ON DEFINITIONS OF A UNIFORM SPACE BY THE CONVERGENCE CLASS

By Chi Young Kim

The various methods of describing the uniform space have been investigated until now. In 1937 A. Weil has defined the uniform space by means of the uniform neighborhood system in his paper $[2]^{\circ}$. After that J. W. Tukey also investigated the same uniform structure using a uniform covering systems in his paper [3] in 1940. On the other hand J. L. Kelley descirbed the uniformity for a set X in his book [1] as follows: A uniformity for a set X is a non-void family \mathcal{U} of subsets of $X \times X$ such that, (a) each member of \mathcal{U} contains the diagonal \triangle , (b) If $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}$, (c) If $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $V \circ V \subset U$ for some V in \mathcal{U} , (d), If U and V are members of \mathcal{U} , then $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$, and (e) If $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subset V \subset X \times X$, then $V \in \mathcal{U}$. In this paper I have tried to define the same uniform structure by a convergence class on the bases of Weil's uniform neighborhood system and on the unifomity \mathcal{U} of Kelley.

Before stating the theorem, it should be first mentioned that the necessary terminology and uniform structures may be found in Kelley [1]. And we now define some notations: If the sequence $C = \{x_n\}$, n = 1, $2, \cdots$ is converges to x relative to the uniform topology, then we denote it by C_x and C(n) means the set of all elements which follow x_n in C, i.e. $C(n) = \{x_i : i > n\}$. Now if X is a uniform space, the uniformity of which is $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$, then it can be easily seen that the following lemmas hold.

LEMMA 1. If \mathcal{L} is a family of all the sequences each of them converges to some point in X in the sence of uniform topology, then we have

¹⁾ Numbers in brackets represent references listed at the end of the paper.

- a) If $C = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, \dots\}$, where $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \dots = x$ then $C \in \mathcal{L}$ and C converges to x.
 - b) The uniformity \mathcal{U} for X is directed by \subset .

(In this case we use a symbol \geq as a binary relation instead of <.)

- c) If $C = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \in \mathcal{L}$, then the natural number n(C, U) is uniquely determined for each U in \mathcal{U} , and if $U \leq V$ ($\in \mathcal{U}$), then for each C in \mathcal{L} $n(C, U) \leq n(C, V)$.
- PROOF. a) Since X is a uniform space, $C = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x, x, \dots\}$ converges to x relative to the uniform topology. Hence $C \in \mathcal{L}$.
 - b) is clear.
- c) Let $C_x = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots\}$ converges to x in the sence of uniform topology, then for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there are natural numbers $m(C_x, U)$ such that $C_x(m(C_x, U)) \subset U[x]$ because U[x] is a neighborhood of x relative to the uniform topology. Let $n(C_x, U)$ be the minimum of such $m(C_x, U)$'s for C_x and U, then $n(C_x, U)$ is a natural number and is uniquely determined. And if $U \leq V$, then $U[x] \supset V[x]$ and therefore $n(C_x, U) \leq n(C_x, V)$.

Now we denote the set $C_x(n(C_x,U)) = \{x_i : i > n(C_x,U)\}$ by $C_x(U)$ and let $A(x,U) = \bigcup \{C_x(U) : C_x \in \mathcal{L}, \text{ and } x \text{ and } U \text{ are fixed}\}$ and $B(x,U) = \{(x, y) : y \in A(x, U)\}$, then we have following lemma 2 under the same conditions as in the case of lemma 1.

- LEMMA 2. d) $B(U) = \bigcup \{B(x, U) : x \in X\}$ is identical with $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- e) For each U in \mathcal{U} and each x in X there is a member V of \mathcal{U} such that if $x \in C_y(V)$ where $C_y \in \mathcal{L}$, then there is a sequence C_x in \mathcal{L} with $C_x(U) \ni y$.
- f) For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and each x in X, there is a member V in \mathcal{U} such that if $x \in C_y(V)$ and $y \in C_z(V)$, where C_z , $C_y \in \mathcal{L}$, then there is a sequence C_z in \mathcal{L} with $C_z(U) \ni x$.
- PROOF. d) It is clear that $B(U) \subset U$. Let $(x, y) \in U$. Since $C_x = \{y, y, x, x, x, \dots\}$ converges to x relative to the uniform topology, $C_x \in \mathcal{L}$. And since all the elements of C_x belong to U x, $n(C_x, U) = 1$, therefore $y \in C_x(U)$ and $(x, y) \in B(U)$, or $U \subset B(U)$. Hence U = B(U).

- e) Let $U \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in X$, then $U^{-1} = V \in \mathcal{H}$. If x belongs to $C_y(V)$, where C_y is in \mathcal{L} , then $(y, x) \subset B(y, V) \subset B(V) = V$, hence $(x, y) \in V^{-1} = U = B(U)$. And it is clear that $C_x = \{y, y, x, x, x, \dots\}$ converges to x and $y \in C_x(U)$.
- f) Let $U \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in X$, then there is a member $V \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $V \circ V \subset \mathcal{H}$ for some V in \mathcal{H} . If $C_z(V) \ni y$, and $C_y(V) \ni x$, where C_z and $C_y \in \mathcal{L}$, then (z, y), $(y, x) \in V$, therefore $(z, x) \in V \circ V \subset U$, or $(z, x) \in B(U)$. Hence $x \in C_z'(U)$ for some C_z' in \mathcal{L} .

From the preceding discussion of convergence we know several properties which must hold for \mathcal{L} . So we now consider a family $\mathcal{L} = \{C\}$ of sequences in X and directed set (D, \geq) and a function N on the cartesian product $\mathcal{L} \times D$ into the set of all natural numbers, and we shall say that the triplet (\mathcal{L}, D, N) is a convergence class for X if and only if it satisfies the following conditions. For convenience, we say that $C = \{x_n\}$ converges (\mathcal{L}) to x or that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \equiv x(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if $C \in \mathcal{L}$, and when C converges (\mathcal{L}) to x we denote it by C_x .

- i) If $C = \{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_n = x$ for each n, then $C \in \mathcal{L}$.
- ii) Relation \geq directs the set D and the range of a function N on $\mathcal{L} \times D = \{(C, d)\}$ is the set of natural numbes, and if $d' \geq d$, then $N(C, d') \geq N(C, d)$.
- iii) For each d in D and each x in X, there is a member d' in D such that if $x \in C_y(N(C_y, d'))$ for C_y in \mathcal{L} , then $y \in C_x(N(C_x, d))$ for some C_x in \mathcal{L} .
- iv) For each d in D and each x in X there is a member d' in D such that if $x \in C_y(d')$ and $y \in C_z(d')$ for some C_y , C_z in \mathcal{L} then $x \in C_z'(d)$ for some C'_z in \mathcal{L} . (where $C_x(d) = C_x(N(C_x, d))$, etc.)

We will now proceed to show that a uniform structure is defined by the convergence class as follows. In order to clear our statements we describe some notations again.

For $x \in X$ and $d \in D$, let $A(x, d) = \bigcup \{C_x(d): C_x \in \mathcal{L}\}$ and $B(x, d) = \{(x, y): y \in A(x, d)\}$, and $B(d) = \bigcup \{B(x, d): x \in X\}$.

THEOREM 1. Let(\mathcal{L} , D, N) be a convergence class for a set

X, and let \mathcal{H} be the family of all sets U each of them contains B(d) for some d in D, then \mathcal{H} is a uniformity for X and each $C \in \mathcal{L}$ converges to its limit relative to the uniform topology.

PROOF. We now prove that \mathcal{H} is a uniformity for X. For this purpose we must prove that \mathcal{H} satisfies Kelley's four conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) mentioned above.

- (a) If $U \in \mathcal{H}$, then U contains some $B(d) = \bigcup \{B(x, d) : x \in X\}$, and because $C = \{x, x, \dots\}$ belongs to \mathcal{L} , B(x, d) contains (x, x), therefore for each x in $X(x, x) \in U$, hence $U \supset \triangle$.
- (b) Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then $U \supset B(d)$ for some d in D. By the condition iii) there is d' in D such that if $x \in C_y(d')$, then $y \in C_x(d)$ for some C_x in \mathcal{L} . Now we show that U^{-1} contains B(d'). Let $(y, x) \in B(d')$ then by definition of B(d') there is some C_y in \mathcal{L} with $x \in C_y(d')$. Hence by above condition iii) $C_x(d) \ni y$ for some C_x in \mathcal{L} . That is, $(x, y) \in B(x, d) \in B(d) \subset U$, or $(y, x) \in U^{-1}$. Therefore U^{-1} contains B(d') and $U^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (c) Let $U \in \mathcal{H}$, then $U \supset B(d)$ for some d in D. Let d' be an element of D which satisfies the condition iv) for d. And let B(d') = V and let $(x, z) \in V \circ V$, then for some y in X $(x, y) \in V$ and $(y, z) \in V$, or $(x, y) \in B(d')$ and $(y, z) \in B(d')$. Hence $y \in C_x(d')$ for some C_x in \mathcal{L} and $z \in C_y(d')$ for some C_y in \mathcal{L} . By iv) there is some C'_x in \mathcal{L} such that $z \in C'_x(d)$; that is, $(x, z) \in B(d) \subset U$ or $V \circ V \subset U$.
- (d) Let $U, V \in \mathcal{U}$, then for some d and d' in D, $B(d) \subset U$ and $B(d') \subset V$. Since D is a directed set there is d'' in D such that $d'' \geq d$ and $d'' \geq d'$. Hence $B(d'') \subset B(d)$ and $B(d'') \subset B(d')$ or $U \cap V \supset B(d) \cap B(d') \supset B(d'')$. That is, $U \cap V \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (e) By the definition of \mathcal{U} it is clear that if $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $U \subset V \subset X \times X$. then $V \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore \mathcal{U} is a uniformity for X. The last part of the theorem follows from the following theorem 2.

If X is a uniform space whose convergence class is (\mathcal{L}, D, N) , then we can also induce a uniform topology \mathcal{L} in the following way. Let \mathcal{L} be the family of all subsets T of X such that for each $x \in T$ there is some d in D with $A(x, d) \subset T$. Then \mathcal{L} is indeed a uniform topo-

logy for X which is derived from the uniformity \mathcal{H} , for $\{B(d):d\in D\}$ is a base of \mathcal{H} and A(x, d)=B(d)[x]. Now we prove the following theorem directly by means of i) \sim iv).

THEOREM 2. The interior of a subset M of X in the sence of uniform topology is the set of all points x with $A(x, d) \subset M$ for some d in D. And for each x in X, the family $\{A(x,d): d \in D\}$ is a base for the neighborhood system of x.

PROOF. In order to prove that a set $M^{i} = \{x: A(x, d) \subset M \text{ for } a\}$ some d in D} is an interior of M, it is sufficient to show that the set M^i is open in X relative to the uniform topology because M^i is a maximal open subset of M if it is open. If $x \in M^i$, then there is some d in D such that $A(x, d) \subset M$ and by iv) there is d' in D such that the condition iv) holds for d and d'. If $y \in A(x, d')$, then there is a sequence C_x in \mathcal{L} such that $y \in C_x(d')$. And if $z \in A(y, d')$, then there is a sequence C_y in \mathcal{L} such that $z \in C_y(d')$. By iv) there is some C_x' in \mathcal{L} with $z \in C'_x(d)$; that is, $z \in A(x, d)$. This means that $A(y, d') \subset$ $A(x, d) \subset M$. Hence $y \in M^i$, or $A(x, d') \subset M^i$ and M^i is open. Now we show that $\{A(x, d): d \in L\}$ is a base of the neighborhood system of x. The interior of A(x, d) is not void since it contains at least x. Hence A(x, d) contains an open set to which x belongs, and it is a neighborhood of x. Since for each $x \in X$ it is clear that every neighborhood of x contains some A(x, d) for some d in D, $\{A(x, d): d \in D\}$ is a base for the neighborhood system of x. 2)

It is easily seen that if the convergence class (\mathcal{L}, D, N) of X satisfies the following additional condition v), then the sequence C converges to some point of X relative to the topology \mathscr{L} if and only if $C \in \mathcal{L}$.

v) If C is a sequence such that for each d in D there is n with $C(n) \subset A(x, d)$, then C belongs to \mathcal{L} and it's limit is x.

Then we have the followings.

²⁾ The proof of theorem 2 is much due to Kelley [1]. See Kelley [1], chap. 6, theo. 4.

THEOREM 3. If (\mathcal{L}, D, N) is a convergence class for X, then there is a uniformity \mathcal{U} for X such that a sequence C converges relative to the uniform topology if and only if $C \in \mathcal{L}$.

January 10, 1959
Department of Mathemetics
Korea University

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Kelly: General Topology, New York (1955).
- [2] A. Weil: Sur les espaces a structure uniforme et sur la topologie générale, Actualités Sei. Ind. 551 Paris(1937).
- [3] J. W. Tukey: Convergence and uniformity in topology, Ann. of Math. studies 2 (1940).