F823

Transcriptional Regulation of the Cell Proliferation-Related Genes by 20-OH Ecdysone and Ursolic acid in *Drosophila*

Thae Yeong Choi*, Eun Jeong Kwon, Sang Hoon Rhee, Mi Ae Yoo Department of Molecular Biology, Pusan National University

We previously found transcriptional inhibition proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and D-raf (Drosophila homolog of the human c-raf-1 gene) genes by ursolic acid in Drosophila Kc cells. In this study, we also confirmed the fact in vivo by using transgenic flies. To know the mechanism of repression of PCNA and D-raf promoter activities by ursolic acid, we examined the effect of 20-OH ecdysone similar to the structure of ursolic acid on expression of these genes. 20-OH Ecdysone also inhibit expression of the PCNA and D-raf genes. The inhibition effect of 20-OH ecdysone on expression of these genes was not shown at the tested concentration higher than $1 \mu M$ in both Drosophila Kc cells and transgenic flies, while ursolic acid showed the dose dependent inhibition effect.

F824

Tissue-Specific and Dietary Control of Alpha-Amylase Gene Expression in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Jong Kil Choo, Chi Hyun Ahn*
Department of Biology, College of Natural Science, Chungang University

Amylase variants of *Drosophila melanogaster* were screened for spatial variation in adult and larva midgut α -amylase(EC 3-2-1-1, 1,4- α -glucan glucanohydrolase; Amy). Enzyme activity was detected by DNSA method during several generations in each food component for dietary control. Every strain revealed a different activity in each restricted medium, indicating that each strains have different adaptation ability to carbon source. In this study, standard medium contained starch-like commeal gives less dietary stress to fly than two other carbon sources. The relationship of Amy genotype and midgut amylase-activity pattern(Map) analysis was shown expression of MapP indicated highly enzyme activity at posterior parts of region than that at anterior. In electrophoretic analysis, it revealed Amy^1 and $Amy^{1\cdot 3}$ was $MapA^{123}P^{00}$, $Amy^{4\cdot 6}$ was $MapA^{123}P^{12}$. This suggests that somehow Amy genes, or their products, are differentially recognized by products of the Map gene in addition to being differentially recognized in different parts of the midgut.