

DUALITY THEOREM AND VECTOR SADDLE POINT THEOREM FOR ROBUST MULTIOBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS

MOON HEE KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, Mond-Weir type duality results for a uncertain multiobjective robust optimization problem are given under generalized invexity assumptions. Also, weak vector saddle-point theorems are obtained under convexity assumptions.

1. Introduction

Consider an uncertain multiobjective robust optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(MRP)} \quad & \text{minimize } (f_1(x), \dots, f_l(x)) \\ & \text{subject to } g_j(x, v_j) \leq 0, \forall v_j \in \mathcal{V}_j, j = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where v_i is an uncertain parameter and $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$ for some convex compact set \mathcal{V}_i in \mathbb{R}^q , $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_j : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are continuously differentiable.

When $l = 1$, (MRP) becomes an uncertain optimization problem, which has been intensively studied in ([4]-[5], [6]), associates with the uncertain program (UP) its robust counterpart [1],

$$\text{(RP)} \quad \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{f(x) : g_i(x, v_i) \leq 0, \forall v_i \in \mathcal{V}_i, i = 1, \dots, m\},$$

where the uncertain constraints are enforced for every possible value of the parameters within their prescribed uncertainty sets \mathcal{V}_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$. Recently, Jeyakumar, Li and Lee [7] established a robust duality theory for generalized convex programming problems in the face of data uncertainty. Furthermore, Kim [8] extended results of Jeyakumar, Li and Lee [7] for a uncertain multiobjective robust optimization problem. In this paper, Mond-Weir type duality results for a uncertain multiobjective robust optimization problem are given

Received March 30, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C25, 90C30.

Key words and phrases. robust multiobjective optimization, robust weakly efficient solution, necessary optimality theorem, Mond-Weir type robust duality.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST) (No. 2011-0018619).

under generalized invexity assumptions. Also, weak vector saddle-point theorems are obtained under convexity assumptions.

Let F be the set of all the robust feasible solutions of (MRP) and $J(\bar{x}) = \{j \mid \exists v_j \in \mathcal{V}_j \text{ s.t. } g_j(\bar{x}, v_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$.

Definition 1.1. A robust feasible solution \bar{x} of (MRP) is a weakly robust efficient solution of (MRP) if there does not exist a robust feasible solution x of (MRP) such that

$$f_i(x) < f_i(\bar{x}), \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Definition 1.2. (1) A vector-valued function f is said to be generalized η -quasi-invex at $(x^*, v_j) \in F \times \mathcal{V}_j$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $\alpha_i : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f_i(x) \leq f_i(x^*) \Rightarrow \alpha_i(x, x^*) \nabla f_i(x^*)^T \eta(x, x^*) \leq 0.$$

(2) A vector-valued function f is said to be generalized η -pseudo-invex at $(x^*, v_j) \in F \times \mathcal{V}_j$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $\alpha_i : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\alpha_i(x, x^*) \nabla f_i(x^*)^T \eta(x, x^*) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f_i(x) \geq f_i(x^*).$$

Now we define an Extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification for (MRP) as follows:

There exists $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any $j \in J(\bar{x})$ and any $v_j \in \mathcal{V}_j$,

$$\nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, v_j)^T d < 0.$$

Now we present necessary optimality theorems for weakly robust efficient solutions for (MRP).

Theorem 1.1 ([9]). *Let $\bar{x} \in F$ be a weakly robust efficient solution of (MRP). Suppose that $g_j(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ are concave on \mathcal{V}_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exist $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, $\mu_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, not all zero, and $\bar{v}_j \in \mathcal{V}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \mu_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Moreover, if we further assume that the Extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification holds, then there exist $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, not all zero, $\mu_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\bar{v}_j \in \mathcal{V}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that (1) and (2) hold.

2. Duality results

In this section, we establish Mond-Weir type robust duality between (MRP) and (MD).

$$(MD) \quad \text{maximize } (f_1(u), \dots, f_l(u))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subject to } & \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \nabla f_i(u) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla_1 g_j(u, v_j) = 0, \\ & \mu_j g_j(u, v_j) \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \\ & \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \quad \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 1, \\ & \mu_j \geq 0, \quad v_j \in \mathcal{V}_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 (Weak Duality). *Let x be feasible for (MRP) and $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \lambda, \mu)$ be feasible for (MD). Suppose that $f_i(\cdot), i = 1, \dots, l$ are generalized η -quasi-invex at \bar{x} and $\mu_j g_j(\cdot, \bar{v}_j), j = 1, \dots, m$ are generalized strictly η -pseudo-invex at \bar{x} and $g_j(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ are concave on \mathcal{V}_j . Then*

$$(f_1(x), \dots, f_l(x)) \not\leq (f_1(\bar{x}), \dots, f_l(\bar{x})).$$

Proof. Let x be feasible for (MRP) and $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \lambda, \mu)$ be feasible for (MD). Suppose that $f_i(x) < f_i(\bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, l$. Then the η -quasi-invexity of $f_i(\cdot)$ at \bar{x} implies that

$$\eta(x, \bar{x})^T \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

Since $g_j(x, \bar{v}_j) \leq 0, \bar{v}_j \in \mathcal{V}_j, \mu_j \geq 0, \mu_j g_j(x, \bar{v}_j) \leq 0, j = 1, \dots, m,$

$$\mu_j g_j(x, \bar{v}_j) \leq \mu_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus the strictly η -pseudo-invexity of $\mu_j g_j(\cdot, \bar{v}_j), j = 1, \dots, m$ at \bar{x} implies that

$$\eta(x, \bar{x})^T \mu_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) < 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence $\lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, l, \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 1,$

$$\eta(x, \bar{x})^T \left[\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) \right]^T < 0.$$

This is a contradiction, since $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) = 0. \quad \square$

Theorem 2.2 (Strong Duality). *Let \bar{x} be a weakly efficient solution of (MRP). Assume that the Extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification holds. Then, there exists $(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is feasible for (MD) and the objective values of (MRP) and (MD) are equal. If $f_i(\cdot), i = 1, \dots, l$ are η -quasi-invex at $\bar{x}, \bar{\mu}_j g_j(\cdot, \bar{v}_j), j = 1, \dots, m$ are strictly η -pseudo-invex at $\bar{x},$ and $g_j(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ are concave on $\mathcal{V}_j, j = 1, \dots, m,$ then $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weakly efficient solution of (MD).*

Proof. Since \bar{x} is a weakly efficient solution of (MRP) at which the Extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification is satisfied, then by Theorem

1.1, there exist $\bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, l$, not all zero, $\bar{\mu}_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\bar{v}_j \in \mathcal{V}_j, j = 1, \dots, m$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) = 0,$$

$$\bar{\mu}_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is feasible for (MD) and clearly the objective values of (MRP) and (MD) are equal. If $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is weak duality holds, then there exists feasible $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu})$ for (MD) such that

$$(f_i(\bar{x}), \dots, f_l(\bar{x})) \not\prec (f_1(\tilde{x}), \dots, f_l(\tilde{x})).$$

Hence $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is a (MD)-feasible solution, $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weakly efficient solution of (MD). □

3. Weak vector saddle-point theorems

In this section, we prove weak vector saddle-point theorems for multiobjective robust optimization problem (MRP). Let

$$L(x, w, \mu) = f(x) + \mu^T g(x, w)e,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, w \in \mathcal{V}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $e = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^l$. Then, a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m$ is said to be a weak vector saddle-point if

$$L(x, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu}) \not\prec L(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu}) \not\prec L(\bar{x}, w, \mu)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, w \in \mathcal{V}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ satisfy (1) and (2). Suppose that $f_i(\cdot), i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_j(\cdot, \bar{w}_j), j = 1, \dots, m$ are convex and $g_j(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ are concave on \mathcal{V}_j . Then $(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weak vector saddle-point of (MRP).*

Proof. If (1) and (2) are true. Then there exist $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_+^l, \bar{w} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) = 0$$

$$\bar{\mu}_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be any fixed. Then $f_i(\cdot), i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_j(\cdot, \bar{w}_j), j = 1, \dots, m$ are convex,

$$f_i(x) - f_i(\bar{x}) \geq \nabla f_i(\bar{x})^T (x - \bar{x}),$$

$$g_j(x, \bar{w}_j) - g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) \geq \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j)^T (x - \bar{x}).$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, l, \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i = 1, \bar{\mu}_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\bar{\lambda}_i \{f_i(x) - f_i(\bar{x})\} \geq \bar{\lambda}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x})^T (x - \bar{x}), i = 1, \dots, l,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \left\{ \bar{\mu}_j g_j(x, \bar{w}_j) - \bar{\mu}_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) \right\} \geq \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \bar{\mu}_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j)^T (x - \bar{x}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Summing up all these inequalities, it follows from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \left\{ f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_j g_j(x, \bar{w}_j) \right\} - \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \left\{ f(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_j g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) \right\} \\ & \geq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \bar{\lambda}_i \nabla f_i(\bar{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_j \nabla_1 g_j(\bar{x}, \bar{w}_j) \right\}^T (x - \bar{x}) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}_i \geq 0$, not all zero,

$$f(x) + \bar{\mu}^T g(x, \bar{w})e \not\leq f(\bar{x}) + \bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w})e \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

i.e., $L(x, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu}) \not\leq L(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now, since $\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) = 0$, $\mu^T g(x, w) \leq 0$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $w \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) - \mu^T g(x, w) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m.$$

Thus

$$f(\bar{x}) + \bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w})e - \left\{ f(\bar{x}) + \mu^T g(x, w)e \right\} \in \mathbb{R}_+^l,$$

and hence

$$L(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu}) \not\leq L(\bar{x}, w, \mu).$$

Therefore, $(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weak vector saddle-point of (MRP). □

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose that $f_i(\cdot)$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ and $g_j(\cdot, \bar{w}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are convex and $g_j(\bar{x}, \cdot)$ are concave on \mathcal{V}_j . If \bar{x} is a weakly efficient solution of (MRP) at which the Extended Mangasarian-Fromovitz constraint qualification is satisfied, then there exists $(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weak vector saddle-point of (MRP).*

Theorem 3.2. *If there exists $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ is a weak vector saddle-point of (MRP), then \bar{x} is a weakly efficient solution of (MRP).*

Proof. Let $(\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{\mu})$ be a weak vector saddle-point of (MRP). From the right inequality of saddle-point conditions,

$$f(\bar{x}) + \bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w})e \not\leq f(\bar{x}) + \mu^T g(\bar{x}, w)e$$

for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Thus

$$\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w})e \not\leq \mu^T g(\bar{x}, w)e$$

for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $w \in \mathcal{V}$ and hence we have

$$(4) \quad \bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \geq \mu^T g(\bar{x}, w) \quad \text{for any } \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, w \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Letting $\mu = 0$ in (4), $\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \geq 0$. Letting $\mu = 2\bar{\mu}$ in (4), $w_i = \bar{w}_i$, $\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) \leq 0$. Therefore,

$$\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) = 0.$$

Now, from the left inequality of saddle-point conditions and $\bar{\mu}^T g(\bar{x}, \bar{w}) = 0$, we have, for any feasible solution x of (MRP), $f(x) \not\leq f(\bar{x})$. Hence \bar{x} is a weakly efficient solution of (MRP). \square

References

- [1] A. Ben-Tal, L. E. Ghaoui, and A. Nemirovski, *Robust Optimization*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, 2009.
- [2] A. Ben-Tal and A. Nemirovski, *Robust-optimization-methodology and applications*, Math. Program. Ser B **92** (2002), no. 3, 453–480.
- [3] ———, *Selected topics in robust convex optimization*, Math. Program. Ser B **112** (2008), no. 1, 125–158.
- [4] D. Bertsimas and D. Brown, *Constructing uncertainty sets for robust linear optimization*, Oper. Res. **57** (2009), no. 6, 1483–1495.
- [5] D. Bertsimas, D. Pachamanova, and M. Sim, *Robust linear optimization under general norms*, Oper. Res. Lett. **32** (2004), no. 6, 510–516.
- [6] V. Jeyakumar, G. Li, and G. M. Lee, *A robust von Neumann minimax theorem for zero-sum games under bounded payoff uncertainty*, Oper. Res. Lett. **39** (2011), no. 2, 109–114.
- [7] ———, *Robust duality for generalized convex programming problems under data uncertainty*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), no. 3, 1362–1373.
- [8] M. H. Kim, *Robust duality for generalized invex programming problems*, submitted.
- [9] D. Kuroiwa and G. M. Lee, *On robust multiobjective optimization*, submitted.

SCHOOL OF FREE MAJOR
TONGMYONG UNIVERSITY
BUSAN 608-711, KOREA
E-mail address: mooni@tu.ac.kr