Three-coil Magnetically Coupled Resonant Wireless Power Transfer System with Adjustable-position Intermediate Coil for Stable Transmission Characteristics
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Abstract

In magnetically coupled resonant (MCR) wireless power transfer (WPT) systems, the introduction of additional intermediate coils is an effective means of improving transmission characteristics, including output power and transmission efficiency, when the transmission distance is increased. However, the position of intermediate coils in practice influences system performance significantly. In this research, a three-coil MCR WPT system is adopted as an exemplification for determining how the spatial position of coils affects transmission characteristics. With use of the fundamental harmonic analysis method, an equivalent circuit model of the system is built to reveal the relationship between the output power, the transmission efficiency, and the spatial scales, including the axial, lateral, and angular misalignments of the intermediate and receiving coils. Three cases of transmission characteristics versus different spatial scales are evaluated. Results indicate that the system can achieve relatively stable transmission characteristics with deliberate adjustments in the position of the intermediate and receiving coils. A prototype of the three-coil MCR WPT system is built and analyzed, and the experimental results are consistent with those of the theoretical analysis.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of wireless power transfer (WPT) based on magnetic coupling theory was proposed by Nikola Tesla more than a century ago [1]. WPT uses magneto-quasistatic fields to transfer energy over short to medium distances and has been increasingly applied in electric vehicles, consumer electronics, and implantable medical devices due to its superiority and usability in humid and lousy environments [2]-[4].

Magnetically coupled resonant (MCR) WPT technology can deliver power efficiently over medium distances [5]. The basic MCR WPT system usually consists of two coils, namely, transmitting and receiving coils, which operate at the same resonant frequency. Numerous studies have focused on the analysis, design, and optimization of the basic two-coil MCR WPT system [6]-[8], whose output power and transmission efficiency noticeably drop with increased transfer distance [9] or the variation of position in space [10], [11].

For further enhancement of transmission characteristics, intermediate coils were introduced between the transmitting and receiving coils of the MCR WPT system in previous works. Three- and four-coil MCR WPT systems have been extensively proposed for increasing output power and transmission efficiency, particularly in long-distance applications [12], [13]. Similar to the position of coils in a two-coil WPT
system, that in a three-coil WPT system considerably influences the transmission characteristics. Studies have been conducted to reveal the effect of intermediate coil on the performance of a system. [14] showed that three-coil inductive links can significantly improve transmission efficiency, particularly at long coupling distances, by transforming any arbitrary load impedance to the optimal impedance required at the input of the inductive link. The transmission efficiency of WPT with an intermediate coil was analyzed in [15], and the results showed that the intermediate resonant system had good transmission efficiency and was superior to nonintermediate systems. [16] discovered that placing an intermediate coil slightly near the transmission coil achieved better transmission efficiency than placing it slightly near the receiving coil. In [17], a three-coil WPT system structure was proposed and proven capable of significantly reducing the electromagnetic field emission caused by coil misalignment. In [18], the influence of spatial scales on the output power of three-coil system was investigated, but only one variable spatial scale was analyzed, meanwhile, the transmission efficiency was not considered.

In this paper, the transmission characteristics of a three-coil MCR WPT system versus the spatial scales, including axial, lateral, and angular misalignments, are discussed for stabilizing the output power and transmission efficiency at varying positions of the intermediate and receiving coils. Section II describes the basic theories and the key parameters of the three-coil MCR WPT system. According to equivalent circuit theory, the circuit model of the three-coil MCR WPT system is built, and the expressions of the output power and transmission efficiency are derived by the fundamental circuit theory, the circuit model of the three-coil MCR WPT system is built, and the expressions of the output power and transmission efficiency are derived by the fundamental harmonic analysis (FHA) method. In Section III, the transmission characteristics of the system are analyzed and illustrated when the mutual inductances between the three coils are proportional. We find that the robustness of the output power and the transmission efficiency can be improved by an adjustment in the position of the intermediate coil according to the receiving coil. A prototype of the three-coil MCR WPT system is built and tested to validate the theoretical analysis in Section IV, which is followed by the conclusion in Section V.

II. MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF TRANSMISSION CHARACTERISTICS

Fig. 1 shows the circuit diagram of the three-coil MCR WPT system, which includes a half-bridge inverter; a voltage doubler rectifier; and three resonant coils, namely, the transmitting, intermediate, and receiving coils. The mutual inductances between two adjacent coils are defined as $M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$, and the mutual inductance between transmitting and receiving coils is ignored for simplification. The input voltage is inverted by the half-bridge inverter and employed to drive the resonant coils. $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are the power switches driven in a complementary manner with the same duty cycle.

Fig. 2 shows the equivalent circuit of the three-coil MCR WPT system, where $U_s$ is the equivalent alternating voltage source, $L_1$–$L_3$ are the self-inductances of the resonant coils, with parasitic resistances $R_1$–$R_3$. $C_1$–$C_3$ are the series resonant capacitors designed to resonate with $L_1$–$L_3$. $R_s$ is the impedance of the AC voltage source. $R_w$ is the equivalent load resistance.

For the half-bridge configuration, the norm of $\hat{U}_s$ can be calculated as

$$U_s = \left\| \hat{U}_s \right\| = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} V_{in}.$$  (1)

The equivalent load resistance ($R_w$) can be expressed by the load resistance ($R_d$) as

$$R_w = 2 \frac{\pi}{2} R_d.$$  (2)

The resonant frequency is defined as

$$f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_r C_r}},$$  (3)

where $L_r$ and $C_r$ are the resonant inductor and capacitor, respectively. If the three-coil MCR WPT system operates in a resonant state, then $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = L_r$, and $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = C_r$.

According to Fig. 2 and Kirchhoff’s voltage law, the following can be obtained:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
R_s + R_1 & j\omega M_{12} & 0 \\
0 & Z_2 & j\omega M_{23} \\
j\omega M_{12} & Z_2 & j\omega M_{23}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{I}_1 \\
\hat{I}_2 \\
\hat{I}_3
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{U}_s \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix},
$$  (4)

where $\omega = 2\pi f_r$, and $\hat{I}_1 - \hat{I}_3$ are the fundamental harmonics of the resonant currents.

If $R_{15} = R_1 + R_5$ and $R_{3W} = R_3 + R_w$, then $\hat{I}_1 - \hat{I}_3$ can be solved from (4).
Fig. 3. 3D graphs of $P_o$ and $\eta$ versus $M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$: (a) $P_o$; (b) $\eta$.

Fig. 4. Transfer characteristics under different loads: (a) $P_o$ ($R_L = 2 \, \Omega$); (b) $P_o$ ($R_L = 50 \, \Omega$); (c) $\eta$ ($R_L = 2 \, \Omega$); (d) $\eta$ ($R_L = 50 \, \Omega$).

The values of $V_{in} = 24 \, \text{V}$, $f_i = f_2 = 200 \, \text{kHz}$, $R_S = 0.1 \, \Omega$, $R_L = 5 \, \Omega$, $R_1 = 0.093 \, \Omega$, $R_2 = 0.21 \, \Omega$, and $R_3 = 0.093 \, \Omega$. The values of $R_3-R_5$ are measured by the impedance network analyzer and adjusted according to the experimental results. Fig. 3 indicates that $P_o$ and $\eta$ are sensitive to variations in $M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$ and $P_o$ and $\eta$ generally decrease with $M_{12}$ and $M_{23}$.

In realistic applications, $R_L$ always changes. Fig. 4 plots how $R_L$ influences $P_o$ and $\eta$, from which we can conclude that $P_o$ decreases with an increase in $R_L$ in a specific variation range of $M_{12}$ (or $M_{23}$). However, the variation of $R_L$ has less influence on $\eta$ compared with that of $P_o$. For simplification, the following analysis is based on a constant $R_L$ ($5 \, \Omega$).

III. ANALYSIS OF SPATIAL SCALES FOR STABLE OUTPUT POWER AND TRANSMISSION EFFICIENCY

As transmission characteristics are subject to the variation of mutual inductances in a three-coil MCR WPT system, the possibility of achieving stable transmission characteristics by the adjustment of mutual inductances should be determined.

Fig. 5 shows the contour lines extracted from Fig. 3 by the insertion of horizontal planes into Fig. 3 with different magnitudes that represent diverse transfer characteristics, from which we can conclude that $P_o$ and $\eta$ can remain stable within specific ranges if $M_{12}$ is proportional to $M_{23}$.

If $M_{23} = k \cdot M_{12}$ and $k$ is the proportional coefficient, then (6) can be simplified as

$$P_o = \frac{1}{\left(a_1 + \frac{a_2}{M_{12}}\right)^2}$$

(8)

and (7) can be simplified as

$$\eta = \frac{1}{b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4} \cdot \frac{M_{12}^*}{M_{12}^*}$$

(10)

where $a_1$, $a_2$, $b_1$, $b_2$, $b_3$, and $b_4$ are constants related to the system parameters and $k$.

Based on (8)-(11) and $M_{23} = k \cdot M_{12}$, two clusters of curves of $P_o$ and $\eta$ versus $M_{12}$ are illustrated in Fig. 6, which infers that coefficient $k$ considerably influences $P_o$ and $\eta$. If $k$ is
be nearly stable within a specific range of lateral and angular misalignments.

Fig. 6. Curves of $P_o$ and $\eta$ versus $M_{12}$: (a) $P_o$; (b) $\eta$.

Fig. 7. 3D graphs of mutual inductance versus lateral and angular misalignments.

designed appropriately (for example, $k \geq 1$), then $P_o$ and $\eta$ will be nearly stable within a specific range of $M_{12}$. Theoretically, $k$ can be random in practice, as shown in Fig. 6. To simplify the analysis, we select $k = 1$.

To further investigate the requirements on spatial scales to achieve stable transmission characteristics, the relationships between mutual inductances and spatial scales are first obtained [19]. Fig. 7 illustrates the behavior of mutual inductance under lateral and angular misalignments and indicates that misalignments substantially affect mutual inductance. With the substitution of the mutual inductance into (8) and (10), the tendency of $P_o$ and $\eta$ versus the spatial scales can be determined.

**Case 1: One variable spatial scale**

In this study, three typical spatial scales are considered in the following analysis, namely, the axial misalignment ($d$), the lateral misalignment ($\Delta$), and the angular misalignment ($\alpha$), as shown in Fig. 8. Meanwhile, three different cases are discussed, namely, one-, two-, and three-variable spatial scales. The specifications are given as follows: $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0.11$ m, $N_1 = N_3 = 6$, and $N_2 = 14$.

1) **Axial Misalignment $d$ ($\Delta = 0, \alpha = 0$)**

With the assumption that $d_3 = k_d \cdot d_{12}$, Fig. 9 shows the clusters of curves of $P_o$ and $\eta$ versus $d_{12}$ under different $k_d$ values. A remarkable discrepancy exists between the curves with different $k_d$ values, and most curves fluctuate on a large scale. When $k_d = 1$ ($M_{12} = M_{13}$), the variation of $P_o$ and $\eta$ is relatively minor within the specific range of $d_{12}$ ($0 \leq d_{12} \leq 0.2$ m), which means that the transmission characteristics of the system are approximately constant. However, if $k_d \neq 1$ or $d_{12} > 0.2$ m, then $P_o$ and $\eta$ will fluctuate severely, which is detrimental to providing a stable power for loads.

2) **Lateral Misalignment $\Delta$ ($d = 0, \alpha = 0$)**

With the assumption that $\Delta_3 = k_3 \cdot \Delta_2$, Fig. 10 illustrates how $k_3$ affects the tendency of $P_o$ and $\eta$ under different $\Delta_3$ values. We can infer that $P_o$ is more sensitive to $k_3$ than $\eta$ to $k_3$. Moreover, when $k_3 = 0.5$ ($M_{13} = M_{12}$), $P_o$ and $\eta$ decrease...
slightly, which means that \( k_3 = 0.5 \) is also the best when only latera
al misalignment is considered.

3) Angular Misalignment \( \alpha \) (\( \delta = 0, \Delta = 0 \))

With the assumption that \( \alpha_2 = k_2 \alpha_3 \), Fig. 11 illustrates the
relation between \( P_o, \eta, \) and \( \alpha_2 \), where the maximal \( \alpha_3 \) is
suggested to be 90°. Compared with other curves, the ones
when \( k_3 \) equals 0.5 (\( M_{23} = M_{12} \)) are nearly constant over the
full range of \( \alpha_3 \), which means that if \( \alpha_2 \) is the half of
\( \alpha_3 \) (\( 0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq 90° \)), then the system can always realize stable
transmission characteristics.

From the above analysis, we can conclude that if only one
spatial scale is considered, then a specific spatial range exists
to keep the transmission characteristics of the three-coil MCR
WPT system stable under the following conditions: \( d_{23} = d_{12}, \Delta_2 = 0.5 \Delta_3, \) or \( \alpha_2 = 0.5 \alpha_3 \).

Case 2: Two-variable spatial scales

Under the constraints of \( d_{23} = d_{12}, \Delta_2 = 0.5 \Delta_3, \) and \( \alpha_2 = 0.5 \alpha_3 \), the transmission characteristics of the three-coil MCR

WPT system when any two variable spatial scales are
considered are analyzed as follows.

Figs. 12–14 demonstrate the curves of \( P_o \) and \( \eta \) versus \( d_{12}, \Delta_3, \) and \( \alpha_3 \). As shown in Fig. 12, \( P_o \) and \( \eta \) decrease with the
increase of \( d_{12} \) and \( \Delta_3 \). However, the transmission characteristics
present less sensitivity to \( \alpha \) than to \( \delta \) and \( \Delta \), as shown in Figs.
13 and 14. The spatial scale ranges for stable \( P_o \) and \( \eta \) are \( d_{12} \leq 0.2 \text{ m}, \Delta_3 \leq 0.25 \text{ m}, \) and \( \alpha_3 \leq 90° \) under the given
specifications, which are consistent with those of the
one-variable spatial scale.

Case 3: Three-variable spatial scales

Fig. 15 shows the curve clusters of \( P_o \) and \( \eta \) versus three
spatial scales under the conditions of \( d_{23} = d_{12}, \Delta_2 = 0.5 \Delta_3, \) and \( \alpha_2 = 0.5 \alpha_3 \). The transmission characteristics decay with
the increasing axial misalignment. In a specific range (\( d_{12} \leq 0.1 \text{ m} \), lateral and angular misalignments only slightly impact
\( P_o \) and \( \eta \); thus, the curves (red) are noticeably near each other
and change smoothly. However, when \( d_{12} > 0.1 \text{ m} \), \( P_o \) and \( \eta \) are
considerably influenced by lateral and angular misalignments, as shown by the blue and green curves. Meanwhile, axial and lateral misalignments have a greater influence on the transmission characteristics than does the angular misalignment.

From the analysis above, we can deduce that the three-coil MCR WPT system can realize a stable power transfer within a specific spatial scale range if the positions of the three coils are appropriately arranged to guarantee equal or proportional mutual inductances between two adjacent coils.

The misalignment range for stable $P_o$ and $\eta$ is not fixed because the transfer characteristics of the system depend on multiple parameters. Therefore, in practice, the misalignment range for stable $P_o$ and $\eta$ is determined by the specification of the system, especially by the proportional coefficient, $k$.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

To validate the theoretical analysis, a prototype of the three-coil MCR WPT system is built in the laboratory, as shown in Fig. 16, and the specifications are listed in Table I.

Figs. 17–19 show the theoretical and experimental results of the output power and transmission efficiency versus the one-variable spatial scales, respectively, where the solid curves represent the theoretical results and the dashed ones are the experimental data.

Figs. 20–22 illustrate the curves of the output power and transmission efficiency versus the two-variable spatial scales, respectively. The experimental results are also generally consistent with the theoretical results.

Fig. 23 demonstrates the output power and transmission efficiency at three spatial scales. As depicted, the angular misalignment has less effect on the transmission characteristics than do the axial and lateral misalignments; and this finding is consistent with the analysis in Section III.

Fig. 24 shows the key experimental waveforms under different spatial scales, where $i_1$ and $i_3$ are the currents of the transmitting and receiving coils, respectively. As shown in Fig. 24, the phase difference between $i_1$ and $i_3$ is $180^\circ$. Before the switch turns on, the drain current is negative, which indicates that the drain-to-source voltage ($v_{ds}$) is clamped to zero and the switch can achieve zero-voltage-switching.

![Fig. 16. Photo of the prototype.](image)

**TABLE I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{in}$</td>
<td>24 V</td>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>16.7 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_S$</td>
<td>0.1 $\Omega$</td>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>66.87 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_s$</td>
<td>200 kHz</td>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>16.66 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1, r_2$</td>
<td>11 cm</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>40.46 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_1$</td>
<td>6 turns</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>9.425 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_2$</td>
<td>14 turns</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>40.42 nF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_3$</td>
<td>6 turns</td>
<td>$R_c$</td>
<td>5 $\Omega$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 17. Theoretical and experimental data with different axial misalignments ($d_{12} = d_{23} = 0$ m, $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0^\circ$): (a) $P_o$; (b) $\eta$.](image)

![Fig. 18. Theoretical and experimental data with different lateral misalignments ($d_{12} = d_{23} = 0.25$ m, $\Delta_2 = 0.5 \Delta_3 = 0^\circ$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0^\circ$): (a) $P_o$; (b) $\eta$.](image)

![Fig. 19. Theoretical and experimental data with different angular misalignments ($d_{12} = d_{23} = 0.25$ m, $\Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = 0^\circ$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5 \alpha_3$): (a) $P_o$; (b) $\eta$.](image)
Fig. 20. Theoretical and experimental data with different axial and lateral misalignments ($d_{12} = d_3$, $\Delta_2 = 0.5$ $\Delta_3$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0^\circ$): (a) $P_o$ ($\Delta_3 = 0$ m); (b) $\eta$ ($\Delta_3 = 0$ m); (c) $P_o$ ($\Delta_3 = 0.1$ m); (d) $\eta$ ($\Delta_3 = 0.1$ m).

Fig. 21. Theoretical and experimental value with variant lateral and angular misalignments ($d_{12} = d_3 = 0.25$ m, $\Delta_2 = 0.5$ $\Delta_3$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$ $\alpha_3$): (a) $P_o$ ($\Delta_3 = 0$ m); (b) $\eta$ ($\Delta_3 = 0$ m); (c) $P_o$ ($\Delta_3 = 0.1$ m); (d) $\eta$ ($\Delta_3 = 0.1$ m).

Fig. 22. Theoretical and experimental value with variant axial and angular misalignments: (a) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0$ m); (b) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0$ m); (c) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0.22$ m); (d) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0.22$ m).

Fig. 23. Theoretical and experimental data with different axial, lateral and angular misalignments: (a) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0.1$ m, $\alpha_3 = 0^\circ$); (b) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0.1$ m, $\alpha_3 = 0^\circ$); (c) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0.1$ m, $\alpha_3 = 90^\circ$); (d) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0.1$ m, $\alpha_3 = 90^\circ$); (e) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0.25$ m, $\alpha_3 = 0^\circ$); (f) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0.25$ m, $\alpha_3 = 0^\circ$); (g) $P_o$ ($d_{12} = 0.25$ m, $\alpha_3 = 90^\circ$); (h) $\eta$ ($d_{12} = 0.25$ m, $\alpha_3 = 90^\circ$).
V. CONCLUSIONS

This research investigates a three-coil MCR WPT system with transmitting, intermediate, and receiving coils. Via the equivalent circuit and FHA method, the output power and the transmission efficiency of the system with axial, lateral, and angular misalignments are analyzed in detail. We find that different spatial scales have varied influences on the transmission characteristics. Compared with axial and lateral misalignments, the angular misalignment has a minor impact on the system. By adjusting the position of the intermediate and receiving coils to ensure equal or proportional mutual inductances between two adjacent coils, the WPT system can achieve relatively stable transmission characteristics within a specific range of spatial scales. The conclusions are validated by experiments, whose results are consistent with those in the theoretical analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51505223, 51877103), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (NS2018020), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, China (BK20151471).

REFERENCES

Three-coil Magnetically Coupled Resonant Wireless Power Transfer System with …


Xuling Chen was born in Hunan Province, China, in 1979. She received her B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. in mechanical and electrical engineering from the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics (NUAA), Nanjing, China, in 2002, 2005, and 2011, respectively. In 2005, she joined the Faculty of the College of Automation Engineering, NUAA, where she is currently Lecturer. In 2017, she joined the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany, as Visiting Scientist. Her main research interests include wireless power transfer, mechanical and electrical engineering, and mechanical design.

Lu Chen was born in Jiangsu Province, China, in 1991. She received her B.S. and M.S in electrical engineering from NUAA, Nanjing, China, in 2014 and 2017, respectively. Her main research interests include parallel resonant converters and wireless power transfer.

Weimei Ye was born in Jiangsu Province, China, in 1993. She received her B.S. in electrical engineering and automation from NUAA, Nanjing, China, in 2016, where she is working toward obtaining her M.S. in electrical engineering. Her main research interest is wireless power transfer.

Weiwei Ye was born in Jiangsu Province, China, in 1993. She received her B.S. in electrical engineering and automation from NUAA, Nanjing, China, in 2016, where she is working toward obtaining her M.S. in electrical engineering. Her main research interest is wireless power transfer.

Weipeng Zhang was born in Shanxi Province, China in 1994. He received his B.S. in mechanical and electrical engineering from Tianjin University of Science & Technology, Tianjin, China, in 2017. He is working toward acquiring his M.S. in mechanical and electrical engineering from NUAA, Nanjing, China. His present research interest is wireless power transfer.