

A note on fuzzy Fell topology

K.Hur*, J.H.Ryou* and B.S. Baik**

1. preliminaries

For a set X , I^X denote set of all fuzzy sets, where $I = [0, 1]$ and if $A \in I^X$, then $A: X \rightarrow I$ (See [9]). A fuzzy set A in X is called a *fuzzy point* in X with support x and value $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, denoted by x_λ , if for each $y \in X$

$$A(y_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \neq x. \end{cases}$$

The set of all fuzzy points in X will be denoted by $F_p(X)$ (See [7]).

The concepts of fuzzy continuity, F-open (resp. F-closed) mapping and fuzzy product space refers to [2], [1] and [8] respectively. Furthermore, the concepts of quasi-coincident and separation axioms refers to [7] and [3] respectively.

Definition 1.1[7]. Let $A, B \in I^X$ and $x_\lambda \in F_p(X)$, where $F_p(X)$ denotes the set of all fuzzy points in X . Then :

(1) A is said to be *quasi-coincident with B* , denoted by AqB , if there exists an $x \in X$ such that $A(x) > B^c(x)$ or $A(x) + B(x) > 1$.

Also, we say that A and B are *quasi-coincident* (with each other) at x .

(2) x_λ is said to be *quasi-coincident with A* , denoted by $x_\lambda qA$, if $\lambda > A^c(x)$ or $\lambda + A(x) > 1$.

Definition 1.2[3]. A fts X is said To be :

(1) T_1 , if for any pair of distinct points x_λ and y_μ ,

(case1). When $x \neq y$, x_λ has an open neighborhood which is not q-coincident with y_μ and y_μ has an open neighborhood which is not q-coincident with x_λ .

(case2). When $x = y$, and $\lambda < \mu$ (say), then there exists a q-neighborhood V of y_μ such that $x_\lambda \bar{q} V$.

(2) T_2 or *Hausdorff*, if for any two distinct points x_λ and y_μ ,

(case1). When $x \neq y$, x_λ have open neighborhoods which are not q-coincident.

(case2). When $x = y$, and $\lambda < \mu$ (say), then y_μ has an open q-neighborhood V and x_λ has open neighborhood U such that $V \bar{q} U$.

Result 1.A[3]. A fts X is T_1 if and only if every singleton set is closed in X .

Definition 1.3[4]. A collection of fuzzy sets \mathcal{F} of a set X is said to form a *filter base*, if for any finite collection $\{U_i: i = 1, \dots, n\}$ form \mathcal{F} , $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 1.4[4]. A fuzzy set A in a fts X is said to be compact if for each filter base \mathcal{F} such that every finite intersection of members of \mathcal{F} is q -coincident with A , $(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{F}} \bar{B}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Result 1.B[4]. Every fuzzy closed set of a compact fts is compact.

Result 1.C[4]. A compact subset of a T_2 -fts is closed.

Notation 1.5[5]. Let X be a fts and let $A \in I^X$. Then :

- (1) $I_0^X = \{ E : E \text{ is a nonempty fuzzy closed set in } X \}$.
- (2) $I_0^A = \{ E \in I_0^X : E \subset A \}$.

Definition 1.6[5]. Let (X, \mathcal{J}) be a fts. Then the *fuzzy Vietories topology* \mathcal{J}_v on I_0^X is generated by the collection of the forms $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle_v$ with U_1, \dots, U_n fuzzy open sets in X , where $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle_v = \{ E \in I_0^X : E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \text{ and } E q U_i \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n \}$.

The pair (I_0^X, \mathcal{J}_v) is called a *fuzzy hyperspace with fuzzy Vietories topology* (fuzzy hyperspace, in short).

Definition 1.7[6]. A mapping is said to be *fuzzy set-valued* if its values are fuzzy sets in a given set.

Result 1.D[6]. Let Y be a fts, I_0^X a fuzzy hyperspace and $F: Y \rightarrow I_0^X$ a fuzzy set-valued mapping. Then the following are equivalent :

- (1) F is F -continuous
- (2) For each fuzzy open (resp. closed) set A in X ,

$$F^{-1}(I_0^A) = \{ y \in Y : F(y) \in I_0^A \} = \{ y \in Y : F(y) \subset A \}$$

is open (resp. closed) in Y .

- (3) For each fuzzy closed (resp. open) set A in X ,

$$Y - F^{-1}(I_0^{A^c}) = \{ y \in Y : F(y) \notin I_0^{A^c} \} = \{ y \in Y : F(y) q A \},$$

is closed(resp. open) in Y .

2. Definition and fundamental properties.

Notation. Let X be a fts and $E \in I^X$. Then :

- (1) $[I_0^X] = \{ E \in I^X : E \text{ is closed in } X \}$. (2) $E^- = \{ A \in [I_0^X] : A q E \}$.
(3) $E^+ = \{ A \in [I_0^X] : A \subset E \}$.
(4) $\mathcal{F}_n = \{ E \in I_0^X : E \text{ has at most } n \text{ elements} \}$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a fts and let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ V^- : V \text{ is open in } X \} \cup \{ (K^c)^+ : K \text{ is compact in } X \}$$

Then the fuzzy Fell topology T_f on $[I_0^X]$ has a subbase \mathfrak{S} .

Theorem 2.2. The basic elements of T_f are three kinds of the forms :

Type 1. $\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i^-$, where each V_i is open in X .

Type 2. $(K^c)^+$, where K is compact in X .

Type 3. $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i^-) \cap (K^c)^+$.

Theorem 2.3. Let X be a Hausdorff fts. Then the fuzzy Vietories topology T_v on I_0^X is finer than the fuzzy Fell topology T_f on I_0^X .

Furthermore, if we replace fuzzy compact sets in the definition of the subbase for T_f by fuzzy closed sets, then T_f and T_v are equivalent. Hence if X is fuzzy compact Hausdorff, then $T_f = T_v$.

Theorem 2.4. Let X be a Hausdorff fts. Then :

(1) If $f: Z \rightarrow (I_0^X, T_v)$ is a F-continuous, fuzzy set-valued mapping, then $f: Z \rightarrow (I_0^X, T_f)$ is F-continuous.

(2) Let $f: (I_0^X, T_f)^n \rightarrow (I_0^X, T_f)$ be defined by $f(A_1, \dots, A_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Then f is F-continuous.

Theorem 2.5. Let X be a fuzzy Hausdorff space. If \mathcal{O} is open in (I_0^X, T_f) , then $\bigcup \mathcal{O} = \bigcup \{ E : E \in \mathcal{O} \}$ is open in X .

3. Further properties

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a fuzzy Hausdorff space. Then :

- (1) If \mathcal{B} is a compact subset of (I_0^X, T_v) , then it is a compact subset of (I_0^X, T_f) .
- (2) If \mathcal{D} is dense in (I_0^X, T_v) , then it is also dense in (I_0^X, T_f) .

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a fts. Then :

- (1) $\{ E \in I_0^X : E \subset A \}$ is closed in (I_0^X, T_f) if A is closed in X .
- (2) $\{ E \in I_0^X : E q A \}$ is closed in (I_0^X, T_f) if A is compact in X and X is Hausdorff.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n(X) = \{ E \in I_0^X : X \text{ has at most } n \text{ elements with distinct support} \}$.

Then we have the following result :

Theorem 3.3. Let X be a fuzzy Hausdorff space. If \mathcal{O} is open in $(\mathcal{F}_n(X), T_f)$. Then $\bigcup \mathcal{O}$ is open in X .

References

- [1] K.K.Azad, On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weekly continuity, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 82(1981) 14-32.
- [2] C.L.Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24(1968) 182-190.
- [3] S.Ganguly and S.Saha, On separation axioms and T_i -fuzzy continuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 16(1985) 265-275.
- [4] _____, A note on compactness in a fuzzy setting, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 34(1990) 117-124.
- [5] K.Hur, J.R.Moon and J.H.Ryou, A note on fuzzy Vietories topology, Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems, Vol. 10 No.2(2000) 129-132.
- [6] K.Hur, J.H.Ryou and Y.S.Ahn, A note on fuzzy continuous set-valued mappings, To appear.
- [7] Pu pao-Ming and Liu Ying-Ming, Fuzzy topology I, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 76(1980) 571-599.
- [8] _____, Fuzzy topology II, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 77(1980) 20-37.
- [9] L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8(1965)338-353.