1. INTRODUCTION

Generator excitation systems have been used for supplying the field currents to the generators. Many years ago most of large capability of generators required alternators for excitation system. Such excitation systems were so big, very complex and expensive. Nowadays, new excitation system, which does not require an alternator any more, is developed since the improved design technology in the generator excitation system. Advantages of new one are compactness, efficiency, convenience, and availability. Therefore, new excitation systems have been replacing existing old ones.

The rotor dynamic analysis is the most important item to increase of the reliability of rotating machinery. The dynamic characteristics of large rotating machinery are heavily dependent not only on the rotor itself, but also on the supporting conditions, such as bearing stiffness and damping, bearing bracket and foundation. In particular, the bearing stiffness and damping coefficients have a large influence on the rotor dynamic characteristics. Therefore, in order to replace old excitation systems with new ones successfully, designers should consider carefully dynamic characteristics of rotor-bearing system form view points of how to eliminate the existing alternator rotor, whether or not a steady bearing to be necessary, and what type of a steady bearing to be optimum, etc. A steady bearing is an additional bearing required for supporting the end of collector shaft. The
installation of the steady bearing is determined according to the results of rotor dynamic analysis.

This study describes an experience gained from the retrofit of generator excitation system, from with an alternator to without an alternator, for a 500 MW two-pole generator that runs at 3,600 rpm. Rotor dynamic characteristics, such as mode shape, critical speed, and unbalance response, were simulated with or without a steady bearing, to design an appropriate modified rotating system. Both the bearing stiffness and damping coefficients were calculated. In addition, verification testing of field test was carried out to confirm analytical results.

2. RETROFITS AND DESIGN CRITERIA

Figure 1 shows the existing generator excitation system with an additional generator, an alternator, which is coupled to the end of the generator rotor. In order to change the excitation system from the old one to the new one, first of all, the alternator rotor should be eliminated. And the next must be considered how to modify the collector rotor system. Figure 2 shows the schematic view of modified rotor system with a steady bearing and without a steady bearing, respectively. As mentioned above, the installation of the steady bearing is determined according to the results of rotor dynamic analysis since the dynamic characteristics of the rotating system are highly dependent on the bearing stiffness and damping coefficients.

In the present study, three kinds of design criteria were considered to decide the acceptability of the modified collector rotor system both statically and dynamically. The first criterion is based on the static stiffness of collector rotor overhang. If the stiffness is less than the design limit, a steady bearing should be installed at the end of collector rotor. This design limit was established several years ago and so reluctant since that excessive vibration problems were experienced on the collector rotor designed by this rule. The second criterion is to evaluate the sensitivity of generator rotor near the resonance of the system. Shirake and Kanki [4] proposed this criterion based on the operating experience and theoretical analysis for large turbine generator sets. The variable used to describe resonance sensitivity to unbalance is the Quality factor of resonance or Q-factor. This factor is commonly used to quantify the damping in order to predict the forced response and to evaluate system susceptibility due to forced excitation at a resonance. Thus Q-factor is determined based on the shape of response curve. A high damped response has a broad peak with low response value and yields a low Q-factor. While resonance with low damping has a sharp peak and yields a high Q-factor. Q-factor is determined at a particular resonance defined as $Q = f_r/(f_c-f_r)$, where $f_r$ is frequency at the resonance, $f_c$ is frequency less than $f_r$, where the amplitude is 0.707 times the amplitude at $f_r$, and $f_c$ is frequency greater than $f_r$, where the amplitude is 0.707 times the amplitude at $f_r$. Figure 3 shows an example of acceptable design criteria of Q-factor as a function of the ratio of critical speed to operating speed. This criterion indicates that design is acceptable when the Q-factor is below the limit line. The third criterion is that the vibration amplitude evaluated at the operating speed should be less than the limit value. The accurate vibration amplitude could be predicted because of improved rotor dynamics computer programs.

3. ANALYSIS AND MEASUREMENTS

There exist two requirements, i.e. theoretical analysis and measurement, for the successful retrofit. Theoretical analysis was performed to make a verification of design criteria mentioned above. First of all, the shaft stiffness...
was calculated for the collector rotor overhang, as shown in Fig.2(a). It was found that the shaft stiffness was lower than the limit value so that a steady bearing was required. Rotor dynamic analysis was investigated by FEM based on Timoshenko beam theory \[1\]. Figure 4 shows a vibration mode of the generator rotor system without a steady bearing at 3,758 rpm, which is the closest natural frequency to the operating speed (3,600 rpm). It can be seen that there exists a natural frequency near the operating speed and overhang mode occurs. Figure 5 shows the unbalance response mode at 3,600 rpm. In order to the unbalance response, it is assumed that 1,153 g-cm (1 pound-in) unbalance weight places at the end of the collector rotor. It can be seen that the excessive vibration amplitude as large as 1, 271 μm peak to peak, which is much larger than the design limit, occurs at the collector end. The Q-factor at 3,758 rpm is about 8.8, which is higher than the design limit. Therefore, it can be concluded that a steady bearing should be installed as shown in Fig.2(b).

In order to select an adequate steady bearing, three kinds of bearing types, cylindrical, elliptical, and tilting pad, were considered. It was found that any kind of bearing hardly changed natural frequency near the operating speed but both the unbalance response and Q-factor were reduced drastically because of the steady bearing. However, both the cylindrical and elliptical bearing have a possibility of oil whirl and/or oil whip occurrence since the actual load acting on the bearing is so small \[2,5\]. In consequence, a six-pad tilting pad journal bearing was selected as a suitable steady bearing. Figure 6 shows the schematic view of the collector rotor system with a steady bearing, which is finally modified for the retrofit. Figures 7 and 8 show the vibration mode and the unbalance response, respectively. The modified system might be worried about that the collector rotor would run near the resonance whose speed is about 3,715 rpm.

However, because of the damping effect of the steady bearing, both the expected maximum unbalance response and Q-factor are very small; which are about 11 μm peak to peak at 3,600 rpm and 1.78, respectively. Since those values are much smaller than the design limits, it is assured that modified rotating system would be run successfully during the operation.

Finally, verification testing for field test was carried out to confirm analytical results. Figure 9 shows the vibration amplitude (peak to peak) measured at the collector end as a function of the rotational speed with or without a steady bearing. As shown in this figure, the vibration amplitude of one without a steady bearing increases sharply as the speed and results in impossible in operation over 2,500 rpm. On the other hand, the vibration amplitude of one with a steady bearing is very good in the whole range of operation. The measured vibration amplitude at the operating speed is as low as 30 μm peak to peak but is larger than the expected value by analysis. This reason is caused by the uncertainties such as unbalance weight and misalignment, etc. It can be concluded that test results show a good agreement with theoretical results.

Consequently, the retrofit of generator excitation system from with an alternator to without an alternator, for a 500 MW two-pole generator, was achieved successfully. Figure 10 shows a photograph of newly modified collector rotor system.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This case study was gained from the successful retrofit experience of generator excitation system from with an alternator to without an alternator, for a 500 MW two-pole generator. In order to modify and to design the collector rotor system, static and dynamic characteristics, such as shaft stiffness, natural frequency, mode shape, Q-factor, and unbalance response, were simulated by the
analysis. Field test results show a good agreement with theoretical results.

REFERENCES


---

![Diagram 1](image1)

**Fig. 1** Schematic view of old generator excitation system with an alternator
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(a) Without steady bearing  
(b) With steady bearing

**Fig. 2** Schematic view of new generator excitation system without an alternator
Fig. 3  An example of Q-factor criteria

Fig. 4  Mode shape at 3,758 rpm
(without steady bearing)

Fig. 5  Unbalance response at 3,600 rpm
(without steady bearing)

Fig. 6  Schematic view of modified collector rotor system with a steady bearing
Fig. 7  Mode shape at 3.715 rpm 
(with steady bearing)

Fig. 8  Unbalance response at 3.600 rpm 
(with steady bearing)

Fig. 9  Comparison of measured vibration amplitude between 
with and without steady bearing

Fig. 10  A photograph of collector rotor system 
modified successfully