KVN SOURCE-FREQUENCY PHASE-REFERENCING OBSERVATION OF 3C 66A AND 3C 66B
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ABSTRACT

In this proceedings, preliminary results of the KVN Source-Frequency Phase-Referencing (SFPR) observation of 3C 66A and 3C 66B are presented. The motivation of this work is to measure the core-shift of these 2 sources and study the temporal evolution of the jet opacity. Two more sources were observed as secondary reference calibrators and each source was observed at 22, 43, and 86 GHz simultaneously. Our preliminary results show that after using the observations at the lower frequency to calibrate those at the higher frequency of the same source, the residual visibility phases for each source at the higher frequencies became more aligned, and the coherence time became much longer; also, the residual phases for different sources, within 10 degrees angular separations, follow similar trends. After reference to the nearby calibrator, the SFPRed maps were obtained as well as the astrometric measurements, i.e. the combined core-shift. The measurements were found to be affected by structural blending effects because of the large beamsize of KVN, but this can be corrected with higher resolution maps (e.g. KAVA maps).
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1. MOTIVATION

The radio source 3C 66A (also known as B0219+428) is a well-known BL Lac object at a redshift of 0.33—0.44 (Furniss et al., 2013). 3C 66B (UGC 01841) is a nearby radio galaxy (D=86Mpc, z=0.0213). Both sources are bright at radio wavelengths and, under parsec-scale resolution, both sources show typical core-jet structures. The separation on the sky plane between these two sources is very small, ~ 6 arcmin, which makes them an ideal pair for phase-referencing observations. Previous VLBA observation results have revealed a possible elliptical orbital motion of the jet core in 3C 66B based on the multi-epoch position measurements obtained by phase-referencing to the core of 3C 66A (See Figure 1). This result once led to the conclusion that a binary SMBH system was at the center of 3C 66B (Sudou et al., 2003). However, this explanation is under debate (e.g. Jenet et al., 2004). Alternative explanations, such as a single BH with jet precession, cannot be ruled out due to the lack of the central SMBH position information. Furthermore, there might be contributions from the reference source in the measurements because of its variability. In particular, follow-up studies have found apparent inward motions of the innermost components in the jet of 3C 66A which strongly supports the later argument (Zhao et al., 2014; See also Figure 2).

Therefore, non-stationarity of the core may exist in both of the two sources, and the reason is not clear. Detailed follow-up studies are necessary.

2. METHOD AND OBSERVATIONS

We believe that core-shift studies are important to understand the non-stationarity of the cores in 3C 66A&B. The core-shift effect represents the frequency dependence of the absolute position of the core, which is usually \( r_c(\nu) \propto \nu^{-1/k_r} \). With core-shift measurements, we can obtain information about the SMBH position (e.g. Hada et al. 2011). Subsequent multi-epoch measurements will enable us to trace the core motion in 3C 66A and SMBH positions in 3C 66B in order to check if it is orbiting another SMBH. Core-shift measurements will provide other information for the parsec-scale jet as well, such as the magnetic field (e.g. Lobanov 1998).

There are several different ways of measuring core-shifts (e.g.Marcaide & Shapiro, 1984; Kovaliev et al., 2008; Kudryavtseva et al., 2011; Rioja & Dodson, 2011; Pushkarev et al., 2012). The one we use for this work is Source-Frequency phase-referencing (SFPR, Rioja & Dodson, 2011). The rationale is similar to measuring the relative position between sources with conventional phase-referencing. By doing phase-transfer in the frequency domain, non-dispersive propagation effects like tropospheric effects are calibrated and the residual
phase will contain information on the relative position between frequencies, i.e. the core-shift. Then, by referencing to another source to calibrate the dispersive items of the visibility phase, the residual phase will consist of the combined core-shift of the two sources, the target source structure and interpolation errors. This method works well for astrometry at mm wavelengths (e.g. Rioja et al., 2014).

We used the Korean VLBI network (KVN)\(^1\) to carry out our SFPR observations towards 3C 66A and 3C 66B. The KVN is a dedicated mm-VLBI network. The quasi-optics system adopted by KVN make it very efficient in multi-frequency studies like SFPR.

Our observation started in February 2014. Nearly every 2 months, we observed 3C 66A and 3C 66B together with two nearby calibrators at 22, 43 and 86 GHz simultaneously. The observing frequencies were set to have integer ratios in order to avoid \(2\pi\) ambiguities when doing frequency-phase-transfer (FPT). The total observation time for each epoch is \(\sim\)10 hours and the recording bandwidth is 256 MHz (64, 64, and 128 MHz at 22, 43, and 86 GHz, respectively).

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF THE FIRST EPOCH

In this section, we show some preliminary results of the first epoch observation which was carried out on February 3rd, 2014. The data were correlated by the DiFX Correlator in KASI\(^2\). The data analysis was done using the NRAO AIPS package. For phase calibration, we first applied the earth orientation parameter (EOP) correction and parallactic angle correction, then the ionospheric correction was done using the JPLG Total Electron Content (TEC) maps. After these corrections, we fringe-fit on each source at the lower frequency. The solution table was first scaled up by the frequency ratio using an external script developed by one of the authors, and copied to the higher frequency data. Then the solutions for each source were applied to the visibility data of the same source. Next, we do fringe-fitting of 3C 66A data at the higher frequency and apply the solutions to all sources. Then we image the other sources and measure the position offset on the each map.

3.1. Frequency Phase Transfer

Figure 3 shows examples of the visibility phase at the Tamna-Ulsan baseline after FPT. The non-dispersive

---

\(^1\)http://kvn.kasi.re.kr

\(^2\)http://kjcc.kasi.re.kr
items are calibrated by applying the phase solutions at the lower frequency. The visibility phase becomes more aligned so that the coherence time becomes much longer, sometimes hours even at mm-wavelengths, and phases of different sources within 10 degrees follow similar trends. So the remaining items, i.e. the dispersive effects, can be calibrated by referencing to other sources.

After FPT and reference to 3C 66A, all the propagation effects are calibrated and we can image the target source at higher frequencies. Two of the SFPRed maps of 3C 66B are shown in Figure 4. The dynamic range of the shown maps are about 30 and 12, respectively and the flux recovery ratios are about 70 and 60 percent, respectively. In each map, there is an offset from the center of the image to the peak. That is the astrometric measurement, i.e. the combined core-shift. The values of the measured core-shift of 3C 66A and 3C 66B at different frequency pairs are shown in Table 1. The errors shown in the table are calculated by half of the errors shown in the table are calculated by half of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freq. pair</th>
<th>R.A. (mas)</th>
<th>DEC (mas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-Q</td>
<td>-0.07 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-0.22 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-W</td>
<td>-0.12 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-0.26 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-W</td>
<td>-0.04 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-0.05 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Our preliminary results show that with simultaneous multi-frequency observations, the coherence time can be significantly enlarged by doing FPT. After FPT and reference to a nearby bright source, high quality SFPRed maps and astrometric measurements can be obtained.

The direction of the measured core-shift at each frequency pair is consistent with the combination of the predicted core-shift direction of each source, i.e. along the mean jet axis. However, when comparing our measurements with core-shift of other sources at cm wave-lengths, these measurements seem too large. This indicates the measurements are affected by structural blending due to the relatively large beam size of the KVN array. Fortunately this can be overcome by using high-resolution clean models when doing fringe-fitting. Rioja et al. (2014) have proved that by doing so, the KVN measurements agrees with the VLBA measurements within 1σ level. We have performed KaVA observations of the two sources since April 2014. Data correlation and analysis are on-going. We expect that our future analysis will give reliable measurements and we can fulfill our goals for this project.
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