

## Stability of Intervalwise Receding Horizon Control for Linear Time-Varying Systems

<sup>0</sup>Ki Baek Kim and Wook Hyun Kwon

School of Elec.Eng., Seoul Nat. Univ. (Phone: 880-7314, Fax: 871-7010, E-mail: kkb@cisl.snu.ac.kr)

**Abstracts** In this paper, an intervalwise receding horizon control (IRHC) is proposed which stabilizes linear continuous and discrete time-varying systems each other by means of a feedback control stemming from a receding horizon concept and a minimum quadratic cost. The results parallel those obtained for continuous [4], [9] and discrete time varying system [5], [15] each other.

**Keywords** Intervalwise Receding Horizon Control

### 1 Introduction

The receding horizon control has received much attention as a powerful tool for the control of the industrial process systems since it can overcome the limitation of the existing control method such as LQ or LQG, which considers an infinite future horizon without constraints. There are two kinds of receding horizon controls, pointwise and intervalwise. In pointwise receding horizon control, the terminal point of a fixed-length finite cost horizon recedes continuously. In intervalwise receding horizon control, the terminal point is kept fixed for a period of a finite cost horizon and, after one period, the terminal point moves by one period and is fixed for the next period.

It is known that the intervalwise receding horizon control (IRHC) provides a much lower computation cost, a better tracking performance [16], and an easier way [3] than the pointwise receding horizon control (PRHC). In addition, the gain of IRHC tends to be smaller than that of PRHC since the terminal point of IRHC is fixed for a period, while that of PRHC always recedes.

IRHC has only been developed for time-invariant and periodic systems [1], [2], [3], [16], [17], while PRHC have been developed for time-invariant and time-varying systems [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [15].

In this paper, we investigate IRHC for linear time-varying systems. Conditions are proposed which guar-

antee closed-loop stability of linear time-varying systems with IRHC.

In Section 2, a stabilizing  $H_2$  IRHC for continuous time-varying systems is proposed. In Section 3, a stabilizing  $H_2$  IRHC for discrete time-varying systems is also proposed. In Section 4, a stabilizing  $H_\infty$  IRHC for continuous time-varying systems is proposed. In Section 5, a stabilizing  $H_\infty$  IRHC for discrete time-varying systems is also proposed. Finally, conclusions are presented in Section 6.

### 2 $H_2$ intervalwise receding horizon control for continuous systems

In this session, we suggest an intervalwise receding horizon control and stabilizing conditions for continuous systems.

Consider the following continuous time-varying system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) \\ y(t) &= C(t)x(t)\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where  $x(t) \in R^n$ ,  $u(t) \in R^m$ , and  $y(t) \in R^p$ . Consider also a cost function

$$J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} (y^T(t)Q(t)y(t) + u^T(t)R(t)u(t))dt \quad (2.2)$$

where  $Q(t)$  and  $R(t)$  are piecewise continuous with

$Q(t) \geq 0$ ,  $R(t) > 0$ , and  $x(t_f) = 0$ . Then the optimal closed-loop control is given by

$$u^*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)P^{-1}(t, t_f)x(t) \quad (2.3)$$

where  $P^{-1}(\tau, \sigma)$  is the inverse of a symmetric positive definite matrix  $P(\tau, \sigma)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial P(\tau, \sigma)}{\partial \tau} &= -A(\tau)P(\tau, \sigma) - P(\tau, \sigma)A^T(\tau) \\ &\quad -P(\tau, \sigma)C^T(\tau)Q(\tau)C(\tau)P(\tau, \sigma) \\ &\quad +B(\tau)R^{-1}(\tau)B^T(\tau), \quad \tau \leq \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

with the boundary condition  $P(\sigma, \sigma) = 0$ . We assume there exist fixed positive real numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7, \alpha_8$ , such that

$$\alpha_1 I \leq Q(t) \leq \alpha_2 I \quad (2.5)$$

$$\alpha_3 I \leq R(t) \leq \alpha_4 I \quad (2.6)$$

$$\alpha_5 I \leq \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi(t, \tau)B(\tau)B^T(\tau)\Phi^T(t, \tau)d\tau \leq \alpha_6 I \quad (2.7)$$

$$\alpha_7 I \leq \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi^T(\tau, t)C^T(\tau)C(\tau)\Phi(\tau, t)d\tau \leq \alpha_8 I \quad (2.8)$$

for  $\forall t$  where  $\Phi$  is the state transition matrix. Now we propose an intervalwise receding horizon control (IRHC) for continuous time-varying systems.

$$u^*(t) = -R^{-1}(t)B^T(t)P^{-1}(t, T, T_f)x(t) \quad (2.9)$$

where  $T_f - T \geq \delta$ ,  $P(t, T, T_f)$  is obtained by integrating (2.4) backward from  $\sigma = t_0 + (k+1)T + T_f$  to  $\tau = t$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $t \in [t_0 + kT, t_0 + (k+1)T)$ . The following result is needed to prove the stability of (2.9).

**Lemma 1:** a) Assume (2.6), (2.7),  $0 \leq Q(t) \leq \alpha_9 I$ , and  $\|C(t)\| \leq \alpha_{10}$  for  $\forall t$ , then there exist positive scalars  $\alpha_{11}$  and  $\alpha_{12}$  such that  $\alpha_{11}I \leq P(t, T, T_f) \leq \alpha_{12}I$ , for  $\forall t$ . b) Assume (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), then there exist positive constants  $\alpha_{13}$  and  $\alpha_{14}$  such that  $\alpha_{13}I \leq P(t, T, T_f) \leq \alpha_{14}I$  for  $\forall t$

**proof:** a) This result may be found in [4]. b) This result may be found in [12]. ■

**THEOREM 1:** 1) Assume (2.6), (2.7),  $0 \leq Q(t) \leq \alpha_9 I$ , and  $\|C(t)\| \leq \alpha_{10}$  for  $\forall t$ , then the system (2.1) with feedback control (2.9) is uniformly asymptotically stable. 2) Assume (2.5), (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), then the system (2.1) with the control law (2.9) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

**proof:** The proof procedure parallels that of [4] using Theorem 4 of [13]. The only difference between the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [4] and that of this Theorem is to use  $P^{-1}(t, T, T_f)$  instead of  $P^{-1}(t, t+T)$ . ■

In the following session, we investigate IRHC for discrete systems

### 3 $H_2$ intervalwise receding horizon control for discrete systems

Consider the following discrete time-varying system

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1} &= A_i x_i + B_i u_i \\ y_i &= C_i x_i \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $x_i \in R^n$ ,  $u_i \in R^m$ , and  $y_i \in R^p$ . Consider also a cost function

$$J = \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_f-1} [y_i^T Q_i y_i + u_i^T R_i u_i] \quad (3.2)$$

where  $Q_i \geq 0$  and  $R_i > 0$ , and  $x_{i_f} = 0$ . Then the optimal closed-loop control is given by

$$u_i^* = -R_i^{-1} B_i^T P_{i+1, i_f}^{-1} A_i x_i \quad (3.3)$$

where  $P^{-1}$ -matrix is the inverse of a symmetric positive definite matrix  $P$ -matrix satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i, j} &= A_i^{-1} P_{i+1, j} A_i^{T-1} - A_i^{-1} P_{i+1, j} A_i^{T-1} C_i^T D_i^T \\ &\quad [I + D_i C_i A_i^{-1} P_{i+1, j} A_i^{T-1} C_i^T D_i^T]^{-1} D_i C_i A_i^{-1} \\ &\quad P_{i+1, j} A_i^{T-1} + B_{i-1} R_{i-1}^{-1} B_{i-1}^T, \quad i \leq j \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with the boundary condition  $P_{j, j} = 0$ . We assume there exist fixed positive real numbers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7, \beta_8$ , such that

$$\beta_1 I \leq Q_i \leq \beta_2 I \quad (3.5)$$

$$\beta_3 I \leq R_i \leq \beta_4 I \quad (3.6)$$

$$\beta_5 I \leq \sum_{j=i}^{i+1} [A_{i, j+1} B_j B_j^T A_{i, j+1}^T] \leq \beta_6 I \quad (3.7)$$

$$\beta_7 I \leq \sum_{j=i}^{i_f} [A_{i, j+1}^T C_i^T C_i A_{i, j+1}] \leq \beta_8 I \quad (3.8)$$

for  $\forall i$  where  $A_{i, j}$  is the state transition matrix. We also propose  $H_2$  IRHC for discrete time-varying systems.

$$u_i^* = -R_i^{-1} B_i^T P_{i+1, L, L_f}^{-1} A_i x_i, \quad L_f - L \geq l \quad (3.9)$$

where  $P_{i+1, L, L_f}$  is obtained by calculating (3.4) backward from  $i_0 + (k+1)L + L_f$  to  $i+1$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $i \in [i_0 + kL, i_0 + (k+1)L - 1]$ .

**THEOREM 2:** 1) Assume (3.6), (3.7),  $0 \leq Q_i \leq \beta_9 I$ , and  $\|C_i\| \leq \beta_{10}$  for  $\forall i$ , then the system (3.1) with feedback control (3.9) is uniformly asymptotically stable. 2) Assume (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), then the system (3.1) with the control law (3.9) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

**proof:** The proof parallels that of [5]. The only difference between the proof of Theorem of [5] and that of this Theorem is to use  $P_{i, L, L_f}^{-1}$  instead of  $P_{i, i+L}^{-1}$ . ■

#### 4 $H_\infty$ intervalwise receding horizon control for continuous systems

Consider the following continuous time-varying system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= A(t)x(t) + B_1(t)w(t) + B_2(t)u(t) \\ z(t) &= C(t)x(t)\end{aligned}\quad (4.1)$$

where  $x(t_0) = 0$ ,  $x(t) \in R^n$ ,  $u(t) \in R^m$ ,  $w(t) \in R^l$ , and  $z(t) \in R^p$ . Consider also the cost index with  $F > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}J &= x^T(t_f)Fx(t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} [z^T(t)z(t) \\ &\quad - \gamma^2 w^T(t)w(t)]dt\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

Define the following Riccati equation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial Z(\tau, \sigma)}{\partial \tau} &= A^T(\tau)Z(\tau, \sigma) + Z(\tau, \sigma)A(\tau) + Z(\tau, \sigma) \\ &\quad (B_2(\tau)B_2^T(\tau) - \gamma^{-2}B_1(\tau)B_1^T(\tau)) \\ &\quad Z(\tau, \sigma) - C^T(\tau)C(\tau), \quad \tau \leq \sigma\end{aligned}\quad (4.3)$$

with the boundary condition  $Z(\sigma, \sigma) = 0$ , and the threshold value

$$\hat{\gamma}^{CL} = \inf\{\gamma > 0 : \text{The RDE (4.3) does not have a conjugate point on } [t_0, t_f]\}\quad (4.4)$$

Then if  $\gamma > \hat{\gamma}^{CL}$ , the differential game with closed-loop system admits a unique feedback saddle-point solution given by

$$\begin{aligned}u^*(t) &= -B_2^T(t)Z(t, t_f)x(t) \\ w^*(t) &= \gamma^{-2}B_1^T(t)Z(t, t_f)x(t)\end{aligned}\quad (4.5)$$

and the saddle point value of the game is given by  $J_\gamma(u^*, w^*) = x^T(t_0)Z(t_0, t_f)x(t_0)$ .

Using the above (4.5), we derive an intervalwise receding horizon  $H_\infty$  control

$$\begin{aligned}u^*(t) &= -B_2^T(t)Z(t, T)x(t) \\ w^*(t) &= \gamma^{-2}B_1^T(t)Z(t, T)x(t)\end{aligned}\quad (4.6)$$

where  $Z(t, T)$  is obtained by integrating (4.3) backward from  $\sigma = t_0 + (k+1)T$  to  $\tau = t$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $t \in [t_0 + kT, t_0 + (k+1)T)$ . Consider the controllability gramians

$$\begin{aligned}G_1(t_0, t_f) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \Phi(t_0, t)B_1(t)B_1^T(t)\Phi^T(t_0, t)dt \\ G_2(t_0, t_f) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \Phi(t_0, t)B_2(t)B_2^T(t)\Phi^T(t_0, t)dt\end{aligned}$$

Now, we investigate the stability of an intervalwise receding horizon  $H_\infty$  control.

**THEOREM 3 :** Assume  $C^T C > \epsilon I$  for  $\forall t$  and  $G_2^{-1}(t_0, t_1)G_1(t_0, t_1)$  for  $\forall t_1 - t_0 \leq T$ . Then the system (4.1) with feedback control (4.6) is exponentially stabilizing and the  $H_\infty$ -norm bound of the closed-loop system is guaranteed.

**proof:** Let  $F(t) = A(t) - B_2(t)B_2^T(t)Z(t, T)$  and  $V(t) = x^T(t)Z(t, T)x(t)$ . Then  $\dot{V} = x^T(-Z^T B_2 B_2^T P - \gamma^2 Z B_1 B_1^T Z - C^T C + \frac{\partial Z(t, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma})x$ . Since  $Z$  is positive definite and uniformly bounded and  $\frac{\partial Z(t, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} \leq 0$  by [9],  $\dot{V} < -\epsilon x^T(t)x(t)$ . Hence the system (4.6) is exponentially stable.

The proof of the  $H_\infty$ -norm bound may be also found in [9]. The only difference between the proof of Theorem 1 of [9] and that of this Theorem is to use  $Z^{-1}(t, T)$  instead of  $P^{-1}(t, t+T)$ . ■

#### 5 $H_\infty$ intervalwise receding horizon control for discrete systems

Consider the following discrete time-varying system

$$\begin{aligned}x_{i+1} &= A_i x_i + B_{1i} w_i + B_{2i} u_i \\ z_i &= C_i x_i\end{aligned}\quad (5.1)$$

where  $x_i \in R^n$ ,  $u_i \in R^m$ ,  $w_i \in R^l$ , and  $z_i \in R^p$ . Consider also a cost function

$$\begin{aligned}J &= x_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f} x_{i_f} + \sum_{i=i_0}^{i_f-1} [x_i^T C_i^T C_i x_i \\ &\quad + u_i^T u_i - \gamma^2 w_i^T w_i].\end{aligned}\quad (5.2)$$

Then the dynamic game theory described by (5.1) and (5.2) admits a unique feedback saddle-point solution, if and only if  $[I - \gamma^{-2} B_{1i}^T M_{i+1, i_f} B_{1i}] > 0$  over  $i \in [i_0, i_f - 1]$  [14]:

$$\begin{aligned}u_i^* &= -B_{2i}^T M_{i+1, i_f} \Lambda_{i+1, i_f}^{-1} A_i x_i \\ w_i^* &= \gamma^{-2} B_{1i}^T M_{i+1, i_f} \Lambda_{i+1, i_f}^{-1} A_i x_i\end{aligned}\quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M_{i, i_f} &= C_i^T C_i + A_i^T M_{i, i_f} \Lambda_{i, i_f}^{-1} A_i \\ M_{i_f} &= Q_{i_f} \\ \Lambda_{i, i_f} &= I + [B_{2i} B_{2i}^T - \gamma^{-2} B_{1i} B_{1i}^T] M_{i, i_f}\end{aligned}\quad (5.4)$$

Using the above (5.3), we also derive an intervalwise receding horizon  $H_\infty$  control for discrete time-varying system.

$$u_i^* = -B_{2i}^T M_{i+1, L, L_f} \Lambda_{i+1, i_f}^{-1} A_i x_i \quad (5.5)$$

$$w_i^* = \gamma^{-2} B_{1i}^T M_{i+1, L, L_f} \Lambda_{i+1, i_f}^{-1} A_i x_i \quad (5.6)$$

where  $L_f - L \geq 2$  and  $M(i+1, L, L_f)$  is obtained by calculating (5.4) backward from  $i_0 + (k+1)L + L_f$  to  $i+1$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $i \in [i_0 + kL, i_0 + (k+1)L - 1]$ .

**THEOREM 4 :** 1) Assume  $Q_{i_f} \geq \Psi_{i_f} + C_{i_f}^T C_{i_f} + H_{i_f}^T H_{i_f}, \forall i_f \in [L_f, \infty]$  and  $I - \gamma^{-2} B_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f+1} B_{i_f} > 0$  where  $\Psi_{i_f} = F_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f+1} B_{i_f} (I - B_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f+1} B_{i_f})^{-1} B_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f+1} F_{i_f} + F_{i_f}^T Q_{i_f+1} F_{i_f}$  and  $F_{i_f} = A_{i_f} - B_{i_f} H_{i_f}$ . 2) Assume the system (5.1) is uniformly detectable. Then the system (5.1) with the control law (5.5) is stable and the  $H_\infty$ -norm bound of the closed-loop system is guaranteed.

**proof:** The proof procedure parallels that of [15]. The only difference between the proof of Theorem of [15] and that of this Theorem is to use  $M_{i,L,L_f}^{-1}$  instead of  $M_{i,i+L}^{-1}$ . ■

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, an intervalwise receding horizon control (IRHC) is proposed for linear continuous and discrete time-varying systems each other. It is shown that the proposed IRHCs guarantee closed-loop stability under the proposed stabilizing conditions.

Our results enable us to take advantages of IRHC for linear time-varying systems. In the same way, an intervalwise receding horizon concept can be applied to various systems such as nonlinear, delay, and constrained system.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. D. Nicolao, "Cyclomonotonicity and stability properties of solutions of the difference periodic Riccati equation," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-37, pp. 1405-1410, 1992.
- [2] G. D. Nicolao, "Cyclomonotonicity, Riccati Equations and Periodic Receding Horizon Control," *Automatica.*, vol. 30, no.9, pp. 1375-1388, 1994.
- [3] G. D. Nicolao and S. Strada, "On the use of reachability gramians for the stabilization of linear periodic systems," *Automatica*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 729-732, 1997.
- [4] W. H. Kwon and A. E. Pearson, "A modified quadratic cost problem and feedback stabilization of a linear system," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-22, 1977.
- [5] W. H. Kwon and A. E. Pearson, "On feedback stabilization of time-varying discrete linear systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-23, no. 3, pp. 479-481, 1978.
- [6] W. H. Kwon and D. G. Byun, "Receding horizon tracking control as a predictive control and its stability properties," *Int.J.Control.*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1807-1824, 1989.
- [7] S. S. Keerthi and E. G. Gilbert, "Optimal infinite-horizon feedback laws for a general class of constrained discrete-time systems : stability and moving-horizon approximations," *J. of Optimization Theory and App.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 265-293, May, 1988.
- [8] D.Q. Mayne and H. Michalska, "Robust receding horizon control of constrained nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, vol. AC-38, pp. 1623-1633, 1993.
- [9] G. Tadmor, "Receding horizon revisited: An easy way to robustly stabilize an LTV system," *Systems & Control Letters* 18, pp.285-294, 1992.
- [10] E. S. Meadows, M. A. Henson, J. W. Eaton, and J. B. Rawlings, "Receding horizon control and discontinuous state feedback stabilization," *Int.J.Control.*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 1217-1229, 1995.
- [11] R. E. Kalman, "Contributions to the theory of optimal control," *Bull.Soc.Mul Mex.*, vol. 5, pp. 102-119, 1960.
- [12] R. S. Bucy, "The Riccati equation and its bounds," *J.Comput.Syst.Sci.*, vol. 6, pp. 343-353, 1972.
- [13] B. D. O. Anderson and J. B. Moore, "New results in linear system stability," *SIAM J. Contr.*, vol. 7, Aug. 1969.
- [14] T. Basar and P. Bernhard, " $H_\infty$  Optimal Control and Related Minimax Design Problems : A Dynamic Game Approach," Birkhauser Boston · Basel · Berlin, 1991.
- [15] J. W. Lee, W. H. Kwon, and J. H. Lee, "Receding horizon  $H_\infty$  tracking control for time-varying discrete linear systems", *to be published in Int. J. Control.*
- [16] K. B. Kim, J. W. Lee, Y. I. Lee, and W. H. Kwon, "Intervalwise receding horizon  $H_\infty$ -tracking control for discrete linear periodic systems," in *Proc. of the 35th CDC*, Kobe, Japan, vol. 2, pp. 1505-1510, Dec. 1996.
- [17] K. B. Kim and W. H. Kwon, "Intervalwise receding horizon  $H_\infty$  tracking control for continuous linear periodic systems," in *Proc. of Korean Electrical Engineering Conference*, Korea, pp. 1140-1142, Jul. 1996.