).
- [3] K. Takano, *Affine motion in non-Riemannian  $K^*$ -spaces  $V$* , Tensor, New Series, 11 (1961).