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Abstract

Background: The pathogenesis of sporadic colorectal cancer (CRC) is influenced by the patient genetic background and environmental factors. Based on prior understanding, these are classified in two major pathways of genetic instability. Microsatellite instability (MSI) and CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) are categorized as features of the hypermethylated prototype, and chromosomal instability (CIN) is known to be indicative of the non-hypermethylated category. Secreted frizzled related protein 2 (SFRP2), APC1A in WNT signaling pathway and the DNA repair gene, O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), are frequently hypermethylated in colorectal cancer. Detection of methylated DNA as a biomarker by easy and inexpensive methods might improve the quality of life of patients with CRC via early detection of cancer or a precancerous condition. Aim: To evaluate the rate of SFRP2 and MGMT hypermethylation in both polyp tissue and serum of patients in south Iran as compared with matched control normal population corresponding samples. Materials and Methods: Methylation-specific PCR was used to detect hypermethylation in DNA extracted from 48 polypoid tissue samples and 25 healthy individuals. Results: Of total polyp samples, 89.5% had at least one promoter gene hypermethylation. The most frequent methylated locus was SFRP2 followed by MGMT-B (81.2% and 66.6 percent respectively). Serologic detection of hypermethylation was 95% sensitive as compared with polyp tissue. No hypermethylation was detected in normal tissue and serum and its detection in patients with polyps, especially of serrated type, was specific. Conclusions: Serologic investigation for detection of MGMT-B, SFRP2 hypermethylation could facilitate prioritization of high risk patients for colonoscopy polyp detection and excision.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third common cancer in the world with high mortality and morbidity. Although Iran is still a low risk country for CRC, but recent studies are in favour of rapid increasing in its incidence, especially in young people, approaching the western rates (Bishehsari et al., 2014). Remarkable increases in the sporadic CRC incidence are also seen in other Asian countries such as Japan, Singapore, Hong Kong, etc (Stoffel and Boland, 2015). Sporadic CRC which is known as a somatic genetic disease and epigenetic deregulation due to environmental hazards (Carethers and Jung, 2015). Other studies have also shown that distribution of colorectal cancer is strongly related to environmental factors (Hassanzade et al., 2011; Bishehsari et al., 2014). Unfortunately the burden of disease will increase dramatically in near future especially in young male & female population. The most important factor for this phenomena changes is dietary habits and lifestyle changes (Feldman and Friedman, 2010).

Based on previous molecular epidemiologic studies most sporadic CRCs possess normal-adenoma-cancer progression by initiating adenomas that transform into cancer over 1-2 decade. So generalized screening of proper age population with high quality strategic planning and good performance would be effective for reducing mortality and morbidities of CRCs. This can detect early stage cancers and pre-cancerous state such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and adenoma. Desirable test for screening should also be simple, non-invasive, and acceptable for patients and physician with desirable with acceptable sensitivity and specificity. (Stracci et al., 2014; Leggett and Hewett, 2015)

Of available CRC screening methods; Fecal Occult Blood Test (FOBT) and Fecal Immunological Test (FIT) are two non-invasive methods with low sensitivity and specificity. Both have false (positive and negative) results, so should be substitute to more sensitive markers (Fisher
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et al., 2006; Levin et al., 2008). Both double-contrast barium enema and virtual colonoscopy are sensitive, but are with important limitation due to radiation exposure and no chance of diagnostic and therapeutic resections (Feldman and Friedman, 2010) Proctosigmoidoscopy with direct visualization and the opportunity to perform biopsy and excision is a proper test for left colon evaluation. Colonoscopy is known as the gold standard method for detection of all colon lesions. On the other hand, even efficient colonoscopy evaluation with direct lesions detection, contract with its important limitations such invasiveness, specialist dependency, time-consuming and not being accepted by the patients.(Feldman and Friedman, 2010; Salehi et al., 2012). So it is mandatory to perform complementary simple, non-invasive, easily available and reliable methods to set priorities for high risk patients to be evaluated with colonoscopy.

Genetic alterations during initiation, transformation and progression of normal colonic stem cells into a precancerous state (adenomatous and serrated polyps), neoplastic, and metastatic cells is the outcome of complex and diverse pathogenesis with different molecular pathways.

The molecular pathogenesis and genetic alterations of sporadic CRC are significantly heterogeneous, but are classified in two major pathways of genetic instability. Of these, microsatellite instability (MSI) and CPG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) are categorized as hyper mutated prototype (16% of sporadic CRCs), and chromosomal instability (CIN) is known as non-hyper methylated category (84% of sporadic CRCs). Hyper mutated group is the result of deficient DNA mismatch repair gene with high microsatellite instability (MSI) and BRAFV600E mutation. CIMP phenotype CRC is seen in nearly 20% with significant overlap with MSI CRCs and some non-hypermutated cancers. Non-hypermutated group is microsatellite stable, has proficient DNA with multiple somatic copy number alterations and aneuploidy in cancers with loss of heterozygosity of tumor suppressor genes such as APC and P53. These also contain oncogenic activation of KRAS and PIK3CA. Elevated microsatellite alteration at selected tetrancotide is associated with metastatic behavior in both hyper and non-hyper mutated groups. CIMP positive tumors with aberrant hypermethylated CPG dinucleotides are poor prognostic and exhibit frequent KRAS and BRAF mutations (Okugawa et al., 2015).

Inactivated DNA mismatch repair (MMR) by hypermethylation or MLH1, MSH2, MSH6 gene mutation (MSI phenotype is represented in familial Lynch syndrome CRCs and sporadic type. Hyper methylated MLH1 and BRAF V600E mutation are more frequently in sporadic CRC. On the other hand, APC or B- catenin gene (CTNNB1) alterations are not seen in sessile serrated polyp and traditional serrated adenoma but BRAF/KRAS mutations along with CIMP are frequently (Okugawa et al., 2015).

Detection of abnormal genetic pathways in abnormal tissue (cancer, polyp or chronic inflame mucosa), serum and stool are the base of new screening methods for early premalignant lesions and colorectal cancers (Summers et al., 2013). Molecular DNA studies and biomarker detection also not only could facilitate diagnostic approach for precancerous lesions, early detection of CRCs, and precancerous state can predict patient prognosis beyond staging and improve therapeutic decisions making with reducing metastatic risks or drug responsiveness (Okugawa et al., 2015). Not only gene mutations are known to be important in cancer formation, but also epigenetic alteration has been recognised as significant contributors. These alterations by aberrant DNA methylation, abnormal histone modification, and altered in non-coding RNAs expression are referred as different alterations, without changes in the DNA sequences (Okugawa et al., 2015).

Based on pathogenesis DNA, RNA, micro- RNA, epigenetic changes, protein and even antibody may be detected as biomarkers in body fluids (Summers et al., 2013; Takane et al., 2014) On the other hand, genetic and epigenetic alternations seen in serum are identical to those found in primary human cancers (Wang and Tang, 2008) Of these, detection of epigenetic changes especially DNA methylation is more simple and easier than genetic mutations (Takane et al., 2014). Many of the human genes particularly tumor suppressor genes (TSG) can be silenced by the hypermethylation of CpG islands (Herman and Baylin, 2003; Kim et al., 2010). Inactivation or loss of TSG products increases cell proliferation, which lead to malignant transformation (Herman and Baylin, 2003).

Transcription of various genes belonging to the B-Catenin /WNT pathway (SFRP, MCC) signaling pathway and DNA mismatch repair gene (MLH1) are inactivated by methylation. In addition, down-regulation of WNT key genes such as APC1A; SFRP2 and Repair genes are prerequisite for the development of CRC (Coppedè et al., 2014).

Methylated DNA derived from early stage of cancer can be detected not only in tumor tissue as well as in plasma or serum (Lofton-Day et al., 2008; Herbst et al., 2011; De Maio et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014).

Various studies show that there is a high level of circulating methylated DNA in peripheral blood of patients with malignancies including CRC in different population (Heitzer et al., 2015). SEPT9 methylation which is known as the first commercial DNA methylation test for the diagnosis of early colorectal cancer CRC was confirmed in nearly 8000 subjects with a specificity of approximately 90.0% (Heitzer et al., 2015) SDC2 as another novel, sensitive, and specific marker was identified for the detection of early-stage CRC(Tanzer et al., 2010; Oh et al., 2013). Moreover, other researchers identified blood-based molecular biomarkers including TMEFF2, NGFR (Kim et al., 2010; Herbst et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014) and 12 genes (COL4A2, TSPYL5, TMEFF2, RASSF2, SPG20, EDIL3, CIDEB, ADAMTS1, EFHD1, STOX2, PPP1R3C, and UCHL1) (Takane et al., 2014) as useful screening marker in plasma samples with CRC. Interestingly, as compared to healthy population, methylated ALX4 and SEPT9 were reported more frequent in patients’ peripheral blood with colorectal cancer and polyps (Oh et al., 2013). FBN2 and TCERG1L as cancer-specific genes with frequent methylation were seen in early stages of colorectal cancer during adenoma formation (Yi et al., 2016).
In other study, four genes, including BMP3, EYA2, ALX4, and vimentin were methylated in serum and stool of the majority of both colorectal cancers and premalignant adenomas (Zou et al., 2007). Furthermore, CA72-4, NEUROG1, TIMP-3+, TAC1, SEPT9 and EYA4 have been evaluated as biomarkers for adenoma and early CRC detection (Lofton-Day et al., 2008).

In spite of serum, other researchers reported the detection of SFRP2 and FBN1 in stool as a powerful screening method for CRC (Muller et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2007) TFPI2, GATA4, NIDR4 and vimentin are suitable marker for cancer detection in stool and other body fluids determined by Lu et al. (Lu et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2014).

Up to date, no genetic defects have been reported in the MGMT gene in human cancer. However transcriptional silencing of this gene were seen in multiple tumor associated with hypermethylation of the CpG Island in its promoter zone (Shen et al., 2005). MGMT promoter methylation was detected with higher sensitivity in CSF specimens as compared to the serum for glioma patients. MGMT promoter methylation in CSF may provide a method for early diagnosis, treatment, monitoring of recurrence and prognosis of patients with glioma (Wang et al., 2015) Methylation of MGMT is also detected in gastric and colorectal cancers (Hibi et al., 2009) Furthermore, methylation of hMLH1, CDKN2A/p16, and MGMT revealed specific methylation profiles for tubular adenomas, tubulovillous/villous adenomas, and colorectal cancers (Psokaki et al., 2010).

MGMT promoter hypermethylation as an early event in human colorectal tumorigenesis and small colorectal adenoma is linked to the appearance of G to A mutations in the KRAS oncogene (Esteller et al., 1999). MGMT detection is a high sensitive marker in serum to predict colorectal cancer (Esteller et al., 1999). MGMT promoter has two loci, which were described as MGMT-A and MGMT-B. Based in our recent study (Mokarram et al., 2013) the methylation silencing of MGMT-B rather than MGMT-A was significantly associated with KRAS gene mutation in CRC patients but not in normal tissues. In another study, recently it was demonstrated that hypermethylation of the MGMT-B and the SFRP2 gene promoter regions might be involved in IBD development and methylation of MGMT-B in IBD patients. So its detection may provide a method for early production of IBD-associated neoplasia (Mokarram et al., 2015).

Based on these results, this is hypothesized that methylation of MGMT-B might be responsible for dysregulation of signaling through KRAS mutation in transforming to polyp lesions progressing to malignant mass. It is well established that the WNT signalling pathway involving b-catenin has a crucial role in the development of colorectal carcinomas through the conventional adenoma-carcinoma sequence (Murakami et al., 2015). Differences in APC expression caused by promoter methylation are also selected to WNT signalling as an early event in colorectal tumors and early polyp lesions (Segditsas et al., 2008). SFRP2 methylation may also reflect the malignant potential of adenomas. Thus, patients with faecal hyper methylated SFRP2 may belong to high-risk individuals and need more sensitive and precise clinical examinations and follow-up (Huang et al., 2007).

Serum SFRP2 methylation status also represents as a non-invasive marker for CRC detection and staging and hypermethylated SFRP2 may have prognostic relevance in patients with CRC (Tang et al., 2011).

SFRP2 methylation in faecal DNA increases significantly from healthy controls to patients with hyperplastic polyps and to patients with adenomas. SFRP2 methylation may serve as a marker for molecular stool-based adenoma and CRC screening (Oberwalder et al., 2008).

Moreover, ITGA4, SFRP2 and p16 promoter methylation in stool samples indicates high sensitivity and specificity for the detection of epigenetic abnormalities in patients with colorectal adenomas and cancers (Chang et al., 2010). On the other hand combination of GATA5 and SFRP2 methylation could be promising as a marker for the detection and diagnosis of CRC and adenomas (Zhang et al., 2007). DNA methylation of p14ARF, RASSF1A and APC1A genes, defines a poor prognosis subset of CRC patients independently of both tumor stage and differentiation (Zhang et al., 2007).

Therefore, different studies suggest that down-regulation of Wnt key genes such as APC1a; SFRP2 and repair genes are prerequisite for the development of CRC. In this study for the first time, early alteration of APC1A, SFRP2 and MGMT-B genes methylation were evaluated in patients’ polyp tissues, serum as compared with normal matched control tissues. These epigenetic alterations may have probable potential reliable clinical biomarkers for diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic applications of polyp-CRCs transformation.

Materials and Methods

Patients and sample (polyp, normal mucosa and serum specimens)

During 2 years (2011–2013), all patients who referred to clinical centers affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and had polyp lesions in colonoscopic evaluation, were reevaluated by one gastroenterologist to include patients with new onset polyp, not previously treated with negative family history of CRCs or IBD to be included in this study. Of 54 patients polyp tissues 48 polyp samples as well as corresponding normal tissues were included in this research. Corresponding serum of this patients were also obtained, but due to limited availability of kit, only 25 patients’ serum samples were evaluated. Serum and mucosal tissue biopsy of 25 matched healthy volunteer with normal colonoscopic evaluation were included as control group. The patients were grouped based on patients ‘age, (≥ 35 and <53), location (proximal and distal or right and left) and histologic changes (sessile serrated/adenoma polyp with hyperplasia, traditional serrated adenoma and adenoma with serrated architecture) of polyps. All enrolled individuals provided written informed consent. Ethics committee and institutional review board of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences approved the study. Fresh
samples including tissue and serum were snap-frozen and stored at -70°C until processing.

**Extraction of DNA and Methylation specific PCR**

Genomic DNA was extracted from samples, as described in previous research, we used the standard phenol/chloroform method for DNA extraction from fresh polyp samples (Mokarram et al., 2008).

We determined promoter methylation status of MGMT, SFRP2 and APC1A genes by chemical treatment with sodium bisulfite and subsequent MSP, as described in our previous research (Mokarram et al., 2008). In brief, this technique uses bisulfate modification to convert unmethylated, but not methylated cytosine to uracil. MSP utilizes this difference to amplify specifically either methylated or unmethylated DNA.

25 serum from healthy participants as well as 25 serum from polyp patient were selected for direct methylation assay by zymoresearch kit (EZ DNA Methylation-Direct™ Kit D5020).

The hot-start methylation specific PCR (MS-PCR) reactions were performed in a 50 µL reaction volume containing 25 pmol of sense and 0.2 mmol/L dNTPs, and 80 ng bisulfite-modified DNA in 1X PCR buffer provided by Taq enzyme supplier. The reaction mixture was denatured at 95°C for 5 min, after which 1.5 U Taq polymerase was added; then amplified by 40 cycles, each consisting of 30 s denaturation at 95°C, proper annealing temperature for each gene (Table 1) and 30 s polymerization at 72°C, followed by a single 10-min extension at 72°C.

Locus specific PCR primers for three genes MGMT-B, SFRP2, APC1A were specifically designed for methylation specific PCR and located at each gene promoter region. The sequences, annealing temperature of each primer used for amplification and PCR products size were described in Table 1.

The universal methylated DNA (chemicon) was used as positive control for methylated alleles of MGMT-B, SFRP2 and APC1A and DNA from normal lymphocytes was used as the negative control. 10 µL of amplified PCR products were mixed with 5 µL of loading dye and electrophoresed on 2.5% agarose gel containing gel red with TBE buffer and visualized under UV illumination.

**Statistical analysis**

As compared to DNA methylation assay of polyp tissues (as a gold standard) the sensitivity and specificity of the serum DNA assays were calculated (with 95% confidence interval (CI)). To compare the characteristics of the different groups of patients, χ² tests and Fisher’s exact tests were used. All statistical tests were performed using SPSS version 11.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All values were two-sided and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Distribution of selected polyp patients**

Of total 54 patients with colonoscopic polyp detection, 48 had criteria to be included in this research; of these, 17, 28 and 3 were sessile serrated/ adenoma polyp with hyperplasia, traditional serrated adenoma and adenoma with serrated architecture, respectively. Twenty polyps were located in proximal and 26 in distal part of colon. Based on the median age of patient’s population (53 years), 23 and 25 of 48 patients were respectively in >53 and ≤53 year old groups.

**Prevalence of MGMT-B, APC1a and SFRP2**

Polyp tissues of 48 patients were analyzed for methylation of SFRP2, APC1A and MGMT-B. Clinico-biological characteristics of total patients are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. Distribution of Selected Characteristic of Cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male(%)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female(%)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>60±18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Primers used for Methylation Specific PCR (MSP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5→3)</th>
<th>Annealing Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFRP2</td>
<td>MF TCGGTGTTTTTATTTTCGAGTTTCGC</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR CCCCCAATACCGCCGGCCGCTCGCCCGG</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UF GTTTTGTGTTTTTTATTTTGATGTTTGT</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UR TCCCCCTAAATACCACACACTACCCA</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC1A</td>
<td>MF TATTTTCGAGTTTGCCTGCTGGGT</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR TCGACGAACTCCCGACG</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UF GTTTTTATTGTTGAGTGGTGGT</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UR CAAATCAACCAACCTCCCAACA</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT-B</td>
<td>MF GGTCGTTTTGTACGTTTCGC</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MR TAAACCCTCGACCGATAAAA</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UF GTAGGTTTGTGTTTGTGTTTGT</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UR TAAACCCTACCAACCTACAAACC</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Several studies have reported age-dependent methylation (Mokarram, et al., 2008), therefore, we divided both polyp and control groups into ≥53 and < 53 year old groups. The median age of polyp patients (53 years) was chosen for this division. Although more methylation was seen in older patients but it was not statistically significant. Therefore, neither gender nor age was associated with the promoter methylation status of the genes. However, we had more gene methylation in traditional serrated polyp and equivalent sensitivity of gene methylation in proximal and distal location. No methylation of SFRP2, APC1A and MGMT-B were detected in patients’ corresponding adjacent normal mucosal tissues or in normal volunteers’ tissues/serum.

The most frequent methylated locus was SFRP2 (81.2%; 39 of 48), followed by APC1A (71%; 34 of 48) and MGMT (66.6%; 32 of 48). The frequency of promoter methylation in polyp patients with at least one of 3 genes methylated was 43/48 (89.5%). 41 of 48 (85.4%) of polyp tissue had MGMT-B or SFRP2 hypermethylation and 43 of 48 (89.5%) patients had methylation of APC1A or SFRP2 while 35 of 48 (72.9%) had MGMT-B or APC1A promoter hypermethylation.

The frequency of methylation for both SFRP2 and APC1A, MGMT and SFRP2, MGMT and APC1A methylation were 39/48 (% 81.5), 29/48 (% 60), 15/48 (%31), respectively. Twenty four polyp samples had simultaneous CpG island hypermethylation of all three genes. These samples were more in traditional serrated adenoma category.

MGMT and SFRP2 methylation analysis was performed in 25 plasma samples using MS-PCR. MGMT and SFRP2 methylation assay were respectively positive in 22 and 23 serum samples per methylation test. 18 out of 22 (81.8%) and 20 out of 23 samples were methylated for MGMT and SFRP2, respectively. Regarding to Table 3, these two genes were selected for serum evaluation due to be more methylated in 48 polyp tissue samples and we did not perform further study on APC1a methylation in serum. According to Table 4, we could obtain the

### Table 3. Stratification Analysis of Cases and Genes Promoter Methylation Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Positive Methylation N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SFRP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;53</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥53</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplastic serrated polyp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sessile serrated Adenoma/ polyp with hyperplasia</td>
<td>0.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional serrated adenoma</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma with serrated architecture</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Correlation of MGMT and SFRP2 methylation in tissue and serum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N(%) of methylation</th>
<th>Pearson correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Serum)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>R= 0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>P=0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Tissue)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MGMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>R=0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFRP2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. The Comparison of Sensitivity and Specificity of MGMT and SFRP2 Methylation in Serum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MGMT (%)</th>
<th>SFRP2 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive Positive Value (PPV)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predictive Negative Value (PNV)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Representative examples of MSP reactions for promoter methylation analysis of SFRP2 gene in polyp samples. The presence of a visible PCR product in those lanes marked U indicates the presence of unmethylated genes; the presence of a product in those lanes marked M indicates the presence of methylated genes. Lane 1 indicates the 50 bp DNA size marker. Universal methylated DNA (UMD), unmethylated lymphocytes (lymphocytes) DNA and H2O were used as positive, negative controls and NTC, respectively.
significant correlation between methylation status in serum and polyp tissue without any methylation in serum of healthy control. Statistical analysis revealed a significant correlation between the frequency of MGMT and SFRP2 methylation in serum of patients with polyps. (p=0.004, p=0.001, Table 4). As compared to polyp tissues methylation, the sensitivity and specificity of the serum DNA methylated assays were calculated. MGMT and SFRP2 methylation demonstrated approximately 95% and 100%, respectively (Table 5). In addition, some examples of SFRP2 methylation are shown in Figure 1.

Discussion

Colorectal cancer screening could reduce the incidence and mortality of CRC, based on relative invasiveness, being time and resource consuming and specialist dependency, colonoscopy as a gold standard method for abnormal lesion detection, is not an acceptable efficient procedure of choice for CRC screening. On the other hand, cells of colon cancer and polypoid lesions are continuously shed into the serum and stools and their genetic and epigenetic alterations could be detected by molecular tests for altered molecular DNA methylations. This has been proposed as achievable screening methods for the early detection of cancerous and precancerous lesions (Zhang et al., 2015).

Currently, an increasing number of hypermethylated genes in biological samples such as serum and stool have been reported as potential biomarkers for the detection of colorectal neoplasia (Lu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015).

In the present study, the feasibility of detecting methylated DNA in serum samples was evaluated as a noninvasive screening tool for the serum-based detection and/or monitoring of polyp-CRC patients. Our study demonstrates that hypermethylation of the APC1A and SFRP2 gene promoter region as genes involved in the WNT signaling or MGMT-B and SFRP2 methylation are associated in polyp developing lesions.

All three methylation markers of the genes selected for this study have been identified in CRC previously. Functional loss of negative WNT regulators by epigenetic gene silencing, through both DNA methylation and histone modification of TSG-associated promoters, has been frequently reported to contribute to the activation or amplification of aberrant WNT/β-catenin signalling in tumors. This phenomenon has crucial role in the development of colorectal carcinomas through the conventional adenoma-carcinoma sequence (Murakami et al., 2015). Down regulation of extracellular WNT antagonists such as SFRP1, SFRP2, SFRP4, SFRP5, WIF1, DKK1, DKK2 and DKK3 due to abnormal promoter methylation correlates with constitutive activation of canonical WNT/β-catenin signalling in various tumors. Epigenetic silencing of APC and AXIN2 through promoter methylation underlies an alternative mechanism to genetic alteration for the loss of these genes’ function in colon cancer development (Naghibalhossaini et al., 2012). The occurrence of such epigenetic inactivation would predispose the stem/progenitor cells to abnormal clonal expansion, without cell differentiation and maturation. These cells then become effectively addicted to the over-activity of WNT signalling, and later acquire further inactivating or activating mutations in downstream signalling factors (such as APC or CTNNNB1) of this pathway. The accumulation of multiple further epigenetic alterations can also subsequently act in a complementary manner to give genetic mutations full capacity to up regulate WNT signalling and facilitate tumor progression (Ying and Tao, 2009).

A recent study has shown that only promoter 1A is affected in CRC progression and promoter 1B seems resistant to methylation in multiple cancer types (Segditas et al., 2008). Our data also confirmed the hypermethylation of APC1A with less frequency in polyp samples.

Recently, researchers showed that non polypoid adenomas display less APC mutations compared to polypoid adenomas. APC silencing by promoter hypermethylation occurred at similar frequencies in both phenotypes. On the other hand, other upstream WNT signal regulating genes may present an alternative mechanism of constitutive WNT-pathway activation in CRC. Methylation of the WNT-antagonists may provide an alternative mechanism of WNT-pathway activation next to APC methylation (Voorham et al., 2013).

Furthermore, restoring SFRP expression in colorectal cancer cells can up regulate autocrine or paracrine WNT signaling. Given the crosstalk and overlap between molecular pathways, especially in cell signaling, it is entirely expected that WNT activation in colorectal tumors will be influenced by epigenetic changes in genes that act primarily in other pathways (Segditas and Tomlinson, 2006).

On the other hand, genetics and epigenetics alterations have been found to be characteristic of malignancy and hence can be used as targets for detection of neoplasms in serum. In this regard, RASSF1A hypermethylation in tissues and serum and its protein expression may be a valid, reliable and sensitive tool for detection and follow up of patients with breast cancer (Haggrass et al., 2014). The presence of methylated TFP12 DNA in serum is strongly associated with metastatic melanoma disease (Lo Nigro et al., 2013). In addition, a significant inverse association between tumor percent LINE-1 methylation and tumor stage/grade has been reported (van Bemmelen et al., 2012).

In CRC, epigenetic silencing has been observed not only for regulators of Wnt signalling upstream and downstream in the pathway, such as the extracellular Wnt inhibitors SFRP1, SFRP2, SFRP3, SFRP4, SFRP5, WIF1, DKK1, DKK3, DACT3 and APC, AXIN2, CDH1, SOX17, respectively to progress from adenoma to carcinoma (Silva et al., 2014). Hypermethylated SFRPs was detectable not only in stools of patients with CRC, but also in stools of patients with premalignant lesions, such as advanced adenomas, suggesting that hypermethylated SFRP is a sensitive fecal-based molecular marker for screening CRC and premalignant lesions. These patients need to be prioritized for clinical examinations, diagnostic and therapeutic resections of lesions and follow-up (Wang and Tang, 2008).

Previous studies also revealed the sensitivity and specificity of the methylated SFRP2 genes in tissue and
stool of patients with colorectal cancer and adenoma (Takeda et al., 2011) (Takeda et al., 2011). Our data also showed that hypermethylation of SFRP2 is able to use as sensitive marker in serum of polyp lesions. Therefore, hypermethylation of SFRP2 could introduce as specific marker in precancerous lesion of polyp patients in serum. In addition, only one study showed that the methylation of SFRP2 could be a specific marker in serum of patients with hyperplastic polyp and colorectal cancer (Tang et al., 2011) that the percentage in our study was higher than their reports.

To the best of our knowledge, due to high level of methylation for SFRP2 in our samples, methylated SFRP2 could be one of the most sensitive marker in precancerous lesions. In addition, this gene with high sensitivity and specificity (95%, 100 respectively) could be a promising non-invasive marker in serum for polyp-CRCs detection. Our data is consistent with others that showed methylated SFRP2 is a diagnostic marker in fecal DNA for CRC detection with 80% sensitivity and 77% specificity (Okugawa et al., 2015).

Gene methylation status of SFRP2 and APC1a in our polyp tissues was not associated with the polyp location. Due to lack of information, the correlation for these methylation in polyps in different regions of colon should be further investigated in larger population.

To date, no published studies have reported an association between genetic defects in the MGMT gene, such as mutations and/or deletions, and human cancer. However, several studies have reported that transcriptional silencing of this gene through hypermethylation of the CpG Island in its promoter to be associated with the appearance of G-to-A point mutations in the KRAS, P53 genes during colorectal tumorigenesis especially in the MSI-Subset of colorectal cancers and in precursor lesions (Whitehall et al., 2001; Halford et al., 2005). In addition, to date a large number of hypermethylated genes such as APC1a, SFRP2 and MGMT have been found in methylation assay for early detection of CRC (Okugawa et al., 2015).

In previous study, higher frequency of MGMT-B methylation was seen in patients with CRCs (Mokarram et al., 2013). This phenomenon was accompanied with a good correlation between MGMT methylation and increasing risk of KRAS and P53 mutation (Halford et al., 2005). In this study MGMT methylation was evaluated in serum and tissues of patients with poly; as a known precancerous state. Therefore, besides SFRP2, MGMT hyper methylation in serum and tissue of patients with polyp could be the second marker for our population which is consistent with Lee et al research results (Lee et al., 2009)

Methylation of MGMT and SFRP2 genes were strongly associated with type of polyp lesions. Furthermore, based on binary logistic test (OR=1.4, % 95 CI (2-10), a trend in the higher methylation were seen in older patients (>53Y) and significant increase were detected in traditional serrated adenoma (OR=2.5, 95% CI, (0.1-4). This convines the hypothesis of increasing cancer incidence in older patients and traditional polyps. This finding was also consistent with the Takada et al hypothesis (Takeda et al., 2011) that accumulation of MGMT and SFRP2 methylation could lead the traditional polyp lesion to cancer.

There was no correlation between MGMT-B methylation and polyp localization. This result contract with Kycker study which showed no correlations between methylation status of MGMT and clinical features like age, gender, and polyp localization (Kycler et al., 2012).

In conclusion, MGMT-B promoter methylation accompanied with SFRP2 and APC1A has also been detected in the south Iranian patients with polyp but not in normal population. Aberrant methylated gene may be detected in polyp tissues and serum concomitantly, so there is a potential capacity for serum to be used for early detection of polyps as a precancerous state. Serum detection for methylation status was sensitive and specific, so it could be used in a qualified screening planning by prioritize patients for colonoscopy and waiting list deduction. To improve the beneficial effects, cohort studies with larger sample size are recommended.
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