

구간치 쇼케이적분에의해 정의된 집합체 연산자의 성질

Some properties of interval-valued Choquet integral-based aggregation operators

장이채

건국대학교 전산수학과
E-mail: leechae.jang@kku.ac.kr

요약

본 논문은 집합치 집합체 연산자를 정의하고 이들의 성질들을 조사한다. 또한 구간치 쇼케이적분에의해 정의된 집합체 연산자를 정의 하고 이들의 특성들을 제시한다.

Abstract

In this paper, we consider set-valued aggregation operators and investigate some properties of them. Moreover, we define interval-valued Choquet integral aggregation operators and discuss their characterizations.

Key Words : Aggregation operator, Interval-valued random variable, Choquet integral, Fuzzy measure

1. Preliminaries and Definitions

Let X be a non-empty index set and \mathcal{T} a σ -algebra of subsets of X . A set function $\mu: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a fuzzy measure if it is monotone and $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ (see [3,4,14,16,17,18]).

If X is a finite set and $\mathcal{T} = 2^X$, a fuzzy measure $\mu: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called symmetric if it is invariant under bijective transformations of X , i.e., for each bijection $\psi: X \rightarrow X$ and for each $E \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $\mu(\psi^{-1}(E)) = \mu(E)$.

For each set $B \subset R^2$, let $\Sigma(B)$ be the σ -algebra of all Borel subsets of B . Denoted by $L(\mathcal{T})$ the set of all random variables which are \mathcal{T} -measurable functions from X to $[0, 1]$.

In previous works [5,13], the authors investigated measure-based aggregation operators and Choquet integral-based aggregation operators. These constructions are useful methods to decision making, information theory, expected utility theory,

and risk analysis.

We note that set-valued Choquet integrals were first introduced by Jang, Kil, Kim and Kwon[6] and restudied by Zhang, Guo and Lia[19]. In the papers([6,7,8,9,10,11,19]), they have been studied some properties of set-valued Choquet integrals and structural characteristics of interval-valued Choquet integrals.

Definition 1.1 ([3,4,14,15,16,17,18]) (1) The Choquet integral of a random variable f with respect to a fuzzy measure μ on $A \in \mathcal{T}$ is defined by

$$(C) \int_A f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x | f(x) > \alpha \cap A\}) d\alpha$$

where the integrand on the right-hand side is an ordinary one.

(2) A random variable f is called c -integrable if the Choquet integral of f can be defined and its value is finite.

We note that the Choquet integral is a generalization of the Lebesgue integral, since they coincide when μ is a classical(σ

-additive) measure.

Definition 1.2 ([3,4,14]) Let f, g be nonnegative random variables. We say that f and g are comonotonic, in symbol $f \sim g$ if $f(x) < f(x') \Rightarrow g(x) \leq g(x')$ for all $x, x' \in X$

Theorem 1.3 ([3,4,14]) Let f, g be nonnegative random variables. Then we have

- (1) $f \sim f$,
- (2) $f \sim g \Rightarrow g \sim f$,
- (3) $f \sim a$ for all $a \in R^+$,
- (4) $f \sim g$ and $g \sim h \Rightarrow f \sim (g+h)$.

Theorem 1.4 ([3,4,14]) Let f, g be nonnegative random variables. Then we have the followings.

(1) If $f \leq g$, then $(C) \int f d\mu \leq (C) \int g d\mu$.

(2) If $A \subset B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{J}$, then

$$(C) \int_A f d\mu \leq (C) \int_B f d\mu.$$

(3) If $f \sim g$ and $a, b \in R^+$, then

$$(C) \int (af+bg) d\mu = a(C) \int f d\mu + b(C) \int g d\mu$$

(4) If $(f \vee g)(x) = f(x) \vee g(x)$ and $(f \wedge g)(x) = f(x) \wedge g(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then

$$(C) \int f \vee g d\mu \geq (C) \int f d\mu \vee (C) \int g d\mu$$

and

$$(C) \int f \wedge g d\mu \leq (C) \int f d\mu \wedge (C) \int g d\mu.$$

We denote $I([0,1])$ by $I([0,1]) = \bar{a} = [a^-, a^+]$ $a^- \leq a^+$, $a^-, a^+ \in [0,1]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

For any $a \in [0,1]$, we define $a = [a, a]$. Obviously, $a \in I([0,1])$.

Definition 1.5 For two intervals $\bar{a} = [a^-, a^+]$, $\bar{b} = [b^-, b^+] \in I([0,1])$, then we define

- (1) $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} = [a^- \wedge b^-, a^+ \wedge b^+]$,
- (2) $\bar{a} \vee \bar{b} = [a^- \vee b^-, a^+ \vee b^+]$
- (3) $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$ if and only if $a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$,

(4) $\bar{a} < \bar{b}$ if and only if $\bar{a} \leq \bar{b}$ and $\bar{a} \neq \bar{b}$,

(5) $\bar{a} \subset \bar{b}$ if and only if $b^- \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq b^+$.

We remark that $I([0,1])$ satisfies idempotent law, commutative law, associative law, absorption law, and distributive law.

Theorem 1.7 (1) If we define

$$\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \{x \cdot y | x \in \bar{a}, y \in \bar{b}\}$$

for all $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in I([0,1])$, then we have

$$\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = [a^- b^-, a^+ b^+].$$

(2) If $d_H: I([0,1]) \times I([0,1]) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Hausdorff metric defined by

$$d_H(A, B) = \max\{ \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} |x - y|, \sup_{y \in B} \inf_{x \in A} |x - y| \}$$

then we have

$$d_H(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \max\{ |a^- - b^-|, |a^+ - b^+| \}.$$

Let $\bar{L}(\mathcal{J})$ the set of all interval-valued random variables which are \mathcal{J} -measurable interval-valued functions from X to $I([0,1]) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. We recall that an interval-valued function $\bar{f} \in \bar{L}(\mathcal{J})$ is \mathcal{J} -measurable if for any open set $O \subset [0,1]$,

$$\bar{f}^{-1}(O) = \{x \in X | \bar{f}(x) \cap O \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Definition 1.8 Let $\{A_n\} \subset I([0,1])$ be a sequence and $A \in I([0,1])$. We define

(1) $A_n \uparrow (\downarrow) A$ (order) if $d_n(A_n, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $A_n \leq (\geq) A_{n+1}$ for all

(2) $A_n \uparrow (\downarrow) A$ (inclusion) if $d_n(A_n, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $A_n \subset (\supset) A_{n+1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

Definition 1.9 (1) The Choquet integral of an interval-valued random variable \bar{f} on $A \in \mathcal{J}$ is defined by

$$(C) \int_A \bar{f} d\mu = \{ (C) \int_A f d\mu | f \in S(\bar{f}) \}$$

where $S(\bar{f})$ is the family of measurable

selections of \bar{f} .

(2) \bar{f} is said to be \mathcal{C} -integrable if

$$(C) \int \bar{f} d\mu \neq \emptyset.$$

(3) \bar{f} is said to be Choquet integrably bounded if there is a \mathcal{C} -integrable random variable g such that

$$\|\bar{f}\| = \sup_{r \in \bar{f}(x)} |r| \leq g(x), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Instead of $(C) \int_X \bar{f} d\mu$, we write

$(C) \int \bar{f} d\mu$. We recall that if $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ (the class of closed subsets of X), then $A \leq B$ means

$$\inf A \leq \inf B \text{ and } \sup A \leq \sup B.$$

Theorem 1.10 ([12, 19]) (1) If a closed set-valued random variable \bar{f} is \mathcal{C} -integrable and if $A \leq (C)B$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, then

$$(C) \int_A \bar{f} d\mu \leq (C) \int_B \bar{f} d\mu.$$

(2) If a fuzzy measure μ is continuous and a closed set-valued random variable \bar{f} is Choquet integrably bounded, then

$$(C) \int \bar{f} d\mu \text{ is a closed set.}$$

(3) If a fuzzy measure μ is continuous and an interval-valued random variable $\bar{f} = [f^-, f^+]$ is Choquet integrably bounded, then we have

$$(C) \int \bar{f} d\mu = [(C) \int f^- d\mu, (C) \int f^+ d\mu].$$

2. Set-valued aggregation operators.

If $f \in L(\mathcal{T})$, we define the function $h_{\mu, f}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$h_{\mu, f}(t) = \mu(\{f \geq t\}).$$

and the interval-valued function $\bar{h}_{\mu, \bar{f}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}([0, 1])$ by

$$\bar{h}_{\mu, \bar{f}}(t) = \{h_{\mu, f}(t) | f \in S(\bar{f})\}$$

Then we obtain some basic properties of $\bar{h}_{\mu, \bar{f}}$

Theorem 2.1 If \bar{f} is an interval-valued random variable and if μ is continuous, then the function $\bar{h}_{\mu, \bar{f}}$ is non-increasing Borel measurable and $h_{\mu, \bar{f}}(0) = 1$

Definition 2.2 ([13]) Let (X, \mathcal{T}, μ) and $((0, 1)^2, \mathcal{B}(0, 1)^2, m)$ be two fuzzy measure

spaces. The functional $M_{\mu, m}: L(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$M_{\mu, m}(f) = m(\{(x, y) \in (0, 1)^2 | y < h_{\mu, f}(x)\})$$

is called (μ, m) -aggregation operator.

Definition 2.3 The interval-valued functional $\bar{M}_{\mu, m}: \bar{L}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}([0, 1])$ given by

$$\bar{M}_{\mu, m}(\bar{f}) = \{M_{\mu, m}(f) | f \in S(\bar{f})\}$$

is called a set-valued (μ, m) -aggregation operator.

Obviously, we have the following basic properties.

Theorem 2.4 Let μ, m be two fuzzy measures as in Definition 2.2. If $\bar{M}_{\mu, m}$ is a set-valued (μ, m) -aggregation operator, then we have

$$(1) \bar{M}_{\mu, m}(0) = 0 \text{ and } \bar{M}_{\mu, m}(1) = 1.$$

$$(2) \text{ If } f, g \in \bar{L}(\mathcal{T}) \text{ and } \bar{f} \leq \bar{g}, \text{ then } \bar{M}_{\mu, m}(\bar{f}) \leq \bar{M}_{\mu, m}(\bar{g}).$$

Now, we investigate some characterizations of set-valued (μ, m) -aggregation operators. We denote that a crisp subset E of X $I_E: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function of E mapping exactly the elements of E to 1.

Theorem 2.5 Let (X, \mathcal{T}, μ) and $((0, 1)^2, \mathcal{B}(0, 1)^2, m)$ be two fuzzy measures and $M_{\mu, m}: L(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}([0, 1])$ a set-valued (μ, m) -aggregation operator. Then we have the followings;

(1) $\bar{M}_{\mu, m}$ is idempotent for each fuzzy measure μ on (X, \mathcal{T}) if and only if for all $x \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$m((0, x) \times (0, 1)) = x.$$

(2) The fuzzy measure μ on (X, \mathcal{T}) can be reproduced from $\bar{M}_{\mu, m}$ via $\mu(E) = \bar{M}_{\mu, m}(I_E)$ if and only if

$$m((0, 1) \times (0, x)) = x \text{ for all } x \in \text{Ran}(\mu).$$

(3) If X is a finite set and if a fuzzy measure μ is symmetric, then $\bar{M}_{\mu, m}$ is symmetric for each fuzzy measure m on $((0, 1)^2, \mathcal{B}(0, 1)^2)$.

3. Interval-valued Choquet integral-based aggregation operators.

In this section, using Corollary 3.4 ([13]), we discuss interval-valued Choquet integral-based aggregation operators. We recall that especially important is the case when we are constructing an (μ, m) -aggregation operator by means of some σ -additive $m: \mathcal{B}((0, 1)^2) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, in which case m is a probability measure on the product space $((0, 1)^2, \mathcal{B}((0, 1)^2))$. By Klement et al. ([13, p.9]), there exists a

copula $C[0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]$ such that for all $(x, y) \in (0,1)^2$,

$$m((0, x) \times (0, y)) = C(P_1((0, x)), P_2((0, y)))$$

where P_1 and P_2 are the respective marginal probabilities of m

Let me introduce the following theorem as a consequence of Klement et al.'s Corollary 4.1([13]).

Theorem 3.1 Let $m: B((0,1)^2) \rightarrow [0,1]$ be a probability measure with marginal $P_1 = \lambda$ (the Lebesgue measure) and $P_2 = P$ linked by the product copula T_p , i.e.

$$m((0, x) \times (0, y)) = xP(0, y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in (0,1)^2$. Let (X, \mathcal{T}, μ) be a fuzzy measure space such that the underlying topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is compact. Then the (μ, m) -aggregation operator $M_{\mu, m}$ is comonotonic additive, i.e. for all comonotonic random variables $f, g: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ with $f + g \leq 1$,

$$M_{\mu, m}(f + g) = M_{\mu, m}(f) + M_{\mu, m}(g).$$

Keeping all the notations and hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and introducing another fuzzy measure $P \circ \mu: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0,1]$ by

$$P \circ \mu(E) = P(0, \mu(E)),$$

it is well-known that the (μ, m) -aggregation operator $M_{\mu, m}$ discussed in Theorem 3.1 equals the Choquet integral with respect to $P \circ \mu$ (see [13, p.9]), i.e.

$$M_{\mu, m}(f) = (C) \int_X f dP \circ \mu \quad (3.1)$$

for all $f \in L(\mathcal{T})$. Thus we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\mu, m, P_1 = \lambda, P_2 = P$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Then under the same hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, $\overline{M}_{\mu, m}$ is represented by

$$\overline{M}_{\mu, m}(\overline{f}) = (C) \int \overline{f} dP \circ \mu.$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $\mu, m, P_1 = \lambda, P_2 = P$ be as in Theorem 3.1 and suppose the same hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. If a fuzzy measure μ is continuous and \overline{f} is Choquet integrably bounded, then $\overline{M}_{\mu, m}(\overline{f})$ is interval in $K[0,1]$.

참 고 문 헌

[1] J. Aubin, "Set-valued analysis," 1990, Birkhauser Boston.
 [2] R.J. Aumann, "Integrals of set-valued functions," J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12(1965), 1-12.
 [3] M.J. Bilanos, L.M.de Campos and A. Gonzalez, "Convergence properties of the monotone expectation and its application to the extension of fuzzy measures," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 33 (1989), 201-212.
 [4] L.M. de Campos and M.J. Bilanos, "Characterization and comparison of Sugeno and Choquet integrals," Fuzzy Sets and

Systems 52 (1992), 61-67.
 [5] T. Calvo and R. Mesiar, "Aggregation operators: ordering and bounds," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 139(2003), 685-697.
 [6] L.C.Jang, B.M. Kil, Y.K.Kim and J.S.Kwon, "Some properties of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 91(1997), 95-98.
 [7] L.C.Jang and J.S.Kwon, "On the representation of Choquet integrals of set-valued functions and null sets," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 112(2000), 233-239.
 [8] L.C.Jang, T.Kim and J.D.Jeon, "On set-valued Choquet integrals and convergence theorems," Advanced Studies and Contemporary Mathematics 6(1)(2003), 63-76.
 [9] L.C.Jang, T.Kim and J.D. Jeon, "On set-valued Choquet integrals and convergence theorems (II)," Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(1) (2003), 139-147.
 [10] L.C.Jang, T.Kim and D. Park, "A note on convexity and comonotonically additivity of set-valued Choquet integrals," Far East J. Appl. Math. 11(2) (2003), 137-148.
 [11] L.C.Jang, "Interval-valued Choquet integrals and their applications," J. of Applied Mathematics and Computing 16(1-2)(2004), 429-445.
 [12] L.C.Jang, "The application of interval-valued Choquet integrals in multicriteria decision aid," J. of Applied Mathematics and Computing 20(1-2)(2006), 549-556.
 [13] E.P. Klement, R.Mesiar and E.Pap, "Measure-based aggregation operators," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 142(2004), 3-14.
 [14] T. Murofushi and M.Sugeno, "An interpretation of fuzzy measures and the Choquet integral as an integral with respect to a fuzzy measure," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 29(1989), 201-227.
 [15] T. Murofushi and M.Sugeno, "A theory of fuzzy measures: representations, the Choquet integral, and null sets," J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 159(1991), 532-549.
 [16] T. Murofushi and M.Sugeno, "Some quantities represented by Choquet integral," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 56(1993), 225-235.
 [17] Z.Wang, G.J.Klir and W.Wang, "Fuzzy measures defined by fuzzy integral and their absolute continuity," J. Math. Anal. and Appl. 203(1996), 150-165.
 [18] Z.Wang, G.J.Klir and W.Wang, "Monotone set functions defined by Choquet integral," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 81(1996), 241-250.
 [19] D.Zhang, C.Guo and D.Liu, "Set-valued Choquet integrals revisited," Fuzzy Sets and Systems 147(2004), 475-485.