

On t -Fuzzy Equivalence Relations

Gil Seob Rhie¹⁾, Yeoul Ouk Sung²⁾

I. Introduction.

Since the definition of a fuzzy relation from X to Y was introduced, as a fuzzy subset of $X \times Y$, by Zadeh[6], the theory of fuzzy relations was developed by [3, 4, 5]. In [4], Nemitz has studied lattice-valued fuzzy relations assuming values from a Brouwerian lattice. In [5], Sidky defined the t -fuzzy partition on a set and studied its properties.

In this paper we define the notion of t -fuzzy relation on a set and proved some related properties.

II. Preliminaries

Let L denote a linear lattice with the universal bounds $0, 1$ and $t \in L - \{0\}$ throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1. [5]. (1) Let X be a nonempty set and L be a lattice. An L -fuzzy subset A of X is defined to be a mapping $A: X \rightarrow L$.

(2) Let $F(X) = \{A \mid A \text{ is an } L\text{-fuzzy subset of } X\}$.

Definition 2.2. [5] Let A be an L -fuzzy subset of X and $t \in L$. The subset $\overline{A}^t = \{x \in X \mid A(x) > t\}$ of X is called a strong t -level subset of X .

Definition 2.3. [5] Let X and Y be nonempty sets. A fuzzy relation from X to Y is defined to be an L -fuzzy subset of $X \times Y$.

Definition 2.4. [4] Let X be a nonempty set and $R \in F(X \times Y)$, R is called an L -valued fuzzy equivalence relation on X iff

- (1) R is reflexive i.e, $R(x, x) = 1, \forall x \in X$.

1) Department of Mathematics, Hannam University, Taejon 300-791, Korea.

2) Department of Mathematics Education, Kongju University, Kongju 314-701, Korea.

- (2) R is symmetric i.e, $R^{-1} = R$.
- (3) R is transitive i.e, $R \circ R \subseteq R$.

Definition 2.5. [5] Let X be a nonempty set. The class $P = \{Y_i \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid i \in I\}$ is called a t -fuzzy partition of X iff

- (1) for each $x \in X$, there is $Y_i \in P$ such that $Y_i(x) > t$.
- (2) for each $Y_i \in P$, there is $x \in X$ such that $Y_i(x) > t$.
- (3) if $i \neq j$, then $Y_i(x) \wedge Y_j(x) \leq t, \forall x \in X$.

III. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Let $R \in F(X \times X)$. R is called a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X iff

- (1) R is t -reflexive i.e. $R(x, x) > t, \forall x \in X$.
- (2) $R^{-1} = R$.
- (3) $R \circ R \subseteq R$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $R \in F(X \times X)$ be a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X . Then $\overline{R^t} = \{(x, y) \mid R(x, y) > t\}$ is an ordinary equivalence relation on X .

Proof. Firstly, for each $x \in X$, since R is t -reflexive, $R(x, x) > t$, and so $(x, x) \in \overline{R^t}$, which implies $\overline{R^t}$ is reflexive.

Secondly, let $(x, y) \in \overline{R^t}$. Then $R(x, y) > t$. Since R is symmetric, $R(y, x) = R^{-1}(x, y) > t$, and so $(y, x) \in \overline{R^t}$, which implies $\overline{R^t}$ is symmetric. Finally, let $(x, y) \in \overline{R^t}$, and $(y, z) \in \overline{R^t}$. Then $R(x, y) > t$ and $R(y, z) > t$. By transitivity of R , $R(x, z) > t$, and so $(x, z) \in \overline{R^t}$, which implies $\overline{R^t}$ is transitive. Therefore, $\overline{R^t}$ is an ordinary equivalence relation on X .

Theorem 3.3. Let $R \in F(X \times X)$ is a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X , and let $[x]_{\overline{R^t}} = \{y \in X \mid R(x, y) > t\}, x \in X$

Then $\{[x]_{\overline{R^t}} \mid x \in X\}$ is an ordinary partition of X .

Proof. For each $x \in X$, since R is t -reflexive, $R(x, x) > t$ and so $x \in [x]_{\overline{R^t}}$, which implies $X \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} [x]_{\overline{R^t}}$.

We claim that $[x]_{\overline{R^t}} = [y]_{\overline{R^t}}$ if $[x]_{\overline{R^t}} \cap [y]_{\overline{R^t}} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $[x]_{\overline{R^t}} \cap [y]_{\overline{R^t}} \neq \emptyset$,

then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \in [x]_{\bar{R}} \cap [y]_{\bar{R}}$. This implies that $R(x, z) > t$ and $R(y, z) > t$.

By transitivity of R , $R(x, y) > t$. If $z' \in [x]_{\bar{R}}$, then $R(x, z') > t$. Combining $R(x, y) > t$ and $R(z', x) > t$, which implies $R(z', y) > t$, hence $[x]_{\bar{R}} \subseteq [y]_{\bar{R}}$. Similarly, interchanging the roles of x and y , we get that $[y]_{\bar{R}} \subseteq [x]_{\bar{R}}$. Therefore, $[x]_{\bar{R}} = [y]_{\bar{R}}$.

Definition 3.4. Let $R \in F(X \times X)$ be a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X . For each $x \in X$, we define a fuzzy subset $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}: X \rightarrow I$ by

$$P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{R(x,z) > t} R(x, z) & \text{if } z \in [x]_{\bar{R}} \\ 0 & \text{if } z \notin [x]_{\bar{R}} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.5. If $[x]_{\bar{R}} \cap [y]_{\bar{R}} \neq \emptyset$, then $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$, $z \in X$.

Proof. Let $y \in [x]_{\bar{R}}$. We show that $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$, $z \in X$. If $z \in [x]_{\bar{R}}$, then $R(x, z) > t$. By transivity of R , $z \in [y]_{\bar{R}}$ which implies $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$. Otherwise, since $y \in [x]_{\bar{R}}$, $z \notin [y]_{\bar{R}}$, which implies $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0 = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$. Therefore, $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$ for all $z \in X$.

Theorem 3.6. $P = \{P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}} \mid x \in X\}$ is a t -fuzzy partition of X .

Proof. Firstly, for each $z \in X$, Since R is t -reflexive $R(z, z) > t$, which implies $P_{[z]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \geq R(z, z) > t$.

Secondly, for each $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}} \in P$, we have $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) > t$.

Finally, we show that $[x]_{\bar{R}} \cap [y]_{\bar{R}} = \emptyset$ implies $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \wedge P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \leq t$, $z \in X$. If $z \in [x]_{\bar{R}}$, since $\{[x]_{\bar{R}} \mid x \in X\}$ is an ordinary partition of X , then $z \notin [y]_{\bar{R}}$, and so $P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0$, which implies $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \wedge P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0$. If $z \in [y]_{\bar{R}}$, then $z \notin [x]_{\bar{R}}$, and so $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0$, which implies $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \wedge P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0$. If $z \notin [x]_{\bar{R}}$ and $z \notin [y]_{\bar{R}}$, then $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0 = P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z)$, and so $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \wedge P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) = 0$. In which case, we have $P_{[x]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \wedge P_{[y]_{\bar{R}}}(z) \leq t$ for all $z \in X$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $P = \{P_i \in F(X) \mid i \in I\}$ be a t -fuzzy partition of X . Then there exists the correspondent t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X .

Proof. Define a fuzzy subset $R: X \times X \rightarrow I$ by

$$R(x, y) = \bigvee_i P_i(x) \wedge P_i(y), \quad (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

We show that R is a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X . Firstly, let $x \in X$. Since P is a t -fuzzy partition of X , there exists a $P_i \in P$ such that $P_i(x) > t$. This implies that $R(x, x) = \bigvee_i P_i(x) \wedge P_i(x) = \bigvee_i P_i(x) \geq P_i(x) > t$. Therefore, R is t -reflexive.

Secondly, by definition, $R(x, y) = R(y, x)$.

$$\text{Finally, } R \circ R(x, y) = \bigvee_{z \in X} R(x, z) \wedge R(z, y)$$

$$= \bigvee_{z \in X} (\bigvee_i (P_i(x) \wedge P_i(z)) \wedge \bigvee_j (P_j(z) \wedge P_j(y)))$$

$$\leq \bigvee_{z \in X} (\bigvee_i (P_i(x) \wedge P_i(z) \wedge P_i(y)))$$

$$\leq \bigvee_i \bigvee_{z \in X} (P_i(x) \wedge P_i(y))$$

$$= \bigvee_i (P_i(x) \wedge P_i(y)) = R(x, y) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

Therefore, R is transitive. Consequently, R is a t -equivalence relation on X .

Theorem 3.8. Let R be a t -fuzzy equivalence relation on X and let $t > s$, $0 \leq s < 1$. Then R is a s -fuzzy equivalence relation on X , and $P_t \subseteq P_s$, where $P_t = \{P_{[x]_{\bar{R}^t}} \mid x \in X\}$, $P_s = \{P_{[x]_{\bar{R}^s}} \mid x \in X\}$.

Proof. To show that R is a s -fuzzy equivalence relation on X , it suffices to show that R is s -reflexive. For each $x \in X$, since R is t -reflexive, $R(x, x) > t$, which implies $R(x, x) > s$. Therefore, R is s -reflexive. The rest is clear. If $y \in [x]_{\bar{R}^t}$, then $R(x, y) > t$. Since $t > s$, which implies $R(x, y) > s$ and so $y \in [x]_{\bar{R}^s}$, therefore, $[x]_{\bar{R}^t} \subseteq [x]_{\bar{R}^s}$. Consequently, we have $P_t \subseteq P_s$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, A. M. S. Providence, Rhode Island, 1967.
2. D. Dubois and H. Prade, Fuzzy Set and System—Theory and Applications (Academic Press, New York, 1980).
3. V. Murali, Fuzzy equivalence relations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 30 (1989) 155-163
4. W. C Nemitz, Fuzzy relations and fuzzy functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 19 (1986) 177-191.
5. F. I. Sidky, t -fuzzy mapping, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 76 (1995) 387-393.
6. L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform and Control 8 (1965) 338-353