

## EULER-MARUYAMA METHOD FOR SOME NONLINEAR STOCHASTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH JUMP-DIFFUSION

HAMDY M. AHMED

HIGHER INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING, EL-SHOROUK ACADEMY, P.O. 3 EL-SHOROUK CITY, CAIRO, EGYPT  
*E-mail address:* Hamdy\_17eg@yahoo.com

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we discussed Euler-Maruyama method for stochastic differential equations with jump diffusion. We give a convergence result for Euler-Maruyama where the coefficients of the stochastic differential equation are locally Lipschitz and the  $p$ th moments of the exact and numerical solution are bounded for some  $p > 2$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Stochastic differential equations (SDEs) arise in the modeling of many phenomena in physics, biology, climatology, economics, etc. when uncertainties or random influences (called noises) are taken into account. These random effects are not only introduced to compensate for the defects in some deterministic models, but also are often rather intrinsic phenomena. In finance, the Black-Scholes-Merton stochastic equations are used to model the option price. In climatology, the stochastic Lorenz system is used to study the flow of the atmosphere. The stochastic lattice differential equations are used to model systems such as cellular neural networks with applications to image processing, pattern recognition, and brain science.

Most SDEs arising in practice are nonlinear, and cannot be solved explicitly, so numerical methods for SDEs have recently a great deal of attention (see [2,4,5,8,11,12,13,14]). Euler-Maruyama is a technical for approximate numerical solution of a stochastic differential equation. It is a simple generalization of the Euler method for ordinary differential equations to stochastic differential equations (see [1,7,9,10]).

In this paper we study the numerical solution of the stochastic differential equations with jump-diffusion of the form

$$\begin{aligned} du(x, t) = & f(x, t, u(x, t^-))dt + g(x, t, u(x, t^-))dW(t) + h(x, t, u(x, t^-))dN(t) \\ & + \sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q u(x, t^-) dt, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad u(x, 0^-) = u_0(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

---

Received by the editors January 19 2014; Revised February 19 2014; Accepted in revised form February 20 2014; Published online March 1 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65C30, 60H20, 65L20.

*Key words and phrases.* Euler-Maruyama method, compensated Poisson process, stochastic differential equations, jump diffusion, nonlinearity.

Here  $u(x, t^-)$  denotes  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} u(x, s)$ ,  $x \in R^\nu$  ( $R^\nu$  is the  $\nu$ -dimensional Euclidean space),  $u \in R^n$ ,  $W(t)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Brownian motion,  $N(t)$  is a scalar Poisson with intensity  $\lambda$ ,  $f : R^{n+\nu+1} \rightarrow R^n$ ,  $g : R^{n+\nu+1} \rightarrow R^{n \times n}$ ,  $h : R^{n+\nu+1} \rightarrow R^n$  and  $A_q : R^\nu \times [0, T] \rightarrow R^{n \times n}$ , where  $(A_q, |q| \leq 2m)$  is a family of square matrices whose elements are sufficiently smooth functions on  $R^\nu \times [0, T]$  and  $D^q = D_1^{q_1} \dots D_\nu^{q_\nu}$ ,  $D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ,  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_\nu)$  is  $\nu$ -dimensional multi-index, (see [6]). Following Petrovsky it is assumed that

$$\det [(-1)^m \sum_{|q|=2m} A_q(x, t) \sigma^q - \lambda I] = 0$$

has roots satisfy the inequality  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(x, t, \sigma) \leq -\eta |\sigma|^m$  for all  $x \in R^\nu$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where  $\eta$  is a positive constant,  $\sigma \in R^\nu$ ,  $|\eta| = (\eta_1^2 + \dots + \eta_\nu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\sigma^q = \sigma_1^{q_1} \dots \sigma_\nu^{q_\nu}$ , and  $I$  is the unit matrix. Throughout this paper,  $|\cdot|$  denotes both the Euclidean vector norm and the forbenius matrix norm.

We suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The coefficients of the operator  $\sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q$  are continuous in  $t \in [0, T]$ , moreover, the continuity in  $t$  of the coefficients  $\sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q$  is uniform with respect to  $x \in R^\nu$ .
- (2) The coefficients of  $\sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q$  are bounded on  $R^\nu \times [0, T]$  and satisfy the Holder condition with respect to  $x$ .

Under these conditions for the system

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q v, \quad (1.2)$$

there exists a fundamental solution matrix  $K(x, y, t, \theta)$  which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\frac{\partial K}{\partial t}, D^q K \in C(G_1)$ ,  $|q| \leq 2m$ ,  
where  $G_1 = R^{2\nu} \times (0, T) \times (0, T)$ .
- (ii)  $|D^q K(x, y, t, \theta)| \leq \frac{b_1}{(t-\theta)^\beta} e^z$ ;  $t > \theta$ ,  $z = \frac{-b_2 |x-y|^{2m}}{t-\theta}$ ,  $\beta = 1/2(\nu + |q|)$ ,  $|q| \leq 2m$ ,  
where  $|x|$  is the norm  $(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_\nu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $|K|$  a suitable norm of the square matrix  $K$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are positive constant.
- (iii) The function  $v$  defined by

$$v(x, t) = \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t, 0) v_0(y) dy,$$

represents the unique solution of the parabolic system

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q v, \quad (1.3)$$

with the initial conditions

$$v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, D^q v \in C(G_2), |q| \leq 2m \right), G_2 = R^\nu \times (0, T). \quad (1.4)$$

The existence of such functions depends on the parabolicity of the system (1.3) and on the smoothness of the coefficients of such systems (see [3,6]).

We shall use the notations

$$\sup_x |v(x, t)| = \|v(\cdot, t)\|, \quad \sup_x |e(x, t)| = \|e(\cdot, t)\|$$

where  $e(x, t) = v(x, t) - u(x, t)$ . We assume that  $f, g$  and  $h$  satisfy Lipschitz condition and linear growth condition, that is, for  $a = f = g = h$ , given any  $R > 0$  there exists a constant  $\gamma_R > 0$  such that

$$\|a(\cdot, t, u) - a(\cdot, t, v)\|^2 \leq \gamma_R \|u - v\|^2, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\|a(\cdot, t, u)\|^2 \leq \gamma_R (1 + \|u\|^2). \quad (1.6)$$

We also assume finite moment bounds for the initial data; that is, for any  $p > 0$  there is a finite  $M_p$  such that

$$E \|u(\cdot, 0^-)\|^p < M_p. \quad (1.7)$$

For a given, constant, stepsize  $\Delta t > 0$ , we define the split-step backward Euler (SSBE) method for (1.1) by  $v(x, 0) = u(x, 0^-)$  and

$$v_k^*(x) = v_k(x) + f(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta t, \quad (1.8)$$

$$v_{k+1}^* = v_k^*(x) + g(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta W_k + h(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta N_k + \sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t_k) D^q v_k^*(x) \Delta t. \quad (1.9)$$

Here,  $v_k$  is the approximation to  $u(x, t_k)$  for  $t_k = k\Delta t$ , with  $\Delta W_k = W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)$  and  $\Delta N_k = N(t_{k+1}) - N(t_k)$  representing the increments of the Brownian motion and the Poisson process, respectively. A key component in our analysis is the compensated Poisson process

$$\bar{N}(t) = N(t) - \lambda t,$$

which is a martingale. Defining

$$f_\lambda(x, t, u) = f(x, t, u) + \lambda h(x, t, u), \quad (1.10)$$

we may rewrite the jump-diffusion Ito SDE (1.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} du(x, t) &= f_\lambda(x, t, u(x, t^-))dt + g(x, t, u(x, t^-))dW(t) + h(x, t, u(x, t^-))d\bar{N}(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|q| \leq 2m} A_q(x, t) D^q u(x, t^-)dt, \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

We note that  $f_\lambda(x, t, u(x, t^-))$  also satisfies Lipschitz condition and linear growth condition with larger constant.

The compensated Poisson process motivates an alternative to the SSBE method in (1.8)–(1.9). We define the compensated split-step backward Euler (CSSBE) method for (1.1) by  $v_0 = u(x, 0^-)$  and

$$v_k^*(x) = v_k(x) + f_\lambda(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta t, \quad (1.12)$$

$$v_{k+1}^* = v_k^*(x) + g(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta W_k + h(x, t_k, v_k^*)\Delta\bar{N}_k + \sum_{|q|\leq 2m} A_q(x, t_k)D^q v_k^*(x)\Delta t, \quad (1.13)$$

where  $\Delta\bar{N}_k = \bar{N}(t_{k+1}) - \bar{N}(t_k)$ .

## 2. THE EULER METHOD

In this section we prove the strong convergence of EM. Considering the SDE in compensated form, (1.11), motivates the explicit method

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k+1}(x) &= v_k(x) + f_\lambda(x, t_k, v_k)\Delta t + g(x, t_k, v_k)\Delta W_k + h(x, t_k, v_k)\Delta\bar{N}_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{|q|\leq 2m} A_q(x, t_k)D^q v_k(x)\Delta t, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

which we refer to as the compensated Euler-Maruyamma (CEM) method. We denote the piecewise constant interpolant of the CEM solution by  $v(x, t) = v_k(x)$  for  $t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ . We then define the piecewise linear interpolant by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(x, t) &= \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t, 0)v_0(y)dy + \int_0^t \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t, s)f_\lambda(y, s, v(y, s^-))dyds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t, s)g(y, s, v(y, s^-))dydW(s) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t, s)h(y, s, v(y, s^-))dyd\bar{N}(s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  satisfy the conditions (1.5) and (1.6), and that for some  $p > 2$  there is a constant  $A$  such that

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|^p \leq A, \quad E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t)\|^p \leq A. \quad (2.3)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t) - u(\cdot, t)\|^2 = 0.$$

**Proof :** Set

$$\tau_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t)\| \geq R\}, \quad \rho_R = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \|u(\cdot, t)\| \geq R\},$$

and

$$\theta_R = \tau_R \wedge \rho_R, \quad (\theta_R = \tau_R \wedge \rho_R \text{ the minimum of } \tau_R \text{ and } \rho_R).$$

Recall the following elementary inequality:

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b, \quad \forall a, b > 0, \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

We thus have for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2\right] &= E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_R > T, \rho_R > T\}}\right] \\
 &\quad + E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_R \leq T \text{ or } \rho_R \leq T\}}\right] \\
 &\leq E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R)\|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_R > T\}}\right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\delta}{p} E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^p\right] + \frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{\delta^{2/(p-2)}} P(\tau_R \leq T \text{ or } \rho_R \leq T).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, by using (2.3), we get,

$$P(\tau_R \leq T) = E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_R \leq T\}} \frac{\|\bar{v}(\cdot, \tau_R)\|^p}{R^p}] \leq \frac{1}{R^p} E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t)\|^p\right] \leq \frac{A}{R^p}.$$

A similar result can be derived for  $\rho_R$ , so that

$$P(\tau_R \leq T \text{ or } \rho_R \leq T) \leq \frac{2A}{R^p}.$$

Using these bounds along with

$$E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^p\right] \leq 2^{p-1} E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t < T} (\|\bar{v}(\cdot, t)\|^p + \|u(\cdot, t)\|^p)\right] \leq 2^p A$$

and by substituting in (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2\right] &\leq E\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R)\|^2\right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta A}{p} + \frac{2(p-2)A}{p \delta^{2/(p-2)} R^p}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

In order to estimating the first term on the right of (2.5) we rewrite  $u(x, t \wedge \theta_R)$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t \wedge \theta_R) &= \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t \wedge \theta_R, 0) u_0(y) dy \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t \wedge \theta_R, s) f_\lambda(y, s, u(y, s^-)) dy ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t \wedge \theta_R, s) g(y, s, u(y, s^-)) dy dW(s) \\
 &\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, t \wedge \theta_R, s) h(y, s, u(y, s^-)) dy d\bar{N}(s).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.2), (2.6) and by using Cauchy Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\|\bar{v}(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R)\|^2 \leq 4 \left\{ T \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} \|f_\lambda(\cdot, s, v(\cdot, s)) - f_\lambda(\cdot, s, u(\cdot, s))\|^2 ds \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} [g(\cdot, s, v(\cdot, s^-)) - g(\cdot, s, u(\cdot, s^-))] dW(s) \right\|^2 \\
& + \left\| \int_0^{t \wedge \theta_R} [h(\cdot, s, v(\cdot, s)) - h(\cdot, s, u(\cdot, s))] d\bar{N}(s) \right\|^2 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, from (1.5) and Doob's martingale inequality, we have for any  $\tau \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \bar{v}(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) \right\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq 4\gamma_R(T+2)E \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \theta_R} \left\| v(\cdot, s) - u(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq \bar{\gamma}_R E \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \theta_R} \left[ \left\| v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 + \left\| \bar{v}(\cdot, s) - u(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 \right] ds \right] \\
& \leq \bar{\gamma}_R E \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \theta_R} \left\| v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 ds + \int_0^{\tau} \left\| \bar{v}(\cdot, s \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, s \wedge \theta_R) \right\|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq \bar{\gamma}_R (E \left[ \int_0^{\tau \wedge \theta_R} \left\| v(\cdot, s) - \bar{v}(\cdot, s) \right\|^2 ds \right] \tag{2.6} \\
& \quad + \int_0^{\tau} E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \left\| \bar{v}(\cdot, r \wedge \theta_R) - v(\cdot, r \wedge \theta_R) \right\|^2 ds).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $k_c$  be the integer for which  $c \in [t_{k_c}, t_{k_c+1})$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
v(x, c) - \bar{v}(x, c) & = - \int_{t_{k_c}}^c \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, s) f_\lambda(y, s, v(y, s)) dy ds \\
& \quad - \int_{t_{k_c}}^c \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, s) g(y, s, v(y, s)) dy dW(s) \\
& \quad - \int_{t_{k_c}}^c \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, s) h(y, s, v(y, s)) dy d\bar{N}(s) \\
& = - \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, 0) f(y, c, v_{k_c}(y)) (c - (t_{k_c})) dy \tag{2.7} \\
& \quad - \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, 0) g(y, c, v_{k_c}(y)) (W(c) - W(t_{k_c})) dy \\
& \quad - \int_{R^\nu} K(x, y, c, 0) h(y, c, v_{k_c}(y)) (\bar{N}(c) - \bar{N}(t_{k_c})) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| v(\cdot, c) - \bar{v}(\cdot, c) \right\|^2 & \leq 4 \left[ \left\| f_\lambda(\cdot, c, v_{k_c}(\cdot)) \right\|^2 (\Delta t)^2 + \left\| g(\cdot, c, V_{k_c}(\cdot)) \right\|^2 \left\| \Delta W_{k_c} \right\|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\| h(\cdot, c, v_{k_c}(\cdot)) \right\|^2 \left\| \Delta \bar{N}_{k_c} \right\|^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

From (1.5), (1.6) and (2.3), yields

$$E \int_0^{\tau \wedge \theta_R} \|v(\cdot, c) - \bar{v}(\cdot, c)\|^2 ds \leq C\Delta t,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant. By substituting in (2.7), yields

$$E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R)\|^2 \right] \leq C\Delta t + \bar{\gamma}_R \int_0^\tau E \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} [\|\bar{v}(\cdot, r \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, r \wedge \theta_R)\|^2] ds.$$

Upon applying the Gronwall's inequality we obtain

$$E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\bar{v}(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R) - u(\cdot, t \wedge \theta_R)\|^2 \leq C_1 \Delta t e^{T\bar{\gamma}_R},$$

for a constant  $C_1 = C_1(R, T, A)$ . Substituting in (2.6) we obtain

$$E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2 \right] \leq C_1 \Delta t e^{T\bar{\gamma}_R} + \frac{2^{p+1}\delta A}{p} + \frac{(p-2)2A}{p\delta^{2/(p-2)}R^p}.$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(2^{p+1}\delta A)/p < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Then choose  $R$  so that  $\frac{(p-2)2A}{p\delta^{2/(p-2)}R^p} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ , and then choose  $\Delta t$  sufficiently small such that  $C_1 \Delta t e^{T\bar{\gamma}_R} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  we get,

$$E \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|e(\cdot, t)\|^2 \right] \leq \epsilon,$$

as required.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

We gave a convergence result for Euler-Maruyama method in the case where the coefficients are locally Lipschitz and moment bounds are available.

**Acknowledgments:** I am very grateful to the referees and the Editor in Chief for their careful reading and helpful comments.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, Hamdy M., *Euler-Maruyama Numerical solution of some stochastic function differential equations*, J. KSIAM, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp 13-30, (2007).
- [2] Ahmed, Hamdy M., *Numerical analysis for some autonomous stochastic delay differential equations*, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation, Volume 4(4), 396-402, (2012).
- [3] Brewce, D. W.; Bruns, J.A.; and Cliff, E.M., *Parameter indetification for an abstract Cauchy problem by quasi-linearization*, Quarterly of applied mathematics, Vol.LI, March, 1-22, (1993).
- [4] Burrage, K.; Burrage, P.M.; and Tian, T., *Numerical methods for strong solutions of stochastic differential equations: an overview*, Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering, Royal Society of London, 373 - 402, 460 (2004).
- [5] Doering, Charles R.; Sagsyan, Khachik V.; and Smereka Peter, *Numerical method for some stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noise*, Physics Letters A, 149-155, 344 (2005).
- [6] Eydelman, S. D., *On fundamental solutions of parabolic systems*, Mat. Sb. N. S., 38(80), 51 - 92, (1956).

- [7] El-Borai, Mahmoud M.; El-Nadi, Khairia El-Said; Mostafa, Osama L.; and Ahmed, Hamdy M., *Numerical method for some nonlinear stochastic differential equations*, Applied math. and comp., 168, 65-75, (2005).
- [8] Higham, D. J., *Mean square and asymptotic stability of stochastic theta method*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 753 - 769, 38 (2000).
- [9] Higham, D. J.; and Kloeden, P.E., *Numerical methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations with jumps*, Rep.13, University of Strathclyde, Departement of Mathematics, (2004).
- [10] Higham, D. J.; Mao, Xuerong; and Stuart, A. M., *Strong convergence of Euler-like methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 1041 - 1063, 40 (2002).
- [11] Kloeden, P. E.; and Platen, E., *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*, Springer, New York, (1992).
- [12] Mauthner, S., *Step size control in the numerical solution of stochastic differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 93 - 109, 100 (1998).
- [13] Ma, Jin; Protter, Philip; San Martin, Jaime; and Torres, Soledad, *Numerical method for backward stochastic differential equations*, the annals of applied probability, vol. 12, No. 1, 302-316, (2002).
- [14] Mao, Xuerong, *Numerical solution of stochastic functional differential equations*, London Mathematical Society, 141 - 161, (2003).