Biogenic Volatile Compounds for Plant Disease Diagnosis and Health Improvement
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Plants and microorganisms (microbes) use information from chemicals such as volatile compounds to understand their environments. Proficiency in sensing and responding to these infochemicals increases an organism’s ecological competence and ability to survive in competitive environments, particularly with regard to plant-pathogen interactions. Plants and microbes acquired the ability to sense and respond to biogenic volatiles during their evolutionary history. However, these signals can only be interpreted by humans through the use of state-of-the-art technologies. Newly-developed tools allow microbe-induced plant volatiles to be detected in a rapid, precise, and non-invasive manner to diagnose plant diseases. Beside disease diagnosis, volatile compounds may also be valuable in improving crop productivity in sustainable agriculture. Bacterial volatile compounds (BVCs) have potential for use as a novel plant growth stimulant or as improver of fertilizer efficiency. BVCs can also elicit plant innate immunity against insect pests and microbial pathogens. Research is needed to expand our knowledge of BVCs and to produce BVC-based formulations that can be used practically in the field. Formulation possibilities include encapsulation and sol-gel matrices, which can be used in attract and kill formulations, chemigation, and seed priming. Exploitation of biogenic volatiles will facilitate the development of smart integrated plant management systems for disease control and productivity improvement.
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The 20th century philosopher Ludwig Wittgenstein said, “The limits of my language mean the limits of my world” (Wittgenstein, 1922). By learning the communication systems of other organisms, humans may be able to expand their world view and increase their understanding of other species. Chemical communication language is the syntax of a ubiquitous biological language, and highly diffusible low molecular weight volatile compounds constitute the alphabet of this language (Mithofer and Boland, 2016). Plants and microorganisms release blends of volatile compounds to their surrounding environment. The constituents of volatile blends vary with taxon as well as with organism age and exposure to biotic and abiotic stresses (Jiang et al., 2016; Niinemets and Monson, 2013; Sharifi et al., 2018). Accordingly, volatile blends reflect the presence of emitter organisms and their physiological status, whether healthy or stressed (Niinemets et al., 2013; Sharifi et al., 2018). It is not yet clear whether emitter organisms release volatiles for deliberate communication with neighbors, but receiver organisms have evolved processes for understanding information imparted by volatiles (Heil, 2014; Sharifi and Ryu, 2018a, 2018b).

Biogenic volatiles can thus be considered as infochemicals that act as intra-species, inter-species, and inter-kingdom signal communications. For example, bacterial...
volatile compounds (BVCs) influence several steps in plant physiological development, from seed germination to harvesting time (Sharifi and Ryu, 2018a). Biogenic volatiles therefore have potential as novel fertilizers or plant stimulant in modern agriculture. Volatiles can also induce systemic defenses against biotic stress (Chung et al., 2016) and can act as inter- and intra-species signals in plants (Yi et al., 2009), and therefore have potential as novel pesticides. In recent years, biogenic volatiles have been exploited to modulate plant physiology (Sharifi and Ryu, 2016; Song et al., 2015), to detect diseased or stressed plants (Jansen et al., 2011; Niinemets et al., 2013), and to discriminate between bacterial species (Halbfeld et al., 2018; Lough et al., 2017; Spinelli et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2004).

Biogenic volatiles thus constitute a rich source of information that could be highly valuable in modern precision agriculture. For example, real-time analysis of microbe-induced plant volatiles (MIPVs) could allow rapid, non-invasive detection of plant diseases. Bacterial volatiles also have great potential for application in the field as fertilizers or as inducers of natural plant defenses (Ryu, 2015; Song and Ryu, 2013). However, practical use of biogenic volatiles in the field remains challenging due to their high vapor pressure. Research is lacking into the development of effective application formulations for BVCs. Here, we discuss how slow-release technologies such as microencapsulation and sol-gel could facilitate preparation of BVC formulations amenable to commercial application. The use of BVCs in drip irrigation systems, storage, nurseries, and open fields is also discussed.

Non-invasive diagnosis of diseased plants by volatile analysis

Plant volatiles function as infochemicals for neighboring plants and for other organisms in the phytobiome. Infected or injured plants release blends of volatile compounds that differ from those released by healthy plants (Sharifi et al., 2018). Plant volatiles could be used to detect infected plants during early stages of disease development, earlier than detection was possible using other methods (Aksenov et al., 2014; Cellini et al., 2016; Martinelli et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2004). Here, we review the most recent developments in non-invasive detection of MIPVs. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is a basic analytical method for volatile detection that can be used to measure the relative amount of each compound in volatile emissions. Several methods have been developed for sampling and pre-concentration of volatiles from plant samples either in lab or field conditions. In passive (static headspace) sampling methods, volatile traps by absorbent material in headspace of plant sample. The adsorbed compounds will release in thermal desorption system of GC. Head space (HS)-solid phase microextraction (SPME) is a common passive sample preparation method for plant volatiles (Deasy et al., 2016; Dettmer and Engewald, 2002; Kallenbach et al., 2014; Tholl et al., 2006). However, because low amounts of absorbent fiber (polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)) are employed in SPME (less than 0.5 µl), stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE) was subsequently developed, which employs a thicker volume of coating fiber on a stir bar to increase the capability and sensitivity of volatile sampling (Kallenbach et al., 2014). Kallenbach et al. (2015) proposed “silicone tubing” as a cheap, fast, high-throughput method for headspace sampling, and this method performed well in field experiments. In passive sampling methods, plant part en-closed in a plastic or glass bag. This chamber alter humidity, temperature, and light spectrum around plant organs and subsequently change volatile emission. To address this limitation, direct-contact sorptive extraction were developed in which a magnetic PDMS coated stir bar held on leaf by a magnet (Kfoury et al., 2017). This method do not need any chamber and can be used in field condition. Passive volatile sampling methods could be adapt for root system. Volatiles can be sampled from soil headspace by SPME method. However, it is better to collect volatiles from the rhizosphere. Eilers et al. (2015) exploited two perforated polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) discs to make chamber in bottom of pot. Plant roots passed through the top disc en-tering the chamber and emitted volatiles from roots were trapped by PDMS tubes. Later, Deasy et al. (2016) insert some air permeable PTFE tubes around root to collect rhizosphere volatiles. SPME apparatuses were mounted on tubes allowing temporal root volatile sampling in field condition. In dynamic headspace sampling methods, air continuously pumped over plant headspace and volatiles traps and enriches in adsorbent materials such as active charcoal, Tenax, Carbotrap X and Carboxen. Dynamic sampling prevent headspace accumulation of volatiles that may have negative impact on plant volatile emission (Gallego et al., 2010; Giacomuzzi et al., 2016; Quintana-Rodriguez et al., 2015). In compared to air flow in open systems, closed-loop-stripping-analysis is a dynamic sampling method em-ploying a closed system which minimizes air contaminants and increase its ability to traps low amount of volatiles. However, this method need solvent extraction step and is unable to detect compounds that their mass is below solvent mass such as methanol, carbon dioxide, acetaldehyde and ethanol (Giacomuzzi et al., 2017). Some of dynamic
sampling methods use adsorbent suitable for thermal desorption in GC. As different adsorbents have different affinity for volatile compounds it is better to use multiple layer of adsorbents in a multi-sorbent tube (Gallego et al., 2010).

There are two main disadvantages to the current GC-MS method: 1) GC-MS takes precise snapshots of volatile profiles, but volatile organic compound (VOC) emission occurs dynamically in nature; and 2) GC-MS requires the use of heavy laboratory equipment which cannot be used in the field. To overcome the pitfalls of GC-MS and employ the technique under in situ conditions, Contreras et al. (2008) invented a portable GC-TMS, which was later improved by adding a dynamic needle trap system for on-line detection of plant volatiles (Beck et al., 2015). Beck et al. (2015) showed that this method could differentiate between damaged and healthy Centaurea solstitialis in the natural environment. Portable GC-MS could efficiently discriminate between stem rot and anthracnose diseases of mango using 1-pentanol and ethyl boronate as marker volatiles for stem rot and thujol as a marker of anthracnose (Moalemiyan et al., 2006). Aksenov et al. (2014) coupled differential mobility spectrometry (DMS) with GC to detect citrus greening disease in plants at early stages of disease development. The portable GC/DMS device proved more effective than qPCR in detecting the unculturable phloem-limiting bacterium Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum in apples under field conditions. Other powerful real-time instruments such as selected ion flow tube (SIFT)-MS and proton transfer reaction (PTR)-MS are also available for analyzing plant volatiles. PTR-TOF-MS is more sensitive than SIFT-MS for real-time VOC detection (Biasioli et al., 2011; Materic et al., 2015). PTR-MS allows partial identification of volatiles and is a powerful tool for the rapid monitoring of changes in volatile emissions over time (Fig. 1). PTR-MS is more suitable for the detection of low molecular mass volatiles such as ethanol, methanol, and propanol than GC-MS (Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015); however, the lack of a capillary column in PTR-MS makes the technique unsuitable for separating isomeric analytes of the same molecular mass. Ruzsanyi and colleagues (2013) installed a 20 cm long multi-capillary column at the inlet of the PTR-TOFMS system, and this allowed the efficient separation and quantification of aldehydes with the same fragmentation pattern and separation of the isomeric ketones 3-heptanone and 2-methyl-3-hexanone. This method holds promise for the precise, non-invasive detection of MIPVs in near real time (Fig. 1).

Non-destructive detection of diseased plants through analysis of volatile profiles is likely to become widespread in modern agriculture. However, the heavy bench-top instruments that have been traditionally employed are not conducive to field, commercial greenhouse, or storage applications. In recent years, portable user-friendly devices such as E-nose, portable GC-MS, and GC/DMS have been developed to address this challenge (Fig. 1). The E-nose instrument contains an array of electronic chemical sensors, such as metal-oxide and conductive polymers, which change in their electrical conductivity upon interaction with volatiles. These conductivity changes can then be assessed by multivariate analysis techniques such as principal component analysis and cluster analysis to differentiate between samples. The E-nose technology is thus able to differentiate between sample volatile profiles but is not designed
to detect individual volatiles. Biondi et al. (2014) used E-nose for detection of bacterial brown and ring rot in commercial potato storage conditions, with efficient detection of diseased tubers achieved in 90% of samples. E-nose was potentially able to detect a single infected asymptomatic tuber within a 10 kg box of healthy tubers (de Lacy Costello et al., 2000). E-nose can also be used in complex situations. Not only could the instrument discriminate plant species, but it was also able to distinguish between wounded, pest-infested, and pathogen-infected plants in experimental conditions (Laothawornkitkul et al., 2008). Cellini et al. (2016) assessed GC-MS, PTR-MS, and E-nose for early detection of fire blight on apple plants. E-nose was identified as the best technique for detection of disease plants but not for identification of volatiles. Overall, the E-nose is a simple, portable, easy-to-use device that can be used for early detection of stressed plants (Fig. 1).

Overall, researchers can choose appropriate methods in plant disease detection based on their criteria such as sensitivity, specificity, speed, in situ application, non-destructive and real-time application. Vast array of volatile analysis methods can provide high speed, non-invasive and in field detection of plant disease in early stage of disease development. But, molecular methods such as real-time PCR are quantitative and highly specific in detection of pathogen species. It is also noteworthy that volatiles emission is a dynamic phenomenon, under control of ecological stimulus and physiological conditions. Volatiles emission could be affected spatially and temporally by plant phenological stage, damage severity, circadian clock, type of plant-pathogen interaction and saprophytic colonization of necrotized tissues (Attaran et al., 2009; Giacomuzzi et al., 2017; Sharifi et al., 2018). So, standardization of sampling conditions has important role as same as choosing proper instrumental tools. It is just possible to compare results from same plant phonological stage in same diurnal time and same stage in disease development. This seem to be important issue in case of hemibiotrophic pathogens which have both biotrophic and necrotrophic interaction with plant.

Preparations of biogenic volatile compounds towards field application

Most research into the activities of plant-growth-promoting BVCs utilize a bipartite Petri dish (referred to as I-plate) design (Bailly and Weisskopf, 2012), with plants on one side of the plate and the emitter bacteria on the other side, both growing on MS media (Ryu et al., 2003). Several media have been used for growth of volatile-producing bacteria. In general, volatiles from bacteria grown on poor media enhance plant growth, whereas volatiles from bacteria grown on rich media inhibit plant growth (Asari et al., 2016; Blom et al., 2011). Bacteria produce HCN, H2S, and NH3, all volatile nonorganic compounds, in glycine and protein-rich media, and these have inhibitory effects on plants (Piechulla and Schnitzler, 2016). However, these studies were all obtained using Petri dish tests, and production of BVCs in soil is affected by several factors such as nutrient and oxygen availability, pH and temperature of soil, soil texture (Effmert et al., 2012; Wheatley, 2002), and bacterial GacS/GacA regulatory system (Cheng et al., 2016; Ossowicki et al., 2017). Further studies are needed to evaluate the types and concentrations of BVCs or individual volatiles that improve plant growth under natural conditions. Park et al. (2015) designed an experiment to investigate the effects of volatiles under soil conditions. A single colony of Pseudomonas fluorescens SS101 was placed in a chamber at the bottom of a pot and the chamber was covered with a filter. The pot was filled with soil and tobacco seeds were sown. Volatiles produced by P. fluorescens approximately doubled the plant fresh weight in that experiment. The same experiment was performed on tomato plants (Tahir et al., 2017), and B. subtilis SYST2 BVCs significantly increased tomato growth and photosynthesis. Together, these results indicate that bacterial volatiles could be effective even under natural conditions.

Production of BVCs is affected by several environmental conditions. As a consequence, results from application of emitter bacteria in natural conditions are not always reproducible. Experimental application of pure volatiles can yield more reproducible results, though it should be noted that the plant growth activity of BVCs is usually concentration dependent. VOC concentrations can vary in the 30-200 ng/g range on different soil types (Minnich, 1993) and plants can sense and respond to trace amounts of volatiles at levels below 120 parts per trillion (Shiojiri et al., 2012). Some pure volatiles are active in this range (Piechulla et al., 2017). Ryu et al. (2003) showed that 100 ng of 2,3-butanediol was the optimum amount for increasing Arabidopsis leaf surface area. The effects of higher concentrations of 2,3-butanediol were not significantly different from those of water. A similar result was obtained for 0.63 ng of indole (Yu and Lee, 2013), 50 ng of 13-tetradecadien-1-ol (Park et al., 2015), and 0.75 µM dimethylhexadecylamine (Veláquez-Becerra et al., 2011). Optimum concentrations are specific to each BVC/plant combination. For example, optimal concentrations of dimethyl hexadecylamine were not the same in sorghum and alfalfa (Castulo-Rubio et al., 2015; Veláquez-Becerra et al., 2011). Application of pure...
BVCs has been tested in the field. The pure volatiles 3-pentanol and 2-butanone increased fruit production in cucumber by 6- and 4-fold, respectively, under field conditions (Song and Ryu, 2013), and soaking seedlings in 1 mM 3-pentanol enhanced pepper resistance to two pathogens under field conditions (Choi et al., 2014). These results indicate that plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) volatiles have great potential to enhance plant growth and health under field conditions. BVCs might thus be considered as emerging gaseous fertilizers in modern agriculture.

Volatile compounds generally have high vapor pressure and are highly diffusible in air and water (Chung et al., 2016), characteristics that are advantageous for intra- and inter-specific communications. However, these properties are the main challenges for creating formulations of BVCs that can be used in agricultural applications. Volatiles are unstable and prone to react with highly reactive chemicals, and also have a short half-life and evaporate rapidly under natural conditions (Bakry et al., 2016). However, long-term exposure to BVCs seems to be necessary for plants to induce beneficial effects (Xie et al., 2009).

**(Micro) encapsulation.** BVC formulations suitable for use in field applications do not appear to have been developed to date. However, other scientific disciplines have explored formulations of molecules with similar characteristics, such as pheromones and food flavorings, and these technologies could be easily adapted to develop effective formulations of BVCs. Researchers and companies usually use encapsulation or microencapsulation methods to protect sensitive substances such as flavors and pheromones (Bakry et al., 2016). In these technologies, the active compounds are enclosed in a polymer shell or coat. Encapsulation can increase the half-life and stability of these compounds and can also impart slow-release properties and protection from oxidation, UV, evaporation, and microbial degradation (Bansode et al., 2010). Different methods can be used for the encapsulation of volatile compounds, such as emulsion extrusion, coacervation, and spray drying (Fig. 2) (Bansode et al., 2010; Bakry et al., 2016). During encapsulation, the active ingredient, a polymer, and an emulsifier in water solution are homogenized together to form an emulsion. Common polymers are alginate, gelatin, starch, and carboxymethyl cellulose. This emulsion is subsequently used in different methods to produce capsules or microcapsules. In spray drying, the emulsion is rapidly sprayed into a gradient heat chamber. The outer layer of sprayed particles dries quickly, forming a coat that protects the active ingredient. However, use of this process for volatiles requires optimization as volatiles are sensitive to high temperatures. Spray drying volatiles and optimization of the procedures is explained in the literature (Rosenberg et al., 1990; Soottitantawat et al., 2003). In the extrusion method, the emulsion is sprayed or dropped onto a cross-linking agent (gellan) solution, and polymers then form a layer around the active ingredients. These microcapsules are separated using methods such as vacuum separation with 0.4 micron filters. Common gellans are CaCl₂ for alginate, Al₂(SO₄)₃ for carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), and tripolyphosphate for chitosan. The harvested microcapsules have nanopores at the surface that allow slow release of volatiles. For long-term storage, these pores can be partially sealed by temperature treatment (Fig. 2).

Encapsulation of the aphid attractant β-caryophyllene in alginate beads provided volatile release for 15 days under field conditions (Heuskin et al., 2012). Gelatin microencapsulation preserved basil essential oil under adverse storage conditions at 60°C for 49 days. Exposure to BVCs for periods less than 11 days were sufficient to elicit long-term beneficial effects on plant health (Ledger et al., 2016).
In the coacervation method, two polymers with opposite charges such as gelatin and Arabic gum are added to the emulsion and the polymers are then deposited on the surface of the active ingredient particles. Reducing pH and temperature facilitates shell formation, and glutaraldehyde or formaldehyde can be used as cross-linking agent for hardening the microparticles (Xiao et al., 2014), after which microcapsules can be harvested. Coacervation is suitable for hydrophobic volatiles but is of limited use for hydrophilic compounds (Xiao et al., 2014). Coacervation is the most commonly used technology for microencapsulation of insect pheromones such as dodecanol and dodecyl acetate (Yu et al., 2012). When all technologies are considered, the extrusion method, especially using alginate, offers a cheap and easy production method, but spray drying is more suitable when large-scale production is needed (Bakry et al., 2016).

**Sol-gel technology.** Recently, researchers developed a new method, sol-gel technology, for microencapsulation of volatile compounds such as food flavorings. This method uses a matrix instead of beads. Alkoxide precursors such as tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) and tetramethoxy orthosilicate (TMOS) are hydrolyzed by water in the presence of an acid or base catalyzer to produce silanols. Silanols bind to each other to form siloxane molecules in a condensation reaction. In the next step, silanol and siloxane molecules bind to each other in the presence of an acid or base, and form a chain. Eventually, these chains form a matrix with small micropores (Buckley and Greenblatt, 1994). Sol-gel is a qualified formulation for controlled release of volatiles. The cross-linking in the sol-gel process can be managed to produce transplants such as tomato and rice. Volatiles from *Streptomyces*, *Hypoxylon* and *Candida* inhibit spoilage of several fruits in small fruit containers (Arrarte et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2011; Macías-Rubalcava et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2008). Volatiles of *Streptomyces philantli* suppress *Rhizoctonia solani* on rice leaf segments in small containers (Boukaew et al., 2013). The effects of these volatile preparations are yet to be confirmed in transplant nurseries (Fig. 3).

**Attract and kill formulations for pest control.** Insects search for hosts for feeding and oviposition by means of plant volatile lures (Heil, 2014; Turlings and Erb, 2018). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles also attract parasitoid wasps (Kaplan, 2017; Turlings and Erb, 2018) and entomopathogenic nematodes (Rasmann et al., 2005) and fungi (Lin et al., 2016), a phenomenon termed ‘cry for help’. Artificial blends of volatiles can be used to trap pests in the greenhouse and field (Bian et al., 2018; Cai et al., 2017). A combination of (Z)-3-hexenol, (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate, and linalool at a mass ratio of 0.6:23:12.6 was highly attractive to the tree leafhopper *Empoasca onukii* (Bian et al., 2018). Incorporation of commercial pesticides such as spinosad and thiodicarb with the attractive plant volatiles produces attracticide formulations (Martel et al., 2007). Conventional pesticide content can be reduced by more than 90% in these novel formulations (Martel et al., 2007). Odor backgrounds are present in the field, and it is necessary to exclude background volatiles in artificial attractive volatile blends (Cai et al., 2017). Attract and kill formulations must also be tested for their safety to non-target organisms,

Application of biogenic volatile compounds as plant stimulant and protectant

**Field and small volume containers.** Bead and matrix formulations both release volatiles in a continuous manner. These formulations could be applied in the open field, in small volume transplant nurseries, and for fruit storage in small volume container. There are examples of application of plant volatiles and insect pheromones in field conditions. Microcapsule beads containing *E*-β-farnesene and *E*-β-caryophyllene attracted insects efficiently under field conditions (Heuskin et al., 2012), with release periods longer than 15 days. Peach twig borer insects were captured in traps baited with a sol-gel formulation of (E)-5-decenyl acetate under field conditions (Zada et al., 2009). The oriental fruit moth was controlled with a microcapsule formulation of 125 ml/hectare of “MEC-OFM phase V” (Il’Ichev et al., 2006) where MEC-OFM phase V was applied using a pesticide sprayer (Il’Ichev et al., 2006). Microcapsules of BVCs could be sprayed onto aerial plant parts with normal pesticide sprayers, but could also be inserted close to seeds in soil using standard seeders. The best option for volatile applications is small volume containers, such as fruit containers in storage and cold-frame nurseries that produce transplants such as tomato and rice. Volatiles from *Streptomyces*, *Hypoxylon* and *Candida* inhibit spoilage of several fruits in small fruit containers (Arrarte et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2011; Macías-Rubalcava et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2008). Volatiles of *Streptomyces philantli* suppress *Rhizoctonia solani* on rice leaf segments in small containers (Boukaew et al., 2013). The effects of these volatile preparations are yet to be confirmed in transplant nurseries (Fig. 3).
particularly parasitoid wasps and honey bees, before their commercial development and release. Yazdani and Baker (2017) reported that the commercial attractive formulation Magnet® was not attractive to the parasitoid *Diadegma semiclausum*. However, plant volatiles are highly volatile and unstable and would benefit from application in slow-release formulations. A sol-gel formulation of (E)-2-hexenal with an 8:2 ratio of TMOS:methyltrimethoxysilane (MTMOS) supported effective volatile release and attraction of black citrus aphid for 17 days (Bian et al., 2014). Attract and kill formulations are promising technologies that could allow effective control of plant pests while reducing impact on the environment and protecting human health (Fig. 3). Attract and kill formulations are promising technologies that could allow effective control of plant pests while reducing impact on the environment and protecting human health (Fig. 3). In addition to attracticide formulations, plant volatiles can be used in the Push–Pull system or in artificial volatile dispensers to attractive parasitoids or repel herbivores (It is reviewed by Stenberg et al. (2015)).

**Chemigation.** Like BVCs, agricultural fumigants such as methyl iodide, metham sodium, 1,3-dichloropropene, and methyl isothiocyanate have high vapor pressures (Ajwa et al., 2002). BVCs could therefore be applied using fumigant application methods such as shank injection to the soil. Recent research showed that drip irrigation of fumigants was more effective than shank injection (Ajwa et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2011). This method, termed chemigation, could be used in crops grown on plastic mulch. BVCs under plastic mulch can easily diffuse to the soil and kill pathogens or be perceived by plants as infochemicals. Precise amounts of BVCs could be applied in chemigation systems by means of water flow meters and pressure regulators. Chemical injection units similar to those described by Zhu et al. (2011) could be used as the basis for development of more suitable systems for application of BVCs. Water solubility of BVCs is an important factor for chemigation. Several BVCs such as acetoin (100 g/l), indole (1.9 g/l), and dimethyl disulfide (2.5 g/l) are highly soluble in water. For lipophilic compounds, emulsifiable concentrate formulations composed

---

**Fig. 3.** Uses of biogenic volatiles in plant health applications. Microcapsule and sol-gel formulations of biogenic volatile compounds can be used in a range of situations: 1. Storage application; 2. Greenhouse application; 3. Insect pest control; 4. Open-field application; and 5. Seed priming.
of a blend of active ingredient, organic solvent, and emulsifier may need to be developed for chemigation (Fig. 3).

**Seed priming.** Seeds can be primed with BVCs. Seed priming with biological and chemical material is common practice in agriculture and horticulture. Primed seeds exhibit more uniform germination and emergence and are more resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses compared to untreated seeds (Jisha et al., 2013). Application of indole increased seed germination in Chinese cabbage by up to 40% in Petri dish conditions, indicating that BVCs have potential as priming agents (Yu and Lee, 2013). Further research is needed to determine the viability and practicality of this approach (Fig. 3).

**Perspectives**

Blends of volatile compounds can be biological indicators of the taxonomic position of an organism. However, the volatile blends produced by organisms also change in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. Conspecific neighbor and ecological counterpart species have learned to monitor the blends of volatile compounds and interpret the information therein during evolutionary history. If the language of volatile signals can be understood, these compounds can be exploited to improve agricultural productivity. Careful exploration of this chemical language is necessary to develop blends that are beneficial and non-harmful to the environment or human health. Safe applications include the non-invasive detection of plant diseases, but field applications of bacterial volatiles to control pests and diseases will require a more cautious approach. In conclusion, the chemical language of VOCs has great potential for exploitation in modern agriculture.
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