

FUZZY STRONGLY r -SEMICONTINUOUS MAPS

Eun Pyo LEE^a and Seok Jong LEE^b

a. Department of Mathematics, Seonam University, Namwon 590-711, Korea

Email: eplee@tiger.seonam.ac.kr

b. Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Korea

Email: sjlee@cbucc.chungbuk.ac.kr

Abstract

We introduce the concepts of fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous maps, and investigate some of their basic properties.

Keywords: fuzzy strongly r -semiopen, fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous

1. Introduction

Chang [2] introduced fuzzy topological spaces and several other authors continued the investigation of such spaces. Some authors [4,7] introduced new definitions of fuzzy topology as a generalization of Chang's fuzzy topology. In this paper, we generalize the concepts of fuzzy strongly semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly semicontinuous maps of Zhong [8]. We introduce the concepts of fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous maps, and then investigate some of their basic properties.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, I will denote the unit interval $[0, 1]$ of the real line and $I_0 = (0, 1]$. A member μ of I^X is called a fuzzy set of X . For any $\mu \in I^X$, μ^c denotes the complement $1 - \mu$. By $\tilde{0}$ and $\tilde{1}$ we denote constant maps on X with value 0 and 1, respectively. All other notations are standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

A *Chang's fuzzy topology* on X is a family T of fuzzy sets in X which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\tilde{0}, \tilde{1} \in T$.
- (2) If $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in T$ then $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in T$.
- (3) If $\mu_i \in T$ for each i , then $\bigvee \mu_i \in T$.

The pair (X, T) is called a *Chang's fuzzy topological space*.

A *fuzzy topology* on X is a map $\mathcal{T} : I^X \rightarrow I$ which satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1}) = 1$.

$$(2) \mathcal{T}(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \mathcal{T}(\mu_1) \wedge \mathcal{T}(\mu_2).$$

$$(3) \mathcal{T}(\bigvee \mu_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}(\mu_i).$$

The pair (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a *fuzzy topological space*.

Definition 2.1([5]) Let μ be a fuzzy set of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then μ is called

- (1) a *fuzzy r -open set* of X if $\mathcal{T}(\mu) \geq r$,
- (2) a *fuzzy r -closed set* of X if $\mathcal{T}(\mu^c) \geq r$.

Definition 2.2([3]) Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a fuzzy topological space. For each $r \in I_0$ and for each $\mu \in I^X$, the *fuzzy r -closure* is defined by

$$\text{cl}(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \leq \rho, \mathcal{T}(\rho^c) \geq r \}.$$

Definition 2.3([5]) Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a fuzzy topological space. For each $r \in I_0$ and for each $\mu \in I^X$, the *fuzzy r -interior* is defined by

$$\text{int}(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \geq \rho, \mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r \}.$$

Theorem 2.4([5]) For a fuzzy set μ of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$, we have:

- (1) $\text{int}(\mu, r)^c = \text{cl}(\mu^c, r)$.
- (2) $\text{cl}(\mu, r)^c = \text{int}(\mu^c, r)$.

Definition 2.5([5,6]) Let μ be a fuzzy set of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then μ is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy r -semiopen* if there is a fuzzy r -open set ρ in X such that $\rho \leq \mu \leq \text{cl}(\rho, r)$,
- (2) *fuzzy r -semiclosed* if there is a fuzzy r -closed set ρ in X such that $\text{int}(\rho, r) \leq \mu \leq \rho$,

(3) *fuzzy r -preopen* if $\mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu, r), r)$,

(4) *fuzzy r -preclosed* if $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r) \leq \mu$.

Definition 2.6([5,6]) Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map from a fuzzy topological space X to another fuzzy topological space Y and $r \in I_0$. Then f is called

(1) a *fuzzy r -continuous* map if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy r -open set of X for each fuzzy r -open set μ of Y ,

(2) a *fuzzy r -semicontinuous* map if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy r -semiopen set of X for each fuzzy r -open set μ of Y ,

(3) a *fuzzy r -precontinuous* map if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy r -preopen set of X for each fuzzy r -open set μ of Y .

3. Fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets

We are going to define fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets and fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed sets, and then investigate some of their properties.

Definition 3.1 Let μ be a fuzzy set of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then μ is said to be

(1) *fuzzy strongly r -semiopen* if there is a fuzzy r -open set ρ in X such that

$$\rho \leq \mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r),$$

(2) *fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed* if there is a fuzzy r -closed set ρ in X such that

$$\text{cl}(\text{int}(\rho, r), r) \leq \mu \leq \rho.$$

Theorem 3.2 Let μ be a fuzzy set of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) μ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen.
- (2) μ^c is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed.
- (3) $\mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r)$.
- (4) $\mu^c \geq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu^c, r), r), r)$.
- (5) μ is fuzzy r -semiopen and fuzzy r -preopen.
- (6) μ^c is fuzzy r -semiclosed and fuzzy r -preclosed.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) and (5) \Leftrightarrow (6) follows from Theorem 2.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let μ be a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of X . Then there is a fuzzy r -open set ρ in X such that $\rho \leq \mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r)$. Since $\mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r$ and $\mu \geq \rho$, $\rho = \text{int}(\rho, r) \leq \text{int}(\mu, r)$. Thus we have

$$\mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r) \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r).$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r) \geq \mu$ and take $\rho = \text{int}(\mu, r)$. Since $\mathcal{T}(\text{int}(\mu, r)) \geq r$, ρ is a fuzzy r -open set. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \text{int}(\mu, r) \leq \mu \\ &\leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence μ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set.

(1) \Rightarrow (5) It is obvious.

(5) \Rightarrow (3) Let μ be fuzzy r -semiopen and fuzzy r -preopen. Then $\mu \leq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r)$ and $\mu \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu, r), r)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\mu, r), r) \\ &\leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r), r) \\ &= \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\mu, r), r), r). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3 (1) Any union of fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen.

(2) Any intersection of fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed sets is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed.

Proof. (1) Let $\{\mu_i\}$ be a collection of fuzzy strongly r -semiopen sets. Then for each i , there is a fuzzy r -open set ρ_i such that $\rho_i \leq \mu_i \leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho_i, r), r)$. Since $\mathcal{T}(\bigvee \rho_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}(\rho_i) \geq r$, $\bigvee \rho_i$ is a fuzzy r -open set. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \rho_i &\leq \bigvee \mu_i \\ &\leq \bigvee \text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho_i, r), r) \\ &\leq \text{int}(\text{cl}(\bigvee \rho_i, r), r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigvee \mu_i$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set.

(2) It follows from (1) using Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.4 It is obvious that every fuzzy r -open set is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen and every fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set is not only a fuzzy r -semiopen set but also a fuzzy r -preopen set. That all of the converses need not be true is shown by the following example.

Example 3.5 Let $X = I$ and μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 be fuzzy sets of X defined by

$$\mu_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_2(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ -4x + 2 & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_3(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}, \\ \frac{1}{3}(4x - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $\mathcal{T}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$, $\mathcal{T}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\mathcal{T}_3 : I^X \rightarrow I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_1 \vee \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_3(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly \mathcal{T}_1 , \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_3 are fuzzy topologies on X . The fuzzy set $\mu_3(\mu_3^c)$ is fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen ($\frac{1}{2}$ -semiclosed) which is not fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -open ($\frac{1}{2}$ -closed) in (X, \mathcal{T}_1) . Also $\mu_3(\mu_3^c)$ is fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen ($\frac{1}{2}$ -semiclosed) but not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen ($\frac{1}{2}$ -semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}_2) . It can be also seen that $\mu_1(\mu_1^c)$ is fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -preopen ($\frac{1}{2}$ -preclosed) which is not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen ($\frac{1}{2}$ -semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}_3) . The example further shows that μ_1 in (X, \mathcal{T}_3) is a fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -preopen set which is not fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen and μ_3 in (X, \mathcal{T}_2) is a fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen set which is not fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -preopen.

Definition 3.6 Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a fuzzy topological space. For each $r \in I_0$ and for each $\mu \in I^X$, the fuzzy strong r -semiclosure is defined by

$$\text{sscl}(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \leq \rho, \rho \text{ is fuzzy strongly } r\text{-semiclosed} \}$$

and the fuzzy strong r -semiinterior is defined by

$$\text{ssint}(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X : \mu \geq \rho, \rho \text{ is fuzzy strongly } r\text{-semiopen} \}.$$

Obviously $\text{sscl}(\mu, r)$ is the smallest fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set which contains μ and $\text{ssint}(\mu, r)$ is the greatest fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set which is contained in μ . Moreover, $\text{sscl}(\mu, r) = \mu$ for any fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set μ and $\text{ssint}(\mu, r) = \mu$ for any fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set μ . Also we have

$$\text{int}(\mu, r) \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r) \leq \mu \leq \text{sscl}(\mu, r) \leq \text{cl}(\mu, r).$$

Moreover, we have the following results.

- (1) $\text{ssint}(\tilde{0}, r) = \tilde{0}$, $\text{ssint}(\tilde{1}, r) = \tilde{1}$.
- (2) $\text{ssint}(\mu, r) \leq \mu$.
- (3) $\text{ssint}(\mu \wedge \rho, r) \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r) \wedge \text{ssint}(\rho, r)$.

$$(4) \text{ssint}(\text{ssint}(\mu, r), r) = \text{ssint}(\mu, r).$$

$$(5) \text{sscl}(\tilde{0}, r) = \tilde{0}, \text{sscl}(\tilde{1}, r) = \tilde{1}.$$

$$(6) \text{sscl}(\mu, r) \geq \mu.$$

$$(7) \text{sscl}(\mu \vee \rho, r) \geq \text{sscl}(\mu, r) \vee \text{sscl}(\rho, r).$$

$$(8) \text{sscl}(\text{sscl}(\mu, r), r) = \text{sscl}(\mu, r).$$

Theorem 3.7 For a fuzzy set μ of a fuzzy topological space X and $r \in I_0$,

$$(1) \text{ssint}(\mu, r)^c = \text{sscl}(\mu^c, r).$$

$$(2) \text{sscl}(\mu, r)^c = \text{ssint}(\mu^c, r).$$

Proof. (1) Since $\text{ssint}(\mu, r) \leq \mu$ and $\text{ssint}(\mu, r)$ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen, $\mu^c \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r)^c$ and $\text{ssint}(\mu, r)^c$ is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed in X . Thus $\text{sscl}(\mu^c, r) \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r)^c$. Conversely, since $\mu^c \leq \text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)$ and $\text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)$ is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed in X , $\text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)^c \leq \mu$ and $\text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)^c$ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen in X . Thus $\text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)^c \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r)$ and hence $\text{ssint}(\mu, r)^c \leq \text{sscl}(\mu^c, r)$.

(2) Similar to (1).

Theorem 3.8 Let μ be a fuzzy set of a fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then μ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen (r -semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}) if and only if μ is fuzzy strongly semiopen (semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}_r) .

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 3.9 Let μ be a fuzzy set of a Chang's fuzzy topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $r \in I_0$. Then μ is fuzzy strongly semiopen (semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}) if and only if μ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen (semiclosed) in (X, \mathcal{T}^r) .

Proof. Straightforward.

4. Fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous maps

We introduce the notions of fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous maps, fuzzy strongly r -semiopen maps and fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed maps, and then investigate some of their properties.

Definition 4.1 Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map from a fuzzy topological space X to another fuzzy topological space Y and $r \in I_0$. Then f is said to be

- (1) *fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous* if $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of X for each fuzzy r -open set μ of Y , or equivalently, $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of X for each fuzzy r -closed set μ of Y ,
- (2) *fuzzy strongly r -semiopen* if $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of Y for each fuzzy r -open set ρ of X ,

(3) *fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed* if $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of Y for each fuzzy r -closed set ρ of X .

Remark 4.2 Clearly, every fuzzy r -continuous map is also a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map and every fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map is not only a fuzzy r -semicontinuous map but also a fuzzy r -precontinuous map. That all of the converses need not be true is shown by the following example.

Example 4.3 Let $X = \{x\}$ and μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 be fuzzy sets of X defined by

$$\mu_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \mu_3(x) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Define $\mathcal{T}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$, $\mathcal{T}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$ and $\mathcal{T}_3 : I^X \rightarrow I$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \mu_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_3(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ and \mathcal{T}_3 are fuzzy topologies on X .

(1) Consider the map $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T}_2)$ defined by $f(x) = x$. Then $f^{-1}(\tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$, $f^{-1}(\tilde{1}) = \tilde{1}$ and $f^{-1}(\mu_2) = \mu_2$ are fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen sets of (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and hence f is fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semicontinuous. On the other hand, $f^{-1}(\mu_2) = \mu_2$ is not fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -open in (X, \mathcal{T}_1) and hence f is not fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -continuous.

(2) Consider the map $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T}_3)$ defined by $f(x) = x$. Then $f^{-1}(\tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$, $f^{-1}(\tilde{1}) = \tilde{1}$ and $f^{-1}(\mu_3) = \mu_3$ are fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -preopen sets of (X, \mathcal{T}_2) and hence f is fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -precontinuous. On the other hand, $f^{-1}(\mu_3) = \mu_3$ is not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen in (X, \mathcal{T}_2) and hence f is not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semicontinuous.

(3) Consider the map $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_3) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T}_2)$ defined by $f(x) = x$. Then $f^{-1}(\tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$, $f^{-1}(\tilde{1}) = \tilde{1}$ and $f^{-1}(\mu_2) = \mu_2$ are fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen sets of (X, \mathcal{T}_3) and hence f is fuzzy $\frac{1}{2}$ -semicontinuous. On the other hand, $f^{-1}(\mu_2) = \mu_2$ is not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semiopen in (X, \mathcal{T}_3) and hence f is not fuzzy strongly $\frac{1}{2}$ -semicontinuous.

The next theorem provides alternative characterizations of a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map by fuzzy r -closure and fuzzy r -interior.

Theorem 4.4 Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map and $r \in I_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map.
- (2) $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), r), r) \leq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r))$ for each fuzzy set μ of Y .
- (3) $f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r), r)) \leq \text{cl}(f(\rho), r)$ for each fuzzy set ρ of X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let f be a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map and μ a fuzzy set of Y . Then $\text{cl}(\mu, r)$ is a fuzzy r -closed set of Y . Since f is fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous, $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r))$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of X . By Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r)) &\geq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r)), r), r), r) \\ &\geq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), r), r). \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let ρ be a fuzzy set of X . Then $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy set of Y . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)) &\geq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r), r), r) \\ &\geq \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r), r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(f(\rho), r) &\geq ff^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)) \\ &\geq f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\rho, r), r), r)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let μ be a fuzzy r -closed set of Y . Then $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy set of X . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), r), r)) &\leq \text{cl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &\leq \text{cl}(\mu, r) = \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), r), r) &\leq f^{-1}f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), r), r)) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of X and hence f is a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map.

A fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 4.5 Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map and $r \in I_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map.
- (2) $f(\text{sscl}(\rho, r)) \leq \text{cl}(f(\rho), r)$ for each fuzzy set ρ of X .

(3) $\text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r))$ for each fuzzy set μ of Y .

(4) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\mu, r)) \leq \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)$ for each fuzzy set μ of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let ρ be a fuzzy set of X . Since $\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)$ is a fuzzy r -closed set of Y , $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r))$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of X . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sscl}(\rho, r) &\leq \text{sscl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) \\ &\leq \text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)), r) \\ &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f(\text{sscl}(\rho, r)) \leq ff^{-1}(\text{cl}(f(\rho), r)) \leq \text{cl}(f(\rho), r).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let μ be a fuzzy set of Y . By (2),

$$f(\text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \leq \text{cl}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq \text{cl}(\mu, r).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) &\leq f^{-1}f(\text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu, r)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let μ be a fuzzy set of Y . Then μ^c is a fuzzy set of Y . By (3),

$$\text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu^c), r) = \text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu^c), r) \leq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu^c, r)).$$

By Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{int}(\mu, r)) &= f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\mu^c, r))^c \\ &\leq \text{sscl}(f^{-1}(\mu^c), r)^c \\ &= \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r). \end{aligned}$$

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let μ be a fuzzy r -open set of Y . Then $\text{int}(\mu, r) = \mu$. By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu) &= f^{-1}(\text{int}(\mu, r)) \\ &\leq \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

So $f^{-1}(\mu) = \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)$ and hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of X . Thus f is fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous.

Theorem 4.6 Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a bijection and $r \in I_0$. Then f is a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map if and only if $\text{int}(f(\rho), r) \leq f(\text{ssint}(\rho, r))$ for each fuzzy set ρ of X .

Proof. Let f be a fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous map and ρ a fuzzy set of X . Since $\text{int}(f(\rho), r)$ is fuzzy r -open in Y , $f^{-1}(\text{int}(f(\rho), r))$ is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen in X . Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$f^{-1}(\text{int}(f(\rho), r)) \leq \text{ssint}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) = \text{ssint}(\rho, r).$$

Since f is onto, we have

$$\text{int}(f(\rho), r) = ff^{-1}(\text{int}(f(\rho), r)) \leq f(\text{ssint}(\rho, r)).$$

Conversely, let μ be a fuzzy r -open set of Y . Then $\text{int}(\mu, r) = \mu$. Since f is onto,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) &\geq \text{int}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &= \text{int}(\mu, r) = \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mu) &\leq f^{-1}f(\text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &= \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

So $f^{-1}(\mu) = \text{ssint}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)$ and hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of X . Thus f is fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous.

The next theorem provides alternative characterizations of a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen map.

Theorem 4.7 Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map and $r \in I_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) f is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen map.

(2) $f(\text{int}(\rho, r)) \leq \text{ssint}(f(\rho), r)$ for each fuzzy set ρ of X .

(3) $\text{int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq f^{-1}(\text{ssint}(\mu, r))$ for each fuzzy set μ of Y .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let ρ be a fuzzy set of X . Clearly $\text{int}(\rho, r)$ is a fuzzy r -open set of X . Since f is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen map, $f(\text{int}(\rho, r))$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of Y . Thus

$$f(\text{int}(\rho, r)) = \text{ssint}(f(\text{int}(\rho, r)), r) \leq \text{ssint}(f(\rho), r).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let μ be a fuzzy set of Y . Then $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy set of X . By (2),

$$f(\text{int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \leq \text{ssint}(ff^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq \text{ssint}(\mu, r).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r) &\leq f^{-1}f(\text{int}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\text{ssint}(\mu, r)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let ρ be a fuzzy r -open set of X . Then $\text{int}(\rho, r) = \rho$ and $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy set of Y . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \text{int}(\rho, r) &\leq \text{int}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) \\ &\leq f^{-1}(\text{ssint}(f(\rho), r)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$f(\rho) \leq ff^{-1}(\text{ssint}(f(\rho), r)) \leq \text{ssint}(f(\rho), r) \leq f(\rho).$$

Thus $f(\rho) = \text{sscl}(f(\rho), r)$ and hence $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiopen set of Y . Therefore f is fuzzy strongly r -semiopen.

A fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed map can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 4.8 *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map and $r \in I_0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed map.
- (2) $\text{sscl}(f(\rho), r) \leq f(\text{cl}(\rho, r))$ for each fuzzy set ρ of X .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let ρ be a fuzzy set of X . Clearly $\text{cl}(\rho, r)$ is a fuzzy r -closed set of X . Since f is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed map, $f(\text{cl}(\rho, r))$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of Y . Thus

$$\text{sscl}(f(\rho), r) \leq \text{sscl}(f(\text{cl}(\rho, r)), r) = f(\text{cl}(\rho, r)).$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let ρ be a fuzzy r -closed set of X . Then $\text{cl}(\rho, r) = \rho$. By (2),

$$\text{sscl}(f(\rho), r) \leq f(\text{cl}(\rho, r)) = f(\rho) \leq \text{sscl}(f(\rho), r).$$

Thus $f(\rho) = \text{sscl}(f(\rho), r)$ and hence $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of Y . Therefore f is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed.

Theorem 4.9 *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a bijection and $r \in I_0$. Then f is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed map if and only if $f^{-1}(\text{sscl}(\mu, r)) \leq \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)$ for each fuzzy set μ of Y .*

Proof. Let f be a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed map and μ a fuzzy set of Y . Then $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fuzzy set of X . Since f is onto,

$$\text{sscl}(\mu, r) = \text{sscl}(f f^{-1}(\mu), r) \leq f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)).$$

Since f is one-to-one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{sscl}(\mu, r)) &\leq f^{-1}f(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r)) \\ &= \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let ρ be a fuzzy r -closed set of X . Then $\text{cl}(\rho, r) = \rho$. Since f is one-to-one,

$$f^{-1}(\text{sscl}(f(\rho), r)) \leq \text{cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r) = \text{cl}(\rho, r) = \rho.$$

Since f is onto, we have

$$f(\rho) \geq f f^{-1}(\text{sscl}(f(\rho), r)) = \text{sscl}(f(\rho), r) \geq f(\rho).$$

Thus $f(\rho) = \text{sscl}(f(\rho), r)$ and hence $f(\rho)$ is a fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed set of Y . Therefore f is fuzzy strongly r -semiclosed.

Theorem 4.10 *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map from a fuzzy topological space X to another*

fuzzy topological space Y and $r \in I_0$. Then f is fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous (r -semiopen, r -semiclosed) if and only if $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_r) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_r)$ is fuzzy strongly semicontinuous (semiopen, semiclosed).

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 4.11 *Let $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U})$ be a map from a Chang's fuzzy topological space X to another Chang's fuzzy topological space Y and $r \in I_0$. Then f is fuzzy strongly semicontinuous (semiopen, semiclosed) if and only if $f : (X, \mathcal{T}^r) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}^r)$ is fuzzy strongly r -semicontinuous (r -semiopen, r -semiclosed).*

Proof. Straightforward.

References

1. K. K. Azad, *On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **82**, 14-32, 1981.
2. C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **24**, 182-190, 1968.
3. K. C. Chattopadhyay and S. K. Samanta, *Fuzzy topology : Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **54**, 207-212, 1993.
4. K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness : fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **49**, 237-242, 1992.
5. S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, *Fuzzy r -semiopen sets and fuzzy r -semicontinuous maps*, Proc. of KFIS, **7**(1), 29-32, 1997.
6. S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, *Fuzzy r -precontinuous maps*, Proc. of KFIS, **7**(2), 73-76, 1997.
7. A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **48**, 371-375, 1992.
8. B. S. Zhong, *Fuzzy strongly semiopen sets and fuzzy strong semicontinuity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **52**, 345-351, 1992.