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ABSTRACT

In this study, the random forest approach was used to predict the national mean rice yield of South Korea by using mean climatic factors at a national scale. A random forest model that used monthly climate variable and year as an important predictor in predicting crop yield. Annual yield change would be affected by technical improvement for crop management as well as climate. Year as prediction factor represent technical improvement. Thus, it is likely that the variables of importance identified for the random forest model could result in a large error in prediction of rice yield in practice. It was also found that elimination of the trend of yield data resulted in reasonable accuracy in prediction of yield using the random forest model. For example, yield prediction using the training set (data obtained from 1991 to 2005) had a relatively high degree of agreement statistics. Although the degree of agreement statistics for yield prediction for the test set (2006-2015) was not as good as those for the training set, the value of relative root mean square error (RRMSE) was less than 5%. In the variable importance plot, significant difference was noted in the importance of climate factors between the training and test sets. This difference could be attributed to the shifting of the transplanting date, which might have affected the growing season. This suggested that acceptable yield prediction could be achieved using random forest, when the data set included consistent planting or transplanting dates in the predicted area.
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I. Introduction

Precise pre-harvest prediction of crop yield is very important for both commercial and public sectors. Thus, each country needs to establish a national food security policy. The agriculture and food industries also need to analyze the global food supply and demand in order to ensure stable resource management.
Various crop yield forecasting methods have been developed to address these social needs (Baruth et al., 2005; Basso et al., 2013). Yield forecasting methods include statistical models, crop growth models, and remote sensing approach. Statistical models are traditional regression models that have long been used to predict crop yield. They evaluate the relationship between climate factors and crop yield by using regression and develop equations to predict crop yield (Landau et al., 2000; Lobell et al., 2010; Ray et al., 2015). Statistical models require sufficient long-term data from numerous local sites as training or calibration sets. The crop growth models are process-oriented models that require many input factors for precise prediction and can simulate crop growth processes and yield (Boote et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2012). Occasionally, an intensive input factor is a major hindrance for the application of crop growth models. Remote sensing has been developed and widely used over the last decades (Knipling et al., 1970; Tucker et al., 1981; Moran et al., 1994; Wardlow et al., 2008). It can monitor the status of crops in large areas and analyze the data; however, obtaining data from a required area by using this approach is not always possible. The advantage of statistical models is that the information required is less and the approach is cost-effective, unlike other forecasting methods. Recently, random forest, one of the ensemble machine-learning techniques, was adopted for crop yield prediction; it showed promising results over the traditional regression models (Jeong et al., 2016). This method successfully improved crop yield prediction over various locations by using soil and cultivation information as well as climatic factors as input data. However, in practical prediction, obtaining required information in the intact form is difficult, for example, specific local climate data corresponding to crop yield of a specific local area.

Rice is the most important crop in South Korea, and its yield prediction is essentially required for both the government to establish agriculture policies and farmers to manage their business. Crop yield forecasting by using the random forest method requires regional climate data and cultivation information from every rice field. Further, it is associated with two issues: limitation of input data and temporal yield trend. First, since specific regional climate data are not available for most rice fields in South Korea, the rice yield prediction should be evaluated using the nationwide average yield and nationwide averaged climate data. Second, the random forest approach predicts yield by determining the relationship between variation in climate and crop yield. When temporal yield trend is caused by technical improvements instead of climate variations, such as genetic improvement and pesticide or herbicide application, the underlying assumption of crop yield prediction might not hold true and lead to a biased conclusion or mislead the result interpretation. Year has been reported to be the most influential predictor variable for yield prediction in random forest and reflected the yield trend (Jeong et al., 2016). However, yield prediction has to be conducted every year, and the future trend needs to be assumed to be the same as the past evaluated trend.

In this study, we evaluated the performance of random forest to predict the average rice yield by using average climate factors in South Korea. Further, we addressed the temporal yield trend issues to improve the practical prediction using random forest.

II. Materials and Methods

2.1. Rice yield and climate data in South Korea

The national average of milled rice yield data over 25 years from 1991 to 2015 was obtained from the Korean Statistical Information Service (www.kosis.kr). Climate data included minimum, mean, and maximum temperatures and sunshine hour, which were obtained from the Korea Meteorological Administration (www.kma.go.kr/weather/climate/past_table.jsp); for 54 sites, sunshine hour was recorded for more than 30 years (Lee et al., 2011). Precipitation was not included as a climatic factor in this study, because rice fields in
South Korea are equipped with irrigation systems, and about 80.6% of rice fields are well irrigated (www.index.go.kr/potal/info/idxFKoreaView.do?idx_Cd=1287). Furthermore, the total precipitation ranged from 1030 to 1900 mm, and most rainfall was distributed in the summer season.

2.2. Random forest predictions

The random forest is an ensemble technique based on a combination of a large decision tree (Breiman et al., 2001). Individual decision trees for prediction in random forest are trained by randomly selecting candidate variables, i.e., predictors, from the training data set. The final prediction in random forest is the average of predictions from individual decision trees. In this study, the random forest prediction was conducted using the statistical program R v2.15.3 with ‘Random Forest’ package.

2.3. Yield prediction using mean yield and climate data

Three climate factors including monthly maximum/minimum temperature and sunshine hour per month were averaged over 54 sites, which were used as predictors of a random forest. In this evaluation, year was also included as a predictor. The number of ensemble for the random forest was 300. Year was also used as a predictor for the random forest as Jeong et al. (2016) suggested. Data for 15 years from 1991 to 2005 were used for the random forest prediction.

2.4. Yield prediction using detrended yield data

Previous report showed the successful prediction by including the observation year as social and technical factors, such as developing cultivars or cultivation (Jeong et al., 2016). However, in this study, the trend induced by technical improvement was removed to predict the yield by using only climate data. The moving average was used to remove the trend; moving averages of 3, 5, and 10 years were applied, and an optimum moving average was selected for further analysis. The trend-removed yield, \( \Delta Yield_n \) (Eq. 1), was used for random forest prediction instead of the observed yield, \( Yield_n \). Thus, the predicted yield was obtained as Eq. 2.

\[
\Delta Yield_n = Yield_n - MA_{n-1} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

\[
Yield_n = \Delta Yield_n + MA_{n-1} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

where \( Yield_n \) represents the observed yield of year of \( n \), and \( MA_{n-1} \) is the moving average up to year \( n - 1 \). Briefly, \( \Delta Yield_n \) was obtained using the random forest prediction from the relationship between climate data and trend-removed yield, \( \Delta Yield_n \) (Eq. 1). Moving average (\( MA_{n-1} \)) was added to convert \( \Delta Yield_n \) to predicted yield, \( Yield_n \) (Eq. 2). Data obtained from 1991 to 2005 were used as the training set, and those obtained from 2006 to 2015 were used as model test set.

2.5. Evaluation of random forest performance in rice yield prediction

Three statistical methods, which are commonly used as measures for agricultural system and crop models, were used to evaluate the random forest performance in this study (Knippling et al., 1970; Kim et al., 2012). These are relative root mean square error (RRMSE, Eq. 3) (Loague et al., 1991), Nash–Sutcliffe model efficiency (EF, Eq. 4) (Nash et al., 1970), and index of agreement (\( d \), Eq. 5) (Willmott et al., 1981).

\[
RRMSE = \frac{100}{\sigma} \times \sqrt{\frac{\sum (O_i - P_i)^2}{n}} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

\[
EF = 1 - \frac{\sum (O_i - P_i)^2}{\sum (O_i - \bar{O})^2} \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

\[
d = 1 - \frac{\sum (O_i - P_i)^2}{\sum (P_i - \bar{O}) + (O_i - \bar{O})^2} \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}
\]

where, \( O \) represents observation, \( P \) is the predictions, and \( \bar{O} \) is the observation mean in the dataset. RRMSE
is a measure of deviation between observed and model prediction. EF is used to evaluate the predictive power by comparing with the observed mean. Willmott’s $d$ indicates the agreement between the observed and predicted data. The model performance was visualized by generating the observed vs. predicted variable importance plots.

**III. Results and Discussion**

The national mean rice yield prediction results are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The results showed that the predicted values were significantly close to the observed ones, even though the national mean climatic factors were very limited data with significant information losses (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2).

RRMSE was as low as 2.4%, and EF was 0.94, suggesting the high efficiency of the model. Willmott’s agreement index, $d$, was also close 1, which indicated good agreement between predicted and observed yields. In the time series data, predicted and observed yields showed a good agreement (Fig. 2). However, this significant agreement might not show actual cause-and-effect relationship. To address this issue, we generated the variable importance plot to evaluate the importance of each predictor (Fig. 3).

The evaluation results suggested that the minimum temperature in August, year, minimum temperature in June, maximum temperature in August, and mean temperature in May were the important predictors (Fig. 3). The climate condition of the reproductive period is more important than that of the vegetative period in South Korea (Yun et al., 2013). Therefore, the temperature of August was reliable as an important predictor.

**Fig. 1.** Performance of Random forest in national mean rice yield of South Korea with train data (1991-2005).

**Fig. 2.** Time series comparison between observed rice yield and predicted rice yield in South Korea from 1991 to 2005.
However, sunshine hour of August and September were less important predictors compared to that of other seasons. This was not in agreement with the findings of empirical research (Yun et al., 2013). Moreover, the partial dependence plot with year as the variable showed that milled rice yield in South Korea was remarkably increased between 1995 and 1998 (Fig. 4). However, this increase in yield for the 3 years could not be explained by the technical or social factors. Even though random forest showed good prediction performance, this model could not be applied to practical prediction because of insufficient reasonable explanation for the relationship between predictor and yield.

Improvement of the reliability of random forest prediction required that the trend in time series data should be removed. The commonly used detrend method involves the application of the moving
average. The optimum moving average was selected by conducting random forest prediction by using 3 moving averages: obtained over 3, 5, and 10 years. Each trend line of moving average is shown in Figure 5, and the statistical comparisons after detrending are shown in Table 1. The results indicated that the moving average of 3-, 5-, and 10-year data showed similar performance. Thus, these moving averages could be used for yield prediction. However, the moving average of 10 years was more suitable because of smoothing without fluctuation (Fig. 5). The social and technical factors were considered in the trend line. If these are not considered, yield change could be expected by only climate factors, and more precise information regarding the relationship between climate factors and rice yield could be obtained.

Table 1. Comparison of model predictive performance when trend is removed by using the moving average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moving average</th>
<th>RRMSE (%)</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-year</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-year</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The variable importance plot from random forest prediction after detrending yield data is shown in Figure 6. The maximum and average temperatures in August, the maximum temperature in September, and the mean sunshine hour in July were high-ranked values. Moreover, the sunshine hour in September and August was in a higher position than that shown in Figure 3. In Korea, August and September correspond to the heading and grain filling periods, respectively, and climate conditions in these months are more important than those in other seasons in South Korea for rice yield (Yun et al., 2013). Thus, random forest with detrended yield data could be more reliable for further prediction.

Rice yield prediction with random forest after detrending yield data was validated using the remnant set (from 2006 to 2015) as the test set (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). Interestingly, the prediction of test set was significantly different from that of the observed yield. The model efficiency parameter, $EF$ was 0.004, and Willmott’s $d$ was also only 0.45 (Fig. 7). In the training set, random forest prediction with climate factor after detrending rice yield was found to be an empirically reasonable approach. However, validation with test set revealed that the accurate rice yield prediction with random forest could not be achieved using the previously described approach.
The reason for the low prediction performance in the test set was determined by obtaining and comparing the variable importance plots of the training set (1991-2005) and test set (2006-2015; Fig. 9). The importance of each variable was significantly different. The important climatic factors in the training set were sunshine hour in July, mean/maximum temperature in August, and maximum temperature in September. However, minimum temperature in August and September and sunshine hour in October were important in the test set. This difference can be explained by several reasons. First, this might be caused by the insufficient removal of trend biases in the time series data. Various subspecies could cause response fluctuation in climatic factors, since two subspecies of rice, *japonica* and *indica-japonica* hybrid, are cultivated at the same time in South Korea, and their response to climate would be
different (Ahn, 1973). However, *japonica* rice has been dominantly cultivated since the 1980’s. Therefore, various responses to climatic factors by the different subspecies were not expected. Another reason for rice response changes to climatic conditions could be the moving of rice cropping season by climate changes. Since 2006, Rural Development Administration (RDA) in South Korea recommended farmers to delay the rice transplanting date up to maximum of 15 days according to the sites. If the recommended transplanting date of RDA was generalized among farmers, the difference in the importance of these variables between the training and test sets could be explained. A previous study showed successful yield prediction by using the random forest approach at various sites and in different crops, because they used the data from sites with consistent conditions, for example, planting date (Jeong et al., 2016). If the cultivation conditions such as planting or transplanting date were changed, precise prediction could be obtained only from specific time periods having consistent condition. Therefore, even if local climate data and local yield data are available, predicting yield using random forest would be difficult when the seeding date is not constant.

In this study, the prediction performance with random forest was evaluated to predict the national mean milled rice yield by using the national mean climatic factors. This approach was improved by systematically eliminating the trend of time series data. Although a previous study (Jeong et al., 2016) showed successful prediction by using random forest for various sites and crops, our results were not in agreement those results. The results of variable importance plot in this study showed significant difference in the importance of climate factors between the training and test sets. This could be because of the change in the transplanting date, which might affect the growing season and yield. Thus, acceptable prediction could be achieved when the

**Fig. 8.** Performance of Random forest in national mean rice yield of South Korea with test year (2006-2015).

In this study, the prediction performance with random forest was evaluated to predict the national mean milled rice yield by using the national mean climatic factors. This approach was improved by systematically eliminating the trend of time series data. Although a previous study (Jeong et al., 2016) showed successful prediction by using random forest for various sites and crops, our results were not in agreement those results. The results of variable importance plot in this study showed significant difference in the importance of climate factors between the training and test sets. This could be because of the change in the transplanting date, which might affect the growing season and yield. Thus, acceptable prediction could be achieved when the

**Fig. 9.** Variable importance comparison between train set (1991-2005) and test set (2006-2016).

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by a Cooperative Research Program for Agricultural Science and Technology Development of Rural Development Administration (Project No. PJ0101152017).

REFERENCES


KOSIS (Korean Statistical Information Service): www.kosis.kr


