Establishing a Nomogram for Stage IA-IIB Cervical Cancer Patients after Complete Resection
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Abstract

**Background:** This study aimed to establish a nomogram by combining clinicopathologic factors with overall survival of stage IA-IIB cervical cancer patients after complete resection with pelvic lymphadenectomy. **Materials and Methods:** This nomogram was based on a retrospective study on 1,563 stage IA-IIB cervical cancer patients who underwent complete resection and lymphadenectomy from 2002 to 2008. The nomogram was constructed based on multivariate analysis using Cox proportional hazard regression. The accuracy and discriminative ability of the nomogram were measured by concordance index (C-index) and calibration curve. **Results:** Multivariate analysis identified lymph node metastasis (LNM), lymph-vascular space invasion (LVSI), stromal invasion, parametrial invasion, tumor diameter and histology as independent prognostic factors associated with cervical cancer survival. These factors were selected for construction of the nomogram. The C-index of the nomogram was 0.71 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.77), and calibration of the nomogram showed good agreement between the 5-year predicted survival and the actual observation. **Conclusions:** We developed a nomogram predicting 5-year overall survival of surgically treated stage IA-IIB cervical cancer patients. More comprehensive information that is provided by this nomogram could provide further insight into personalized therapy selection.
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Introduction

Cervical cancer is the third most common malignancy affecting women worldwide, with an estimated 500,000 new cases and more than 275,000 deaths in 2008 (Ferlay et al., 2010). In China, nearly 130,000 cervical cancer cases are newly diagnosed each year, and approximately 30,000 women die of cervical cancer every year (Nguyen et al., 2011).

Radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection remain the primary therapeutic choices following the FIGO clinical staging system (Landoni et al., 1997; Waggoner, 2003). However, clinicopathologic factors other than FIGO stage, such as lymph node metastasis (LNM) and lymph-vascular space invasion (LVSI), have proven to be relevant to the prognosis of cervical cancer patients (Ho et al., 2004; Pecorelli et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2012). Although NCCN (Grochola et al., 2011).
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al., 2008) guidelines have suggested that adjuvant therapy should be applied to certain patients with intermediate or high risk factors after surgery, these factors remain controversial in many studies (Kamura et al., 1992; Yuan et al., 1998; Creasman and Kohler, 2004; Ho et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2015). Therefore, different opinions on the impact of prognostic factors between studies may lead to various selection criteria for adjuvant therapy, as suggested by researchers (Chang et al., 2009; Small et al., 2012).

Until now, most of the prognostic models for cervical cancer were based on the risk stratification method, which grouped together patients with similar prognoses (Kamura et al., 1992; Yuan et al., 1998; Ho et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2012). However, this method may ignore certain surgical-pathological factors. Nomograms are used to transform statistical equations to simplified graphs (Iasonos et al., 2008). In recent years, nomograms have been constructed for many malignancies, such as prostate cancer, bladder cancer and gastric cancer to obtain individualized prognostic information (Bochner et al., 2006; Walz et al., 2007; Han et al., 2012). Some of these nomograms have shown to be more reliable than the traditional staging system (Sternberg, 2006; Wang et al., 2013). To our knowledge, a nomogram predicting overall survival for cervical cancer patients treated primarily with surgery has not been published thus far. Therefore, the aims of the study are to establish a nomogram for cervical cancer patients primarily treated with surgery.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Retrospective research was performed using the cervical cancer database, which stores the information of 10,897 patients (http://clinicaltrials.gov). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, P. R. China.

Inclusion criteria for patients in this study were as follows: stage IA-IIB cervical cancer patients treated with radical surgery, no history of other malignancies, no preoperative adjuvant therapy, no residual macroscopic or microscopic tumor and no missing values. The exclusion criterion for patients in this study was: rare histological type of cervical cancer including small cell carcinoma. We extracted patient demographics and clinicopathologic characteristics (FIGO stage, number of positive lymph node, LVSI, depth of stromal invasion, parametrial invasion, tumor diameter, grade and histology). Tumor diameter was determined by measuring the longest diameter using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), or ultrasound. Depth of stromal invasion was categorized as inner 1/3, middle 1/3 or outer 1/3 following the postoperative pathological reports. Tumor grade classification was guided by Border system and was listed as well, moderate or poor differentiation. Histology was simply classified as either squamous or non-squamous cell carcinoma. The number of positive lymph nodes was categorized into 3 groups: 0, 1 and ≥2. Diagnosis was confirmed by two experienced pathologists.

The administration of adjuvant therapy was determined by attending physicians. Generally, patients with LNM or parametrial invasion were considered for administration of adjuvant concurrent chemoradiation, and patients with deep stromal invasion, bulky disease (>4cm) or LVSI were considered for adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Patients were suggested to have postoperative evaluation every 3 months in the first year, every 6 months during the next four years and once a year thereafter. OS time was calculated from the time of surgery to the date of death or last contact. Follow-up data were collected from hospital records or by phone calls for patients who were lost to regular follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Data were first analyzed by standard description statistics. Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed by Cox regression analysis.

The nomogram was constructed based on the results of the Cox regression. Final variable selection was performed by a backward stepwise process following Akaike information criterion (AIC), and the model with the lowest AIC was selected (Harrell et al., 1996). Age was modeled with restricted cubic splines to accommodate a nonlinear relationship with outcome. The nomogram was internally validated by discrimination and calibration. Discrimination was assessed by concordance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Median 43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 21-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromal invasion</td>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVSI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Squamous</td>
<td>1395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-squamous</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor diameter</td>
<td>≤4cm</td>
<td>1445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;4cm</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parametrial infiltration</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II A</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative adjuvant therapy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>Death</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>1468</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviation: LVSI, Lymph-vascular space invasion
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index (C-index), which reflected the accuracy of nomogram. Calibration curves were drawn by plotting corresponding nomogram-predicted survival probability and observed probability. Bootstrap 200 correction was used for analyzing the activity. Comparison between our nomogram and other staging systems were also evaluated by C-index (Huitzil-Melendez et al., 2010).

All statistical analyses were performed using R software (http://www.r-project.org) with the packages in Design and Hmisc libraries. (Harrell FE Jr: Regression Modeling Strategies With Applications to Linear Models) P < .05 was considered to be statistically significant. The related computerized programming language was listed in a previous study published by Wang et al. (2013) and was reprogrammed for the present study.

Results

Clinicopathologic characteristics of patients

A total of 1,563 cervical cancer patients were included in this study. Most patients had stage IB disease (65.4%, n=1,022) and squamous cell carcinoma (89.3%, n=1,395), and 682 of these patients received postoperative therapy (43.6%). Patients without LNM predominated and accounted for 1,343 patients (85.9%). Patients with bulky disease (>4cm) were reported in only 118 patients (7.5%). Detailed descriptions of patients’ characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Selection of prognostic factors for construction of the nomogram

The median follow-up time was 42 months (range, 1-110 months), and the median time to death was 25 months (range, 6-83 months). The 5-year OS rate was 92.8%. The results of univariate analysis are shown in Appendix Table S1. Multivariate analysis revealed that LNM, LVSI, stromal invasion, parametrial invasion, tumor diameter and histology were independent prognostic factors with the lowest AIC of 1,271 and is listed in Table 2.

Nomogram for OS

The prognostic nomogram using all significant independent prognostic factors for OS is shown in Figure 1. The C-index for the nomogram is 0.71 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.77). The calibration curve reveals good agreement between prediction of the nomogram and observation, as shown in Figure 2.

Discussion

The FIGO staging system has been commonly used for clinical evaluation of cervical cancer patients (Pecorelli et al., 2009) However, its utility is low in guiding adjuvant therapy (Hricak et al., 2005). Although clinicopathologic...
factors have been suggested to guide postoperative adjuvant therapy selection, controversy surrounding the independence of these factors for prognosis of cervical cancer patients still exists (Chang et al., 2009; Small et al., 2012). These different results may be due to the heterogeneity of the population enrolled in different studies (Kamura et al., 1992; Yuan et al., 1998; Ho et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2012). For example, the Yuan model enrolled stage IB-IIA squamous cell carcinoma patients, while other models chose patients of different stages and histology.

Studies have shown that nomograms may be more accurate than conventional staging systems for prognostic prediction in many cancers, such as cholangiocarcinoma and bladder cancer (Sternberg, 2006; Wang et al., 2013). Nomograms that have been constructed to focus on cervical cancer patients of advanced stages who are receiving concurrent chemoradiotherapy (Tseng et al., 2010; Kang et al., 2012). However, no nomogram emphasizing on patients primarily treated with surgery has been developed thus far. This nomogram reached a C-index of 0.71 and performed well in the calibration curve.

However, this study has several limitations. First, this research was retrospective, although multiple excellent gynecologic centers in China participated in the study. Mistakes or bias in data collection and inputting phase may exist, although related training and double-check were applied throughout the study. The participation of different centers enabled the enlargement of the study population and may reveal small differences of certain prognostic factors. However, criteria and regimens of postoperative treatment among centers differed, which may affect the variable’s magnitude of effect. Second, whether patients with preoperative adjuvant therapy should be excluded warrants further discussion. Our previous studies showed that preoperative adjuvant therapy may change the distribution of clinicopathologic factors, especially in intermediate factors (Hu et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2013). Third, the nomogram was only internally validated, which may produce the theoretical probability of over-estimation. Therefore, data from other centers need to be collected for external validation.

In summary, this is the first nomogram constructed for cervical cancer patients treated primarily with surgery. The nomogram was developed based mainly on stage IB patients and internally validated by bootstrap. The application of the nomogram will be more widespread when its limitations are addressed in the future.
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