FAST ANDROID IMPLEMENTATION OF MONTE CARLO SIMULATION FOR PRICING EQUITY-LINKED SECURITIES
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ABSTRACT. In this article, we implement a recently developed fast Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) for pricing equity-linked securities (ELS), which is most commonly issued autocallable structured financial derivative in South Korea, on the mobile platform. The fast MCS is based on Brownian bridge technique. Although mobile platform devices are easy to carry around, mobile platform devices are slow in computation compared to desktop computers. Therefore, it is essential to use a fast algorithm for pricing ELS on the mobile platform. The computational results demonstrate the practicability of Android application implementation for pricing ELS.

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial technology (FinTech) has become a popular emerging technical term which indicates new technologies used by the financial service companies [1]. One of the important works in pricing process of financial derivatives is to find the fair value. Also, an accurate, fast, and efficient numerical method is our interest when pricing of financial derivatives such as ELS product. Representatively, accurate, fast, and efficient numerical methods for ELS or option pricing are operator splitting method [2, 3], multigrid method [4], finite difference method [10], Monte Carlo method [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], and Brownian bridge method [11]. Consequently, it is very important to develop mobile platform applications for fast financial option pricing. We consider a fast Android application for pricing three-asset equity-linked securities (ELS), which is one of most widely traded financial instruments in the Republic of Korea.
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As an example of ELS payoff structure, let $K_1 > K_2 > K_3$ and $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$ be repayment criteria percentages and coupon rates at $T_1 < T_2 < T_3$, respectively. Let $X(t)$ be the scaled underlying asset value at time $t$. If $X(T_1) \geq K_1$, then the contract is ended with $(1 + c_1)F$. 
Table 1. Comparison of the elapsed time (in seconds) for three-asset with strike prices $K_1 = 90$, $K_2 = 90$, $K_3 = 90$, $K_4 = 85$, $K_5 = 85$, $K_6 = 80$, knock-in barrier $D = 65$, volatilities $\sigma_1 = 0.25$, $\sigma_2 = 0.24$, $\sigma_3 = 0.23$, the correlation coefficient $\rho_{12} = 0.5$, $\rho_{13} = 0.5$, $\rho_{23} = 0.5$, the risk-free interest free $r = 0.02$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$10^4$</th>
<th>$5 \times 10^3$</th>
<th>$10^4$</th>
<th>$5 \times 10^3$</th>
<th>$10^4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBMCS</td>
<td>0.1498</td>
<td>0.7474</td>
<td>1.5275</td>
<td>7.4854</td>
<td>14.8720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMCS</td>
<td>1.7988</td>
<td>8.9995</td>
<td>17.8713</td>
<td>87.0325</td>
<td>177.2818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>12.0046</td>
<td>12.0403</td>
<td>11.6998</td>
<td>11.6269</td>
<td>11.9205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $F$ is the face value. Otherwise, if $X(T_2) \leq K_2$, then the contract is ended with $(1+c_2)F$. At $T_3$, if $X(T_3) \geq K_3$, then the contract is closed with $(1+c_3)F$; otherwise, we check whether $\min_{0 \leq t \leq T_3} X(t) \leq \text{kib}$, where kib is a knock-in barrier, then $X(T_3)F/100$ is the return. Otherwise, the return is $(1 + d)F$. For more details about the ELS payoff structure, we refer to [9]. The authors in [12, 14] constructed an application that calculates the price of an ELS in an Android-based smartphone and measured the elapsed time by the number of simulations using a Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS).

In this paper, we combine the two recent results to develop a fast Android application for pricing ELS: One is Android platform application [13] and the other is fast MCS for pricing three-asset equity-linked securities [9]. In [13], the authors developed an Android platform application for pricing ELS. However, computation is slow and it is difficult to be used in practice. In [9], the authors presented a fast MCS using MATLAB platform not Android application. For all computational simulation, ELS data set in derivatives of miraeassetdaewoo is used.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the fast MCS algorithm. In Section 3, we present the results of numerical experiments for a three-asset ELS.

2. Fast Monte Carlo simulation

Algorithm 1 shows the fast MCS algorithm which is based on the Brownian bridge technique for the three-asset ELS as shown in the reference [9]. This algorithm is short and concise by using the Monte Carlo method and Brownian bridge technique. Therefore, compared to the Standard MCS (SMCS) algorithm, the computational performance is about 12 times faster. The comparison results between elapsed times of SMCS and BBMCS show in Table 1.

3. Numerical results and conclusion

Now, we perform numerical tests such as the convergence test and the comparison test of the computation time between the SMCS and the fast MCS. All computations are run in emulator API level 28 in Android Studio with 8GB RAM. Figure 1 shows emulator configuration for Brownian bridge Monte Carlo simulation (BBMCS) of ELS pricing algorithm. Figure 2 shows pricing results in the emulator.
Algorithm 1 Fast MCS algorithm for three-asset ELS

Require: Set initial price $X(0) = X_0$, maturity $T$, the number of checking days $N_c$, the number of sample paths $N_m$, the number of total time steps $N_T$, time-step size $\Delta t = \frac{T}{N_T}$, face value $F$, volatilities $\sigma_n$ of underlying assets, risk-neutral interest rate $r$, early redemption dates $T_i$, coupon rates $c_i$ for early and final redemptions, strike percentages $K_i$, dummy $d$, and knock-in barrier $kib$. Set $M_i = 0$ and $X_n(0) = 100$ and worst performer $WP(t) = \min(X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t))$. Here, $1 \leq i \leq N_c$ and $T_0 = 0$.

for $k = 1$ to $N_m$ do
  \(\triangleright\) Generate stock path for $T_i$ only as
  for $i = 0$ to $N_c - 1$ do
    $X_n(T_{i+1}) = X_n(T_i) \exp((r - 0.5\sigma^2)(\Delta T_{i+1}) + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta T_{i+1}} Z_n^i), \quad Z_n^i \sim N(0,1)$
  end for
  \(\triangleright\) Check the value of the stock path at checking days
  if $WP(T_1) \geq K_1$ then $M_1 = M_1 + (1 + c_1)F$
  else if $WP(T_2) \geq K_2$ then $M_2 = M_2 + (1 + c_2)F$
  \(\vdots\)
  else if $WP(T_{N_c}) \geq K_{N_c}$ then $M_{N_c} = M_{N_c} + (1 + c_{N_c})F$
  else if $\min_{1 \leq i \leq N_c} WP(T_i)$ \leq kib then $M_{N_c} = M_{N_c} + \frac{X(T_{N_c} + 1)}{100}$
  else
    \(\triangleright\) Generate a daily stock path passing through $X$ as
    for $i = 0$ to $N_c - 1$ do
      Set $Y_n(T_i) = X_n(T_i)$
      for $j = \frac{T_i}{\Delta T} \rightarrow \frac{T_{i+1}}{\Delta T} - 1$ do
        $Y_n(t_{j+1}) = Y_n(t_j) \exp(w_n(t_j))$,
        where $w_n(t_j) = (r - 0.5\sigma^2)\Delta t + \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} Z_n^i, \quad Z_n^i \sim N(0,1)$, and $t_j = j\Delta t$
      end for
    end for
    \(\triangleright\) Apply the BB
    for $j = \frac{T_i}{\Delta T} \rightarrow \frac{T_{i+1}}{\Delta T} - 1$ do
      $Y_n(t_{j+1}) = Y_n(T_i) \exp(W_n(t_j)), \quad W_n(t_j) = \sum_{p=1}^{j} w(t_p)$
    end for
    for $j = \frac{T_i}{\Delta T} \rightarrow \frac{T_{i+1}}{\Delta T} - 1$ do
      $X_n(t_j) = X_n(T_i) \exp(B_n(t_j)), \quad B_n(t_j) = W_n(t_j) + \frac{t_j - T_i}{\Delta T} \log \frac{X_n(T_{i+1})}{Y_n(T_{i+1})}$
      $WP(t_j) = \min(X_1(t_j), X_2(t_j), X_3(t_j))$
    end for
    if $\min_{1 \leq j \leq N_c} WP(t_j)$ \leq kib then $M_{N_c} = M_{N_c} + FX_n(T_{N_c} + 1)/100$
    else
      $M_{N_c} = M_{N_c} + (1 + d)F$
    end if
  end if
end for
\(\triangleright\) Take average and discount to present value. $V^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} e^{-r T_i} M_i / N_m$
Table 1 shows comparison results of elapsed times. This demonstrates that the fast MCS is approximately 12 times faster than SMCS.
Figure 3 shows comparison of the elapsed time (in seconds) between SMCS and fast MCS in three-asset ELS pricing.

Finally, Fig. 4 shows ELS price versus the number of samples and we can confirm that the results converge.
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