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Abstract – In this paper the integration of Distributed Generation (DG) in radial distribution system is investigated by computing the optimal site and size of DG to be placed. An analytical expression based on equivalent current injection has been derived by utilizing topological structure of radial distribution system to find optimal size of DG to minimize losses. In the presented formulation, the optimal DG placement is obtained without repeatedly computing the load flow. The proposed formulation can be used to find the optimal size of all types of DGs namely Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV DGs. The investigations are carried out on IEEE 33-bus and 69-bus radial distribution systems. The optimal DG placement results into reduction in active and reactive power losses and improvement in voltage profile of the buses.
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1. Introduction

In conventional radial distribution system, the generation is central where power is transferred from generating station to load centers. These systems are characterized by high losses and high R/X ratio. The voltages of buses also decrease when moved away from generating station. Further, the load demand is also increasing day by day and the system should be able to supply the increased load demand.

Nowadays, there is motivation to harness renewable resources and add even small capacity generation. These small capacity generators are regarded as Distributed generation (DG) or Dispersed generation. The usage of DGs is directed to reduce power losses and meet the load demand.

The loss reduction at distribution level is interesting challenge to researches. The capacitor placement and reconfiguration are also used to reduce distribution losses. The integration of DG benefits the distribution system by reducing the losses, improving bus voltages and improving the reliability of the distribution system. The usage of DGs will be advantageous only if the capacity and locations are selected optimally. The DGs can be based on fuel cells, photovoltaic systems, wind turbines, mini/micro hydro turbines, gas turbines and micro turbines. Akorede et al. [1] and Jiayi et al. [2] reviewed distribution energy resources and distributed generation technologies. The DGs can be categorized in four Types [3] on the basis of their terminal characteristics as:

1. Type-I DGs are based on fuel cells, photovoltaic systems and supplies active power only.
2. Type-II DGs can be synchronous compensator, capacitors etc. and supplies reactive power only.
3. Type-III DGs supply both active and reactive power and are based on synchronous machines.
4. Type-IV DGs supply real power but absorb reactive power such as induction generators driven by wind turbines.

Various techniques are reported in literature for solving problem of optimal allocation of Distributed Generators. The different objective functions are dealt for such optimization but loss minimization is most widely opted objective. El-Khattam et al. [4] proposed heuristic approach for planning of DG capacity to maximize benefits to distribution companies. Carpinelli et al. [5] developed an ε-constrained technique to suggest allocation of dispersed generation in presence of uncertainties to minimize cost of energy losses, voltage profile and total harmonic distortion. An algorithm using combinational GA and ε-constrained technique is proposed by Celli et al. [6] to determine location and size of distributed generators by minimizing different functions related to cost of energy losses, cost of service interruptions, cost of network upgrading and cost of energy purchased. Tabu search based algorithm is used by Golshan and Areffifar [7] to find optimal size and site of DG sources and reactive power sources by minimizing cost of power and energy losses. Combination of genetic algorithm and optimal power flow is employed by Harrison et al. [8] to optimally site and size predefined number of DGs. Moradi and Abedini [9] presented the heuristic by combining features of genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization.
(PSO) to optimally site and size DG for minimization of losses and better voltage regulation. Optimal size and site of DG to minimize power losses is found by Dasan and Devi [10] using fuzzy adaptation of evolutionary algorithm. Genetic Algorithm is used by Shukla et al. [11] for appropriate allocation and sizing of DG in distribution system by minimizing losses. A new population based methodology is proposed by Singh and Goswami [12] for optimal placement of DG to maximize profit and reduction in losses. Optimal sizing and siting of DG is done by Ant bee colony Algorithm by Abu-mouti and El-Hawary [13] to reduce the system losses. Khalesi et al. [14] used dynamic programming for loss reduction and enhancement of reliability of system by optimal siting and sizing DG. Acharya et al. [15] used analytical expressions based on exact loss formula to find optimal location and size of DG. Optimal size, site and power factor of Type-III DG is obtained by Hung et al. [3] by developing analytical expressions based on exact loss formula to minimize losses. Gözel and Hocaoğlu [16] employed equivalent current injection based sensitivity factor to optimally site and size in distribution system to minimize power losses. Reduction in losses and enhancement in loadability is done by Hung and Mithulananthan [17] by placing DG of optimal size and power factor at optimal site by using analytical approach. Sequential quadratic programming is applied by Darfoun and El-Hawary [18] to find optimal size and place of DG to minimize losses and installation cost of DG. Kayal and Chanda obtained [19] optimal size and site of solar and wind based DGs by employing PSO to minimize losses in distribution system and to improve voltage stability. Shuffled frog leaping algorithm is used by Yammani et al. [20] to optimally site and size DG in distribution system for minimizing real power losses and cost of DG. Kansal et al. [21] obtained the optimal site and size of three types of DG i.e. Type-I, Type-II and Type-III DG for loss minimization by using PSO. In [3] and [15] optimal placement of DG is done by analytical expressions which requires formulation of bus impedance matrix. Due to characteristics and complexity and size of distribution system this method is not applicable to distribution systems directly [16].

From the literature review, it is observed that most of the research works on optimal placement of DG accounts only the Type-I DG. In this proposed work the placement of all four types of DG are considered. The optimal placement is attempted by deriving analytical expressions based on equivalent current injection for loss minimization. The presented formulation utilizes the topological characteristics of the radial distribution system and does not require to repeatedly calculate load flow. The analytical expression is derived for the placement of Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV DGs. Optimal placement problem is solved for IEEE-33 bus and 69-bus radial distribution system.

2. Optimal Allocation of DGs

The presented analytical approach for optimal allocation of DG is based on loss minimization. This analytical formulation is based on equivalent current injection method that exploits the topological structure of radial distribution system. The formulation utilizes Bus injection to branch current (BIBC) and Branch current to Bus voltage (BCBV) matrices whose formulation can be found in [22].

2.1 The objective

The optimum size and site of DGs are determined for minimizing total power loss $P_{loss}$. The total power loss is formulated as a function of the power injections based on the equivalent current injection [16]. The power loss $P_{loss}$, which is the objective to be minimized, is expressed as –

$$P_{loss} = \left( RB I B C \right)^{T} \left( \begin{array}{c} P_j \\ Q_j \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left( RB I B C \right)^{T} \left( \begin{array}{c} P_j \\ Q_j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} P_j \\ Q_j \end{array} \right) = \sum_{ij}^{nb} \sum_{j}^{n} \left( \frac{P_j \cos(\theta_j) + Q_j \sin(\theta_j)}{|V_j|} \right)^2$$

The Eq. (1) can be re-written into expanded form as –

$$\frac{\partial P_{loss}}{\partial P_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2R_i \sum_{j=2}^{n} BIBC_{i,j} \left( \frac{P_j \cos(\theta_j) + Q_j \sin(\theta_j)}{|V_j|} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2R_i \sum_{j=2}^{n} BIBC_{i,j} \left( \frac{P_j \cos(\theta_j) - Q_j \sin(\theta_j)}{|V_j|} \right)^2$$

where,

- $n$ is total number of buses;
- $nb$ is total number of branches in system;
- $BIBC$ is bus-injection to bus-current matrix;
- $P_i$ is active power injection at $i^{th}$ bus and
- $Q_j$ is reactive power injection $j^{th}$ bus.

2.2 Sizing of DG

The optimal size of all four types of DG at each bus is obtained by expressing the reactive power output of DG, $Q_{DG}$, in terms of its active power output $P_{DG}$ as -

$$Q_{DG} = aP_{DG}$$

where, $a = (\text{sign}) \tan(\cos^{-1}(PF_{DG}))$

The $\text{sign}$ value is taken as $+1$ for Type-III DG and $-1$ for Type-IV DG. $PF_{DG}$ is the power factor of DG.
The optimal power factor of DG for minimum loss is equal to power factor of load [3]. It is expressed as:

\[
PF_{DG} = PF_D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{Di} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} (P_{Dj})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{Dj})^2 \right)^{-1/2}
\]

where \( P_{Di} \) is active power load demand and \( Q_{Dj} \) reactive power load demand at \( \phi \)th bus. Combining (2) and (3) active power loss can be written as:

\[
P_{loss} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_k \sum_{j=2}^{n} BIBC_{ijkl} \left( \frac{P_j \cos(\theta) + aP_j \sin(\theta)}{V_j} \right)^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} BIBC_{ijkl} \left( \frac{P_j \cos(\theta) - aP_j \sin(\theta)}{V_j} \right)^2
\]

The objective to find the optimum size of DG at a bus is calculated by making the power loss to real power injection sensitivity factor as zero i.e. \( \frac{\partial P_{loss}}{\partial P_k} = 0 \). This has the consideration that the total power loss will be minimum if the partial derivative of total power loss w.r.t. injected real power becomes zero. With the consideration of \( \frac{\partial P_{loss}}{\partial P_k} = 0 \) from (5), the optimal size of injected power at \( k \)th bus can be expressed as:

\[
P_k = -\frac{\left| V_k \right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} dPBIBC_{ijkl} \left[ \text{Re}(I_j) + \text{Im}(I_j) \right]}{(1 + a^2) \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_k dPBIBC_{ijkl}}
\]

where,

\[
\text{Re}(I_j) = (\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j) \text{Re}(I_j)
\]

\[
\text{Im}(I_j) = (\cos \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j) \text{Im}(I_j)
\]

The negative sign in above equation indicates that \( P_k \) should be injected to system. The matrix dBIBC can be obtained by simple algorithm given in [16]. The detailed mathematical derivation of Eq. (6) is given in Appendix A. With this, the optimal size of DG can be obtained as:

\[
P_{dg} = P_k + P_{load}
\]

The reactive \( Q_{dg} \) power is therefore can be obtained as:

\[
Q_{g} = aP_k
\]

\[
Q_{dg} = Q_k + Q_{load}
\]

The optimal power factor depends on type of DG. The optimal size for each type of DG can be obtained as:

**Type-I DG:** For Type-I DG, power factor is unity, \( a = 0 \). Combining Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) yields \( P_{dg} \) as:

\[
P_{dg} = \left| V_k \right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_k dPBIBC_{ijkl} \text{Re}(I_j) + \text{Im}(I_j)
\]

where,

\[
I_j = \cos \theta_j \text{Re}(I_j) + \sin \theta_j \text{Im}(I_j)
\]

**Type-II DG:** For Type-II DG, power factor is zero. Rearranging, Eq. (6), and combining with Eq. (8), it yield as:

\[
P_{dg} = \left| V_k \right| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} dPBIBC_{ijkl} \text{Im}(I_j)
\]

where,

\[
I_j = \sin \theta_j \text{Re}(I_j) - \cos \theta_j \text{Im}(I_j)
\]

**Type-III DG:** For Type-III DG, power factor of DG is found by Eq. (4) while taking the sign value as +1 and computing optimal size of DG at bus \( k \) using Eq. (6-8).

**Type-IV DG:** For Type-IV DG, power factor of DG is found by Eq. (4) while taking the sign value as -1 and computing optimal size of DG at bus \( k \) using Eq. (6-8).

### 2.3 Optimal siting of DG

After having obtained the optimal size of DG in section (2.2), the optimum location is found, as the bus yielding minimum losses with optimal size DG. The optimal location is found by placing DG of optimal size at a time at each bus and computing losses. To avoid running load flow each time, the losses have been calculated approximately by expressing them in terms of bus current injections [16] as:

\[
P_{loss} = \left| R \right| BIBC \left[ I \right] \left[ I \right]^T
\]

The above formulation reduces the computational effort and the accuracy is not compromised as both exact losses and approximate losses follows same pattern. After placement of DG the bus corresponding to minimum losses is best location for placement of DG. Finally, the exact losses are computed by running the load flow. The algorithm for optimal sizing and siting can be summarized as:
Table 1. Optimal size, optimal site, active and reactive power losses of 33-bus system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DG</th>
<th>Optimal Size of DG</th>
<th>Optimal Site of DG</th>
<th>Active power losses after DG placement (kW)</th>
<th>Reactive power losses after DG placement (kVAR)</th>
<th>% Active power Loss Reduction</th>
<th>% Reactive power Loss Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type-I</td>
<td>2.4908 MW</td>
<td>Bus 6</td>
<td>104.051</td>
<td>74.749</td>
<td>48.568</td>
<td>44.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-II</td>
<td>1.2298 MVAR</td>
<td>Bus 30</td>
<td>143.605</td>
<td>96.304</td>
<td>29.141</td>
<td>28.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-III</td>
<td>3.0139 MVA at 0.85 pf</td>
<td>Bus 6</td>
<td>61.779</td>
<td>48.571</td>
<td>69.516</td>
<td>64.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-IV</td>
<td>0.8162 MVA at 0.85 pf</td>
<td>Bus 30</td>
<td>118.215</td>
<td>79.883</td>
<td>41.669</td>
<td>40.889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Voltage variation of 33-bus system before and after placement of DG

Table 2. Summary of voltage of bus 18 after placement of DG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DG</th>
<th>Voltage profile of bus 18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type-I</td>
<td>0.9499 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-II</td>
<td>0.9254 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-III</td>
<td>0.9656 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-IV</td>
<td>0.9280 p.u.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

The optimal site and size of four types of DG is found to minimize the losses using analytical approach based on equivalent current injection. The analysis is carried out on 33-bus [23] and 69-bus [24] radial distribution systems. The algorithm that has been described in section (2.3) is implemented under MATLAB, R2010a.

3.1 33-bus radial distribution system

The 33-bus radial distribution system [23] has total load of 3.72 MW and 2.3 MVAR and it is resulting into 202.661 kW active power losses and 135.140 kVAR reactive power losses. The optimum allocation of Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV DGs for 33-bus system are are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 69-bus radial distribution system

The 69-bus radial distribution system [24] has total load of 3.8 MW and 2.69 MVAR and it is resulting into active power losses of 225.005 kW and reactive power losses of...
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The optimum allocation of Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV DGs for this 69-bus system is summarized in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DG</th>
<th>Optimal Size of DG</th>
<th>Optimal Site of DG</th>
<th>Active power losses after DG placement (kW)</th>
<th>Reactive power losses after DG placement (kVAR)</th>
<th>% Active power Loss Reduction</th>
<th>% Reactive power Loss Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type-I</td>
<td>1.8082 MW</td>
<td>Bus 61</td>
<td>83.316</td>
<td>40.669</td>
<td>62.972</td>
<td>60.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-II</td>
<td>1.2918 MVAR</td>
<td>Bus 61</td>
<td>152.118</td>
<td>70.582</td>
<td>32.394</td>
<td>30.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-III</td>
<td>2.2223 MVA at 0.82 pf</td>
<td>Bus 61</td>
<td>23.148</td>
<td>14.406</td>
<td>89.712</td>
<td>85.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-IV</td>
<td>1.6173 MVA at 0.82 pf</td>
<td>Bus 61</td>
<td>39.106</td>
<td>22.137</td>
<td>82.620</td>
<td>78.361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Voltage variation of 69-bus system before and after placement of DG

Table 4. Summary of voltage of bus 65 after placement of DG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of DG</th>
<th>Voltage profile of bus 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type-I</td>
<td>0.9767 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-II</td>
<td>0.9301 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-III</td>
<td>0.9960 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type-IV</td>
<td>0.9751 p.u.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Comparison of Type-I DG results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>Size (MW)</th>
<th>% Active power loss reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical [16]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.8078</td>
<td>59.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO [21]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.8078</td>
<td>62.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed approach</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.8082</td>
<td>62.972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Comparison of Type-II DG results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Bus No</th>
<th>Size (MVAr)</th>
<th>% Active power loss reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSO [21]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.2906</td>
<td>32.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed approach</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.2918</td>
<td>32.394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 Comparison of Type-III DG results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Bus No</th>
<th>Size (MVA@pf)</th>
<th>% Active power loss reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical [16]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.2219@0.82</td>
<td>89.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO [21]</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.2430@0.82</td>
<td>89.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed approach</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2.2223@0.814</td>
<td>89.712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

102.173 kVAR. The optimum allocation of Type-I, Type-II, Type-III and Type-IV DGs for this 69-bus system is

3.3 Comparative study

The robustness of the proposed approach is tested on two test systems i.e. 33-bus and 69-bus radial distribution systems. The comparison of the presented results with already reported in the literature for various different types of DG’s for 69-bus radial distribution system summarized in Table 5, 6 and 7 for Type-I, Type-II and Type-III DG’s respectively.

4. Conclusion

In this paper an analytical method based on equivalent current injection is proposed to find optimal site and size of all types of DG in radial distribution system to minimize losses and thereby to improve bus voltages. The formulation
exploits the topological features of radial distribution network. The losses with the DG placement are computed without calculating the load flow repeatedly. The effectiveness of the proposed methodology is tested on 33-bus and 69-bus radial distribution systems. It is obtained that the optimal size and site of Type-I, Type-II DG, Type-III DG and Type-IV yields in improved voltage profile and the loss reduction; however, the Type-III DG is most effective in achieving loss reduction as well as voltage profile improvement.
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### Appendix A

The total power loss is given by:

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = |R|^2 \left( \left[ \text{BIBC} \left[ \frac{P\cos \theta + Q\sin \theta}{|V|} \right] \right]^2 + |R|^2 \left[ \text{BIBC} \left[ \frac{P\sin \theta - Q\cos \theta}{|V|} \right] \right]^2 \right) \quad (A-1)
\]

The Eq. (A-1) can be re-written into expanded form as –

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \left( \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j + Q_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right] \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \left( \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j - Q_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right] \right)^2 \quad (A-2)
\]

As \( Q_j = aP_j \), so equation (A-2) becomes:

\[
P_{\text{loss}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \left( \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j + aP_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right] \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \left( \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j - aP_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right] \right)^2 \quad (A-3)
\]

The objective to find the optimum size of DG at a bus is calculated by making the power loss to real power injection sensitivity factor as zero i.e. \( \frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_i} = 0 \).

\[
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2R_i \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left( \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j + aP_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right) \text{BIBC}_{i,k-1} \left( \frac{\cos \theta_k + a \sin \theta_k}{|V_k|} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2R_i \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \left( \frac{P_j \sin \theta_j - aP_j \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right) \text{BIBC}_{i,k-1} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_k - a \cos \theta_k}{|V_k|} \right) \right] \quad (A-4)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2R_i \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \text{re}(I_j) \text{BIBC}_{i,k-1} \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2R_i \left[ \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{BIBC}_{i,j-1} \text{im}(I_j) \text{BIBC}_{i,k-1} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|} \right) \right] \quad (A-5)
\]

\[
\text{re}(I_j) = \frac{P_j \cos \theta_j + aP_j \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im}(I_j) = \frac{P_j \sin \theta_j - aP_j \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|}
\]
If the $k^{th}$ bus is not connected the $j^{th}$ branch then the elements of BIBC matrix is zero ($\text{BIBC}(j, k-1)=0$) and the derivative of the corresponding element is equated to zero ($\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = 0$). Accordingly, the derivative of the total power losses per $k^{th}$ bus injected real power gives the sensitivity factor and can be expressed as:

$$
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) \right]
$$

(A-6)

Expanding Eq. (A-6)

$$
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \text{dbIBC}_{i,k-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_i) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_i + a \sin \theta_i}{|V_i|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_i) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_i - a \cos \theta_i}{|V_i|^2} \right) \right]
$$

(A-7)

using the value of $\text{re}(I_i)$ and $\text{im}(I_i)$ Eq. (A-7) becomes:

$$
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \text{dbIBC}_{i,k-1} \left( P_i \left( \cos \theta_i + a \sin \theta_i \right) \right)
$$

(A-8)

on solving Eq. (A-8), it becomes:

$$
\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \text{dbIBC}_{i,k-1} \frac{P_i \left( 1 + a^2 \right)}{|V_i|^2}
$$

(A-9)

As $\frac{\partial P_{\text{loss}}}{\partial P_k} = 0$; so

$$
0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \frac{\cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \frac{\sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j}{|V_j|^2} \right) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \text{dbIBC}_{i,k-1} \frac{P_i \left( 1 + a^2 \right)}{|V_i|^2}
$$

(A-10)

by solving this equation $P_k$ becomes:

$$
P_k = \frac{-|V_i|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \text{dbIBC}_{i,j-1} \left[ \text{re}(I_j) \left( \cos \theta_j + a \sin \theta_j \right) + \text{im}(I_j) \left( \sin \theta_j - a \cos \theta_j \right) \right]}{\left( 1 + a^2 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} 2R \text{dbIBC}_{i,k-1}}
$$