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ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the initial value problem for neutral functional differential equations involving Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ with infinite delay. Some sufficient conditions for the uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions are established by virtue of fractional calculus and Banach fixed point theorem. Some results obtained showed that the solution was closely related to the conditions of delays and minor changes in the problem. An example is provided to illustrate the main results.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions for neutral functional differential equations with fractional order and infinite delay that described by

$$cD^\alpha [x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, b],$$

$$x_0 = \varphi \in \mathcal{B},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $cD^\alpha$ is the standard Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha$, $f, g : [0, b] \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functions satisfying some assumptions that will be specified in Section 3, and $\mathcal{B}$ the phase space of functions mapping $(-\infty, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}$, which will be specified in Section 2.

Recently, the fractional differential equations became an important branch in mathematics and its applications such as mechanics, physics, chemistry, engineering. So a lot of authors and researchers have contributed in preparing books and papers about this field, for more details,
see the monographs [1, 15, 17, 19, 21], and the papers [7, 8, 11, 16, 20], and the references therein. The fractional delay of neutral functional differential equations appear frequently in applications as the model of equations, and for this reason, these equations have been extensively studied. Especially, the results dealing with infinite delay has received great attention in the last few years, see for instance. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 18, 22, 23, 25]. For example in [5], the authors used the Leray-Schauder type nonlinear alternative and contraction mapping principle to investigate the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following problem

\[ D^\alpha[x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, b], \]

\[ x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in (-\infty, 0], \]

where \( 0 < \alpha < 1, D^\alpha \) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, \( \varphi \in \mathcal{B}, \varphi(0) = 0, \mathcal{B} \) is a phase space and \( f, g : [0, b] \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{R} \) are suitable functions satisfying some assumptions with \( g(0, \varphi) = 0 \). In [4], the following problem was considered

\[ D^\alpha[x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \]

\[ x_{t_0} = \varphi \in \mathcal{C}, \quad (1.4) \]

where \( 0 < \alpha < 1, D^\alpha \) is the Caputo fractional operator, \( f, g : [t_0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}^n \) are appropriate functions satisfying some hypotheses, and \( \mathcal{C} \) is called a space of continuous functions on \([-\tau, 0]\). The authors employed the Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem to study the existence result of the problem (1.3)-(1.4).

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss the uniqueness of solutions and effect of the perturbed data on the solutions by means of the Banach fixed point theorem.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows, In Section 2, we present some preliminary facts and make a list of the hypotheses that will be used throughout this paper. Section 3 is devoted to investigating the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.2). In Section 4, we introduce the continuous dependence of solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the space \( \mathcal{C}([a, b]) \). Finally, an example to illustrate our results is given in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some required notations, definitions and some hypotheses which are used throughout this paper. Let us denote by \( \mathcal{C}([0, b], \mathbb{R}) \) the Banach spaces of continuous real functions \( h : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \), with the norm \( \|h\|_\infty = \sup\{\|h(t)\| : t \in [0, b]\} \), \( \mathcal{C}^1[0, b] \) the space of all continuously differentiable real functions defined on \([0, b]\), and by \( L^1[0, b] \) the space of all real functions \( h(t) \) such that \( |h(t)| \) is Lebesgue integrable on \([0, b]\). For any continuous function \( x : (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) and for any \( t \in [0, b] \), we denote by \( x_t \) the element of \( \mathcal{B} \) defined by \( x_t(s) = x(t + s) \), for \( -\infty < s \leq 0 \), we also consider the following space

\[ \Upsilon = \{ x : (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R}; \ x|_{(-\infty,0)} \in \mathcal{B}, \ x|_{[0,b]} \in \mathcal{C}([0, b], \mathbb{R}) \}, \]

where \( x|_{[0,b]} \) is the restriction of \( x \) to \([0, b]\).
Definition 2.1. ([15]). Let \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( h \in C([0,b]) \). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order \( \alpha \) for a function \( h \) is determined as

\[
I_0^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}} ds, \quad t \in [0,b],
\]

where \( \Gamma(.) \) is the gamma function. Moreover, \( I_0^\alpha I_0^\alpha h(t) = I_0^{\alpha+\gamma} h(t) \), for \( \delta, \gamma \geq 0 \).

Definition 2.2. ([9]) Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( h \in C([0,b], \mathbb{R}) \). The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \) for a function \( h \) is defined by

\[
D_0^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{h(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \quad t \in [0,b].
\]

Further, if \( h \in L^1[0,b] \). Then \( D_0^\alpha I_0^\alpha h(t) = h(t) \), for \( t \in [0,b] \).

Definition 2.3. ([24]). Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) and \( h \in C^1([0,b], \mathbb{R}) \). The Caputo fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \) for a function \( h \) is described as

\[
{}^C D_0^\alpha h(t) = D_0^\alpha (h(t) - h(0)).
\]

Moreover, if \( {}^C D_0^\alpha h(t) \in L^1([0,b]) \), then

\[
I_0^\alpha {}^C D_0^\alpha h(t) = h(t) - h(0).
\]

Also, one has

\[
{}^C D_0^\alpha h(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{h'(s)}{(t-s)^\alpha} ds, \quad t \in [0,b].
\]

Obviously, the Caputo derivative of a constant is equal to zero.

Definition 2.4. A function \( x \in \mathcal{Y} \) is said to be a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) if \( x \) satisfies the equation

\[
{}^C D_0^\alpha [x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0,b],
\]

with initial condition \( x_0 = \varphi \) and \( [x(t) - g(t, x_t)] \) is absolutely continuous on \([0,b]\).

Lemma 2.5. ([24]) (Banach fixed point theorem). Let \( K \) be a non-empty closed subset of a Banach space \( X \), then each contraction mapping \( T : K \to K \) has a unique fixed point.

In this paper, we employ an axiomatic definition for the phase space \((B, \| \cdot \|_B)\) that is a seminormed linear space of functions mapping \((\alpha, 0)\) into \( \mathbb{R} \) and satisfying the following fundamental axioms which is similar to that introduced by Hale and Kato in [12] and exceedingly discussed in [14]:

(H1): If \( x : (-\infty, b) \to \mathbb{R} \), such that \( x \) is a continuous on \([0,b]\) and \( x_0 \in B \), then for every \( t \in [0,b] \) the following conditions are satisfied:

(i): \( x_t \in B \);

(ii): \( |x(t)| \leq H \| x_t \|_B \) for some \( H > 0 \);

(iii): \( \| x_t \|_B \leq K(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)| + M(t) \| x_0 \|_B \), where \( M, K : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \) with \( M \) locally bounded and \( K \) continuous, such that \( M, K \) are independent of \( x(t) \).

(H2): For the function \( x(.) \) in (H1), the function \( t \to x_t \) is continuous from \([0,b]\) into \( B \).
Remark 2.6. Note that, the condition (ii) in (H1) is equivalent to $|\varphi(0)| \leq H \|\varphi\|_B$ for every $\varphi \in B$.

3. Uniqueness Results

In this section, we prove the uniqueness results for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) by means of the Banach fixed point theorem. Before starting and proving this one, we will display the following lemma

Lemma 3.1. A function $x \in \Upsilon$ is a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if $x$ satisfies

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) + g(t, x_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x_s)ds, & t \in [0, b], \\ \varphi(t), & t \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases}$$

(3.1)

provided that the integral in (3.1) exists.

Proof. The proof is very simple and we can get it according to Definitions 2.1 and 2.3. □

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $f, g : [0, b] \times B \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. If the following conditions are satisfied

(A1): There exist two functions $\delta_f(t) \in L^1([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $\delta_g(t) \in C([0, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that $|f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq \delta_f(t) \|u - v\|_B$, and $|g(t, u) - g(t, v)| \leq \delta_g(t) \|u - v\|_B$,

for any $t \in [0, b]$ and for each $u, v \in B$;

(A2): $\left(\|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right) K_b < 1$, where $K_b = \sup_{t \in [0, b]} |K(t)|$ and $\eta = \int_0^t \delta_f(s)ds$.

Then there exists a unique solution to (1.1)-(1.2) on $(-\infty, b]$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.1, the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) + g(t, x_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x_s)ds, \quad t \in [0, b],$$

(3.2)

with $x_0 = \varphi$. Now, we must transform the problem (1.1)-(1.2) to be applicable to fixed point problem. Let the operator $\Pi : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ defined by

$$(\Pi x)(t) = \varphi(0) - g(0, \varphi) + g(t, x_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x_s)ds, \quad t \in [0, b],$$

and $(\Pi x)(t) = \varphi(t)$, for $t \in (-\infty, 0]$.

For any continuous function $\varphi \in B$, let $\tilde{\varphi} : (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be the extension of $\varphi$ such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(0), & t \in [0, b], \\ \varphi(t), & t \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases}$$
So, we get \( \tilde{\varphi}_0 = \varphi \). For every function \( z \in C([0, b], \mathbb{R}) \) with \( z(0) = 0 \), let \( \tilde{z} : (-\infty, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be the extension of \( z \) to \( (-\infty, b] \) such that

\[
\tilde{z}(t) = \begin{cases} 
z(t), & t \in [0, b], \\
0, & t \in (-\infty, 0].
\end{cases}
\]

If \( x(.) \) satisfies the integral equation (3.2) then, we can analyze \( x(.) \) as follows \( x(t) = \tilde{\varphi}(t) + \tilde{z}(t), \ t \in (-\infty, b] \), which allude to \( x_t = \tilde{\varphi}_t + \tilde{z}_t \), for each \( t \in [0, b] \) and the function \( z(.) \) satisfies

\[
z(t) = -g(0, \varphi) + g(t, \tilde{\varphi}_t + \tilde{z}_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \tilde{\varphi}_s + \tilde{z}_s) ds, \ t \in [0, b], \tag{3.3}
\]

with \( \tilde{z}_0 = 0 \). Setting \( \Upsilon_0 = \{z \in \Upsilon, \text{ such that } z_0 = 0 \} \). For any \( z \in \Upsilon_0 \) and let \( \|z\|_{\Upsilon_0} \) be semi-norm in \( \Upsilon_0 \) determined by

\[
\|z\|_{\Upsilon_0} = \|z_0\|_B + \|z\|_C = \sup_{t \in [0, b]} |z(t)|.
\]

Then \( (\Upsilon_0, \|z\|_{\Upsilon_0}) \) is a Banach space. Consider the operator \( \Pi_0 : \Upsilon_0 \to \Upsilon_0 \) be defined by

\[
(\Pi_0z)(t) = -g(0, \varphi) + g(t, \tilde{\varphi}_t + \tilde{z}_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \tilde{\varphi}_s + \tilde{z}_s) ds, \ t \in [0, b], \tag{3.4}
\]

and \( (\Pi_0z)(t) = 0, \ t \in (-\infty, 0] \). Hence, we get \( (\Pi_0z)_0 = 0 \).

Certainly, \( \Pi \) has a fixed point equivalent to \( \Pi_0 \) that has a fixed point too. So, we go ahead to show that \( \Pi_0 \) has a fixed point in \( \Upsilon_0 \) by the Banach fixed point theorem. In order that, we need to prove that the operator \( \Pi_0 : \Upsilon_0 \to \Upsilon_0 \) is a contraction map. In fact, consider \( z_1, z_2 \in \Upsilon_0 \) and \( t \in [0, b] \), then by (3.4), Definition 2.1 and (A1), we have

\[
|(\Pi_0z_1)(t) - (\Pi_0z_2)(t)| \leq |g(t, \tilde{\varphi}_t + \tilde{z}_1t) - g(t, \tilde{\varphi}_t + \tilde{z}_2t)|
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, \tilde{\varphi}_s + \tilde{z}_1s) - f(s, \tilde{\varphi}_s + \tilde{z}_2s)| ds
\]

\[
\leq \|g\|_\infty \|z_1t - z_2t\|_B + \|z_1t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_B \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \delta_f(s) ds
\]

\[
= \left( \|g\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 2)} \right) \|z_1t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_B
\]

\[
= \left( \|g\|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \eta} \right) \|z_1t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_B.
\]

Since

\[
\|z_1t - \tilde{\varphi}_t\|_B \leq K(t) \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} |z_1(\tau) - \tilde{\varphi}(\tau)| + M(t) \|z_1_0 - \tilde{\varphi}_0\|_B
\]

\[
\leq K_b \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} |z_1(\tau) - z_2(\tau)|
\]
\[ = K_b \| z_1 - z_2 \|_{Y_0}, \] (3.5)

we get

\[ |(\Pi_0 z_1)(t) - (\Pi_0 z_2)(t)| \leq \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \| z_1 - z_2 \|_{Y_0}. \]

Consequently,

\[ \|\Pi_0 z_1 - \Pi_0 z_2\|_{Y_0} \leq \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \| z_1 - z_2 \|_{Y_0}. \]

From the condition (A2), we infer that

\[ \|\Pi_0 z_1 - \Pi_0 z_2\|_{Y_0} \leq \| z_1 - z_2 \|_{Y_0}. \]

This implies that \( \Pi_0 \) is a contraction map. So applying the Lemma 2.5, we can conclude that \( \Pi_0 \) has a fixed point \( z \) in \( Y_0 \) which is the unique solution to the equation (3.3) on \( [0, b] \), what means that the operator \( \Pi \) has a fixed point \( x = \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{z} \) in \( \mathcal{T} \) that is the unique solution to the fractional differential equation (1.1)-(1.2) on \( (-\infty, b] \). The proof is completed.

**Remark 3.3.** Note that, in Theorem 3.2, if the functions \( \delta_g(t) \) and \( \delta_f(t) \) are replaced by two positive constants \( L_g \) and \( L_f \) respectively, the second result follows. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume that \( f, g : [0, b] \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions and if

1. (B1): There exist two constants \( L_f, L_g > 0 \) such that
   \[ |f(t, u) - f(t, v)| \leq L_f \| u - v \|_B, \quad \text{and} \quad |g(t, u) - g(t, v)| \leq L_f \| u - v \|_B, \]
   for any \( t \in [0, b] \) and for each \( u, v \in B \);

2. (B2): \[ \left[ L_g + \frac{b^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} L_f \right] K_b < 1. \]

Then there exists a unique solution to (1.1)-(1.2) on \( (-\infty, b] \).

**Proof.** see ([2]). \( \square \)

## 4. Continuous Dependence

In this section, we discuss the continuity dependence with respect to parameters \( \varphi, \alpha \).

**Definition 4.1.** ([9]). The solution \( x \in C([0, b]) \) of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is continuously dependence on initial data if for every \( \varphi, \psi \in B \),

\[ \| x_\varphi(\cdot) - x_\psi(\cdot) \|_{C} \leq O(\| \varphi - \psi \|_B), \]

where \( x_\varphi(\cdot) \) is solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and \( x_\psi(\cdot) \) is solution of the following problem

\[ ^cD_0^\alpha [x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \quad t \in [0, b], \]
\[ x_0 = \psi \in \mathcal{B}. \] (4.2)

Firstly, in the following theorem, we investigate the continuous dependence of the solution for the problem (1.1)-(1.2) on the initial value \( \varphi \).
**Theorem 4.2.** Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Let \( x_\varphi \) and \( x_\psi \) are solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and (4.1)-(4.2) for \( \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B} \), respectively. Then there exists a constant \( \Lambda \) such that

\[
\| x_\varphi(\cdot) - x_\psi(\cdot) \|_C \leq \Lambda \| \varphi - \psi \|_B, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}.
\]

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 3.2, we know that for every \( \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B} \), the equation (1.1) has solutions \( x_\varphi \) and \( x_\psi \) on \((-\infty, b] \), respectively. Further, there are \( z_1, z_2 \in C([0, b]) \) such that for all \( t \in [0, b] \), \( x_\varphi(t) = \varphi(0) + z_1(t) \) and \( x_\psi(t) = \psi(0) + z_2(t) \) together with

\[
z_1(t) = -g(0, \varphi) + g(t, \bar{\varphi}_t + (\bar{z}_1)_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} f(s, \bar{\varphi}_s + (\bar{z}_1)_s)ds,
\]

\[
z_2(t) = -g(0, \psi) + g(t, \bar{\psi}_t + (\bar{z}_2)_t) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} f(s, \bar{\psi}_s + (\bar{z}_2)_s)ds.
\]

Therefore, by hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, for \( t \in [0, b] \), we have

\[
|x_\varphi(t) - x_\psi(t)| \leq |\varphi(0) - \psi(0)| + |z_1(t) - z_2(t)|
\]

\[
\leq |\varphi(0) - \psi(0)| + |g(t, \bar{\varphi}_t + (\bar{z}_1)_t) - g(t, \bar{\psi}_t + (\bar{z}_2)_t)|
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} |f(s, \bar{\varphi}_s + (\bar{z}_1)_s) - f(s, \bar{\psi}_s + (\bar{z}_2)_s)|ds
\]

\[
\leq H \| \varphi - \psi \|_B + \delta_\varphi(0) \| \varphi - \psi \|_B
\]

\[
+ \delta_{\bar{\varphi}}(t) \left[ \| (\bar{\varphi}_t - \bar{\psi}_t) \|_B + \| (\bar{z}_1)_t - (\bar{z}_2)_t \|_B \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 1} \delta_f(s) \left[ \| (\bar{\varphi}_s - \bar{\psi}_s) \|_B + \| (\bar{z}_1)_s - (\bar{z}_2)_s \|_B \right]ds
\]

\[
\leq H \| \varphi - \psi \|_B + \| \delta_\varphi \|_\infty \| \varphi - \psi \|_B
\]

\[
+ \| \delta_{\bar{\varphi}} \|_\infty \left[ \| (\bar{\varphi}_t - \bar{\psi}_t) \|_B + \| (\bar{z}_1)_t - (\bar{z}_2)_t \|_B \right]
\]

\[
+ \eta \left[ \| (\bar{\varphi}_t - \bar{\psi}_t) \|_B + \| (\bar{z}_1)_t - (\bar{z}_2)_t \|_B \right] \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha - 2)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{\alpha - 2}ds.
\]

In a similar way to (3.5), we can deduce that

\[
\|(\bar{z}_1)_t - (\bar{z}_2)_t\|_B \leq K_b \| z_1 - z_2 \|_C.
\]

(4.3)

Also, we have

\[
\left\| \bar{\varphi}_t - \bar{\psi}_t \right\|_B \leq K(t) \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\| \bar{\varphi}(\tau) - \bar{\psi}(\tau) \right\| + M(t) \left\| \bar{\varphi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_0 \right\|_B
\]

\[
\leq K_b | \varphi(0) - \psi(0) | + M_b \| \varphi - \psi \|_B
\]

\[
\leq (K_b H + M_b) \| \varphi - \psi \|_B,
\]
where \( M_b = \sup \{ M(t) : t \in [0, b] \} \). From preceding processes, we can conclude that
\[
|x_\varphi(t) - x_\psi(t)| \leq \left( H + \| \delta_g \|_\infty \| \varphi - \psi \|_B + \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] (K_b H + M_b) \| \varphi - \psi \|_B \right.
\[
+ \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \| z_1 - z_2 \|_C.
\]
\[
\leq \left( H + \| \delta_g \|_\infty \| \varphi - \psi \|_B + \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] (K_b H + M_b) \| \varphi - \psi \|_B \right.
\[
+ \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \| x_\varphi(.) - x_\psi(.) \|_C + H \| \varphi - \psi \|_B \right).
\]

Accordingly, and by (A2), we obtain
\[
\| x_\varphi(.) - x_\psi(.) \|_C \leq \left( H(1 + K_b) + \| \delta_g \|_\infty \| \varphi - \psi \|_B \right.
\[
+ \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] (K_b H + M_b) \| \varphi - \psi \|_B
\[
+ \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \| x_\varphi(.) - x_\psi(.) \|_C.
\]
\[
\leq \frac{\theta}{1 - \Theta} \| \varphi - \psi \|_B,
\]
where \( \theta = \left[ H(1 + K_b) + \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{\Theta}{K_b} (K_b H + M_b) \right] \) and \( \Theta = \left[ \| \delta_g \|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] K_b \).

Take \( \Lambda = \frac{\theta}{1 - \Theta} \), we get
\[
\| x_\varphi(.) - x_\psi(.) \|_C \leq \Lambda \| \varphi - \psi \|_B.
\]

This proves the desired. \( \square \)

Next, we discuss the consequences of modification of the order to the fractional differential equation.

**Definition 4.3.** The solution \( x \in C([0, b]) \) of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is continuously dependence on order \( \alpha \) to fractional differential equations if \( 0 < \alpha < \tilde{\alpha} < 1 \),
\[
\| x_\alpha(.) - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.) \|_C \leq O |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha|,
\]
where \( x_\alpha(.) \) is solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) and \( x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.) \) is solution of the following problem
\[
^{\alpha}D_0^\alpha [x(t) - g(t, x_t)] = f(t, x_t), \ t \in [0, b],
\]
\[
x_0 = \varphi \in B.
\]

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Then there exists a constant \( \lambda \) such that
\[
\| x_\alpha(.) - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.) \|_C \leq \lambda |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha|, \ 0 < \alpha < \tilde{\alpha} < 1.
\]
Proof. The uniqueness of the solution can be concluded as above. Let \( z_1, z_2 \in C([0, b]) \) be such that \( x_\alpha(t) = \varphi(0) + z_1(t) \) and \( x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(t) = \varphi(0) + z_2(t) \), for all \( t \in [0, b] \). Then for \( t \in [0, b] \), \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) satisfy

\[
z_1(t) = -g(t, \varphi) + g(t, \tilde{z}_1) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, \tilde{z}_1) ds,
\]

\[
z_2(t) = -g(t, \varphi) + g(t, \tilde{z}_2) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1} f(s, \tilde{z}_2) ds.
\]

Consequently, by hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and (4.3), we have

\[
|z_1(t) - z_2(t)| = \left| |g(t, \tilde{z}_1) - g(t, \tilde{z}_2)| + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |f(s, \tilde{z}_1) - f(s, \tilde{z}_2)| ds \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| |g(t, \tilde{z}_1) - g(t, \tilde{z}_2)| + \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |f(s, \tilde{z}_1) - f(s, \tilde{z}_2)| ds \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left[ ||\delta_f||_{\infty} + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right] ||\tilde{z}_1 - \tilde{z}_2||_B
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| |f(s, \tilde{z}_1) - f(s, \tilde{z}_2)| ds
\]

\[
\leq \left[ ||\delta_f||_{\infty} + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right] K_b ||z_1 - z_2||_C
\]

\[
+ \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,b] \times \mathcal{B}} |f(t, u)| \int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| ds.
\]

Now, we estimate the integral in the right-hand side of the inequalities above

\[
\int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| ds
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| ds + \int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| ds
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left| \tau^{\alpha-1} - \tau^{\tilde{\alpha}-1} \right| d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \int_0^t \tau^{\tilde{\alpha}-1} d\tau
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[ \frac{b^\alpha}{\alpha} - \frac{b^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right] + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) - \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \frac{b^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{\alpha}}.
\]

Since \( \alpha < \tilde{\alpha} \) and by Mean Value Theorem of classical differential calculus, we get

\[
\int_0^t \left| \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \frac{(t-s)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}}{\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| ds \leq \left| \frac{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right| \left| \frac{b^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right| + \left| \frac{\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha}{\alpha} \right| \left| \frac{b^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right|
\]

\[
\leq \left| \frac{\alpha - \tilde{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right| |b^{\tilde{\alpha}}| + \left| \frac{\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha}{\alpha} \right| |b^\alpha| \left| \frac{\Gamma(\alpha) - \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha})} \right| \frac{b^{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\tilde{\alpha}}.
\]
\[ \alpha = \frac{b \left( 1 + \left| \Gamma'(\tilde{\alpha} - \theta(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)) \right| \right)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\alpha} \]

for some \( \theta \) such that \( 0 < \alpha < \theta < \tilde{\alpha} < 1 \) and \( \Gamma = \frac{b(1 + \left| \Gamma'(\tilde{\alpha} - \theta(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha)) \right|)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\alpha} \).

In the end, by (A2), we arrive at the following

\[
\|x_\alpha(.) - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.)\|_C \\
\leq \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^\alpha - 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] \|K_b\|_{\infty} \|z_1 - z_2\|_C + |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha| R \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,b] \times B} |f(t,u)| \\
\leq \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^\alpha - 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] \|x_\alpha(.) - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.)\|_C + |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha| R \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,b] \times B} |f(t,u)| \\
\leq |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha| \frac{R}{1 - \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^\alpha - 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] \|K_b\|_{\infty} \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,b] \times B} |f(t,u)|} .
\]

Take \( \lambda \) so that, \( \lambda = \frac{R}{1 - \left[ \|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^\alpha - 1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta \right] \|K_b\|_{\infty} \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,b] \times B} |f(t,u)|} \). Then, we get

\[
\|x_\alpha(.) - x_{\tilde{\alpha}}(.)\|_C \leq \lambda |\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha| .
\]

This proves the required. \( \square \)

5. AN EXAMPLE

Firstly, Let \( \rho \) be a positive real constant and we define the functional space \( \mathbb{B}_\rho \) by \( \mathbb{B}_\rho = \{ x \in C((-\infty, 0], \mathbb{R}) : \lim_{s \to \infty} e^{\rho s} x(s) \text{ exist in } \mathbb{R} \} \),

endowed with the following norm \( \| x \|_\rho = \sup \{ e^{\rho s} |x(s)| : -\infty < s \leq 0 \} \).

Then \( \mathbb{B}_\rho \) satisfies axioms (H1), (H2) and (H3) with \( K(t) = M(t) = 1 \) and \( H = 1 \). (see [5]).

Next, we consider the fractional neutral functional differential equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} x(t) - e^{-\rho t} \frac{\|x_t\|}{\left( 2 + e^{-2t} \right) \left( 1 + \|x_t\| \right)} = e^{-\rho t} \frac{\|x_t\|}{\left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + \|x_t\| \right)}, \quad t \in [0, b], \tag{5.1}
\]

with initial condition

\[
x_0 = \varphi, \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0], \tag{5.2}
\]

where \( \varphi \in \mathbb{B}_\rho \).

Finally, we applying Theorem 3.2. Consider \( f(t,u) = e^{-\rho t} \frac{u}{1 + u} \) and \( g(t,u) = e^{-\rho t} \frac{u}{2 + e^{-2t}} \) for \( (t,u) \in [0,b] \times \mathbb{B}_\rho \). Let \( x, y \in \mathbb{B}_\rho \), and \( t \in [0, b] \). Then

\[
|f(t,x) - f(t,y)| = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\left( 1 + t \right) \left( 1 + x \right)} \left| \frac{x}{1 + x} - \frac{y}{1 + y} \right|. 
\]
\[
\frac{e^{-\rho t}}{(1+t)} \left| \frac{x-y}{(1+x) (1+y)} \right| \\
\leq \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{(1+t)} |x-y| \\
\leq \frac{1}{(1+t)} \|x-y\|_\rho
\]

and
\[
|g(t,x) - g(t,y)| = \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{(2 + e^{-2t})} \left| \frac{x}{1+x} - \frac{y}{1+y} \right| \\
= \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{(2 + e^{-2t})} \left| \frac{x-y}{(1+x) (1+y)} \right| \\
\leq \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{(2 + e^{-2t})} |x-y| \\
\leq \frac{1}{(2 + e^{-2t})} \|x-y\|_\rho.
\]

Hence, the condition (A1) holds with \( \delta_f(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)} \in L^1([0,b], \mathbb{R}) \) and \( \delta_g(t) = \frac{1}{(2 + e^{-2t})} \in C([0,b], \mathbb{R}) \). It can be checked that condition (A2) is satisfied with \( K_b = 1, \alpha = 1/4 \) and \( b = 1 \). Indeed, since \( \|\delta_g\|_\infty = \sup \{|\delta_g(t)| : t \in [0,1]\} = \sup \{1/(2 + e^{-2t}) : t \in [0,1]\} = \frac{1}{2} \), 
\[
\eta = \int_0^1 \delta_f(s)ds = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})} \ln 2 < \frac{1}{2}.
\]
Then, \( K_b \left[\|\delta_g\|_\infty + \frac{b^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \eta\right] < 1. \)

According to Theorem 3.2, then problem (5.1)-(5.2) has a unique solution on \((-\infty, 1]\).

6. Conclusions

This paper has investigated the uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions for the fractional neutral functional differential equation (1.1)-(1.2) with infinite delay which includes the Caputo fractional derivative. Firstly, we introduced some properties of fractional calculus with mention useful definitions and lemmas related to the fixed point theory, phase space. Further, we offered the list of appropriate conditions for \( f \) and \( g \). Secondly, the uniqueness results are investigated by utilizing the Banach fixed point theorem. Moreover, the continuous dependence of solutions to the problem (1.1)-(1.2) is discussed in the space \( C[0,b] \). Finally, an example is provided to show the effectiveness of the proposed results.
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