한국추진공학회 2006년도 추계학술대회 논문집 pp.377~381 2006 KSPE Fall Conference

메쉬 스크린을 이용한 초음속 제트소음 저감법에 관한 실험적 연구

권용훈* · 임채민** · 김희동***

Study on Supersonic Jet Noise Reduction Using a Mesh Screen

Yong-Hun Kweon* · Chae-Min Lim** · Heuy-Dong Kim***

ABSTRACT

This paper describes experimental work to control supersonic jet noise using a mesh screen that is placed at the nozzle exit plane. The mesh screen is a wire-gauze screen that is made of long stainless wires with a very small diameter. The nozzle pressure ratio is varied to obtain the supersonic jets which are operated in a wide range of over-expanded to moderately under-expanded jets. In order to perturb mainly the initial jet shear layer, the hole is perforated in the central part of the mesh screen. The hole size is varied to investigate the noise control effectiveness of the mesh screen. A schlieren optical system is used to visualize the flow fields of supersonic jet with and without the mesh screen device. Acoustic measurement is performed to obtain the OASPL and noise spectra. The results obtained show that the present mesh screen device leads to a substantial suppression of jet screech tones. The hole size is an important factor in reducing the supersonic jet noise. For over-expanded jets, the noise control effectiveness of the mesh screen appears more significant, compared to correctly and under-expanded jets

초 록

본 논문에서는 노즐출구 단면에 설치된 메쉬 스크린을 이용하여 초음속 제트 소음을 제어하기 위한 실험을 수행하였다. 메쉬 스크린은 미소 직경을 가진 스테인레스 철사들로 만들어졌으며 철망 형태이다. 노즐 압력비는 과팽창에서 부족팽창된 초음속 제트를 얻기 위해 다양하게 변화시켰다. 초기 제트 전단층을 교란하기 위해, 메쉬 스크린의 중앙 부분에 구멍을 만들었으며, 그 구멍크기는 메시 스크린의 소음 저감효과를 조사하기위해 변화시켰다. 유동장을 가시화하기 위해 쉴리렌 광학 장치를 사용하였고, OASPL과 소음 스펙트럼을 얻기위해 음향을 측정하였다. 본 실험으로부터 얻어진 결과는 메쉬 스크린이 스크리치 톤을 상당히 억제하였으며, 메쉬 스크린의 구멍크기는 초음속 제트 소음을 저감하는 중요한 인자였다. 과팽창된 제트인 경우, 소음 저감효과는 적정팽창과, 부족팽창된 제트에서의 저감효과보다 매우 크게 나타났다.

Key Words: Supersonic Jet (초음속 제트), Shock Cell Structure (충격파 셀 구조), Jet Noise (제트소음), Screech Tone (스크리치 톤), Mesh Screen (메쉬 스크린)

* 일본 큐슈대학 총합이공학부 환경에너지공학과
** 안동대학교 대학원 기계공학과
*** 안동대학교 기계공학부
연락저자, E-mail: kimhd@andong.ac.kr

1. Introduction

Supersonic jet noise consists of three major



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus



Fig. 2 Arrangement of a mesh screen device

components[1] : the turbulent mixing noise, the broadband shock-associated noise, and the screech tones. The other two noise components are only present in an imperfectly expanded supersonic jet because they are radiated due to the strong interaction between large-scale turbulence structures and shock-cell structures. With regard to the screech tone, Powll[2] first proposed that the screech tones and its harmonics are generated by a resonant feedback loop between the oscillating shock-cell structures and the nozzle exit. Of major components of the supersonic jet noise, the screech tone has a strong directivity and high intensity, and thus, it can cause sonic fatigue failure of aircraft structure[3, 4].

A great number of experimental studies have been performed on the reduction of supersonic jet noise. Most of the previous studies mainly concentrated on modify-ing the shear layer generated at the nozzle exit to reduce the jet noise. Tabs, asymmetric nozzles, porous plugs, etc. have been used in these control techniques, which have been successful in suppressing the supersonic jet noise. The effective reduction of the screech tone was obtained by using small tabs installed at the nozzle exit[5]. Norum[6] studied a variety of asymmetric nozzle configurations for screech tone suppression. Kibens and Wlezien[7] investigated the technique for the reduction of jet noise using a porous plug-nozzle, and showed that perforations of plug-nozzle produce a series of weak compression and expansion waves and reduce the jet noise.

Recently, Debiasi and Papamoshou[8] investigated the effect of annular coaxial stream on the noise components of the supersonic jets operated at over-, correctly, and under-expanded conditions. They found that the addition of the annular coaxial stream to the supersonic jet can reduce the screech tones and effectively suppress Mach wave emissions. Zoppellari and Juve[9] tried to suppress the jet noise by using water that is injected into the jet stream through the multiple injectors near the nozzle exit.

From practical point of view, it is required that the method for jet noise reduction is easy to implement and to minimize penalties in weight and thrust. Very annoy-ing jet noises are frequently encountered in many Indus-trial applications of high-speed jet technologies, such as the purge burner of city gas, the blow-off line of stream gas in power plants, etc. In these situations, noise control has to meet the needs of low cost and a simple structure[10-12].

In the present study, a new technique for the reduction of supersonic jet noise using a mesh screen device is investigated. The device has a simple structure and is easy to implement. The objective of the present study is to experimentally investigate the control effectiveness of the mesh screen device on the jet structure and acoustic field of supersonic jet.

2. Experimental Facilities and Measurement

The present work is accomplished in an anechoic test room that is schematically shown in Fig.1. The interior walls of the test room are covered with a sound absorption material of 325*mm* thickness. Preliminary acoustic tests show that the test room is anechoic for frequency components above approximately 120Hz and a back-ground noise is about 10dB. Compressed dry air is stored in a high-pressure tank that has a capacity of $5m^3$, and is supplied to the plenum chamber, in which a honeycomb system reduces flow turbulence. A convergent-divergent nozzle with a design Mach number of M_d=2.0 is installed in the end wall of the plenum chamber.

The nozzle has a throat diameter of D_t=20*mm*, an exit diameter of *D*=26*mm*, and a straight section near the exit of the nozzle (see Fig.2). The pressure inside the plenum chamber



Fig. 3 Supersonic jets without (left) and with (right) a mesh screen device (D_m/D=0.81)



Fig. 4 Far-field noise spectra (D_m/D=0.81)

is controlled by a pressure regulator value that is located upstream of the plenum chamber. The jet Mach number M_j is varied between 1.05 and 2.53. For the present nozzle with a design Mach number of 2.0, the correct expansion at the nozzle exit is obtained at M_j=2.0. The jet Mach number applied in the present study covers the wide range from over-expanded to moderately under-expanded conditions.

The mesh screen device is illustrated in Fig.2. It is placed perpendicular at the nozzle exit plane. The mesh screen is a wire-gauze screen that is made of long stain-less wires with a very small diameter of 0.5*mm*. The mesh size is 1*mm*×1*mm*. In order to perturb mainly the initial jet shear layer, the hole is perforated in the central part of the mesh screen. Thus, the jet flow near the axis of the nozzle discharges from the nozzle exit through the perforated hole, without the resistance of the mesh screen. In the present study, the hole size with a diameter of D_m is varied between 0.0D and 0.81D.

A schlieren optical system is employed to visualize the qualitative structure of supersonic jet. Acoustic measurements are made using a condenser microphone that has a diameter of 6*mm*. The microphone is located at 98° and the radial distance of r=38D from the exit of the nozzle. The acoustic signals are analyzed by using a FFT analyzer. A FFT analysis provides the noise spectra and overall sound pressure level.

3. Results and Discussion

Figures 3 shows flow visualization pictures of super-sonic jets with and without the mesh screen device. The perforated hole size is D_m/D=0.81. For an over-expanded jet without the mesh screen at M_j=1.71, oblique shock waves are generated inside the nozzle, and these waves are reflected from the jet axis and form a Mach disk. The reflected shocks are reflected again toward the jet axis at the jet boundary, and lead to the repeated shock-cell structure. When the mesh screen device is placed at the nozzle exit plane, it seems that the turbulence of the shear layer behind the mesh screen device is weakened, compared with the uncontrolled jet, as shown in Fig. 3(a). However, the jet structure is very complicated due to the oblique shocks newly generated from the perforated hole's edge of the mesh screen.

At M_j=2.0, the jet is correctly expanded condition at the nozzle exit, and the pressure at the nozzle is matched to the ambient back pressure. In this case, the jet boundary is nearly parallel to the jet axis, and no shock-cell structure is found in the jet flow. The correctly expanded jet is modified by placing the mesh screen device, as shown in Fig. 3(b). At M_j=2.46, the jet flow is under-expanded, as shown in Fig. 3(c). The jet boundary is expanded because the expansion waves are generated at the exit of the nozzle. For under-expanded condition, the control device somewhat increases the spreading rate



(a) $D_m/D=1.0$(No control) (b) $D_m/D=0.81$

(c) $D_m/D=0.5$ (d) $D_m/D=0.0$

Fig. 5 Over-expanded jets with a mesh screen device (M_j=1.71)



Fig. 6 Effect of D_m/D on far-field noise spectra (M_j=1.71)

of the jet flow behind the mesh screen.

The noise spectra of supersonic jets are shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4(a), for uncontrolled over-expanded jet, there are three discrete peaks, referred to as the screech tone. It is interesting to note that the mesh screen eliminates the screech tone and considerately suppresses the jet noise in the frequency range below f=10kHz. For correctly-expanded jet, there are no discrete tones in the noise spectra. As can be seen in Fig. 4(b), the mesh screen device is not effective for suppressing the correctly expanded jet noise. The noise spectra of under-expanded jets with and without the mesh screen are shown in Fig. 4(c). For uncontrolled jet, there is a screech



Fig. 7 Effects of jet noise control devices on the overall sound pressure level (OASPL)

tone at a frequency of about f=2.6kHz. However, the screen tone and the broadband shock-associated noise are reduced by placing the mesh screen device at the nozzle exit plane.

Figure 5 shows the effect of the hole size (D_m/D) on the jet structure. When the hole size perforated in the central part of the mesh screen decreases, the intensity of the large-scale turbulence in the shear layer is more significantly suppressed. Behind the mesh screen, the Mach disk is formed, as shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d). It seems that the shock-cell strength decreases with a decrease in D_m/D, compared with the shock-cell structure of uncontrolled jet (i.e., D_m/D=1.0).

Figure 6 shows the effect of D_m/D on the far-field noise spectra. When D_m/D decreases, the noise control effect of the mesh screen device is more significant. For example, for D_m/D=0.81, the mesh screen reduces the noise spectra by about 7dB in the frequency range below f=10kHz. However, for D_m/D=0.5, the noise suppression of about 13dB is observed in the frequency range below f=13kHz. The broadband shock noise decreases with a decrease in D_m/D, because the shock cell strength decreases, strongly depending on the hole size, as shown in Fig. 5.

The effects of jet noise control devices on the overall sound pressure level (OASPL) are shown in Fig. 7. For uncontrolled jets, the OASPL increases gradually with an increase in M_j, decreases in the vicinity of M_j=2.0, and then is nearly constant with a further increase in M_j. The present data show that for M_j=2.0, the OASPL has a local minimum value, because the noise components for the correctly-expanded jet are due to entirely the turbulent mixing. In the cases with noise control devices, the OASPL increases with M_j. The OASPL in the over-expanded condition is reduced by the mesh screen device, while the mesh screen device becomes less effective in reducing the OASPL for correctly and under-expanded jets. The maximum reduction in the OASPL by the mesh screen is about 4dB at M_j=1.56.

4. Conclusion

The present study describes an experimental work to control supersonic jet noise using a mesh screen that is placed at the nozzle exit plane. The mesh screen is a wire-gauze screen that is made of long stainless wires with a very small diameter. The nozzle pressure ratio is varied to obtain the supersonic jets which are operated in a wide range of over-expanded to moderately under-expanded conditions. In order to perturb mainly the initial jet shear layer, the hole is perforated in the central part of the mesh screen. The size of a perforated hole is varied to investigate the control effectiveness of super- sonic jet noise. A high-quality schlieren optical system is used to visualize the flow fields of supersonic jet with and without the mesh screen device. Acoustic measurement is performed to obtain the overall sound pressure level and noise spectra. The results obtained show that the mesh screen device leads to a substantial suppression of jet screech tones and the OASPL. The hole size is an important factor in reducing the supersonic jet noise. For over-expanded jets, the noise control effectiveness of the mesh screen device is more significant, compared to correctly and under-expanded jets.

References

1. Tam, C. K. W., 1995, "Supersonic Jet Noise," Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 27, pp. 17~43.
2. Powell, A., 1953, "On the Mechanism of Choked Jet Noise," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Vol. 66 (408B), pp. 1039~1056.
3. Hay, J. A. and Rose, E. G., 1970, "In Flight Shock Cell Noise," J. Sound and Vibration, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 411~420.
4. Seiner, J. M., Manning, J. C. and Ponton, M. K., 1988, "Dynamic Pressure Loads Associated with Twin Supersonic Plume Resonance," AIAA J., Vol. 26, No. 8, pp. 954~960.
5. Samimy, M., Zaman, K. B. M. Q. and Reeder, M. F., 1993, "Effect of Tabs on the Flow and Noise Field of an Axisymmetric Jet," AIAA J., Vol. 31, No. 4, pp. 609 ~619.
6. Norum, T. D., 1983, "Screech Suppression in Super-sonic Jets," AIAA J., Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 235~240.
7. Kibens, V. and Wlezien, R.W., 1985, "Noise Reduc-tion Mechanism in Supersonic Jets with Porous Center-bodies," AIAA J., Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 678~684.
8. Debiasi, M. and Papamoschou, D., 1999, "Acoustics of Under- and Over-Expanded Coaxial Jets," 37th AIAA Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit, AIAA 99-0081.
9. Zoppellari, E. and Juve, D., 1998, "Reduction of Hot Supersonic Jet Noise by Water Injection," 4th AIAA/ CEASAeroacoustics Conference, AIAA 98-2204.
10. Kweon, Y. H., Miyazato, Y., Aoki, T., Kim, H. D. and Lim, C.-M., 2004, "An Experimental Study of the Supersonic Jet Noise Reduction Using a Wire Device," Proceedings of 11th ICSV, pp. 415~ 422.
11. Kweon, Y. H., Miyazato, Y., Aoki, T., Kim, H. D. and Setoguchi, T., 2005, "The Effect of a Cross Wire Device on Supersonic Jet Noise," 11th AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustic Conference, AIAA 2005-2891.
12. Kweon, Y. H., Miyazato, Y., Aoki, T., Kim, H. D. and Setoguchi, T, 2006, "Control of Supersonic Jet Noise Using a Wire Device," J. Sound and Vibration, Vol. 297, pp. 167~182.