

MODIFICATION TO A BOUND FOR RANDOM ERROR CORRECTION WITH LEE WEIGHT

SAPNA JAIN

ABSTRACT. In [1], Sharma and Goel obtained a bound for random error correcting codes with Lee weight considerations. The purpose of this paper is to first point out a discrepancy in this result and then give a correct version of the same, improving upon the bound tremendously.

1. Bound for random error correction

In Sharma and Goel [1], the following result has been proved:

LEMMA. *If V^e denotes all vectors of length n and Lee weight e or less, then*

$$(1) \quad V^e = \sum_{N=0}^e P(N, n, [q/2]),$$

where for an integer $N \geq 0$

$$(2) \quad P(N, n, [q/2]) = \sum_{K=1}^{[q/2]} \sum_{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_K} \frac{n!}{r_0! r_1! \dots r_K!} e_1^{r_1} e_2^{r_2} \dots e_K^{r_K}$$

and the r_i 's are integers such that

$$(3) \quad r_0 + r_2 + \dots + r_K = n, \quad r_K \geq 1, \quad r_i \geq 0, \quad i \neq K$$

$$(4) \quad r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + Kr_K = N.$$

(Here $[q/2]$ stands for the largest integer contained in $q/2$).

There are few discrepancies in the above result which are as follows:

- (1) The condition (3) viz. $r_0 + r_2 + \dots + r_K = n$ should be read as
 $r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_K = n$.

Received September 8, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B05.

Key words and phrases: linear code, Lee weight, random errors.

- (2) In equations (2), (3), and (4), the minimum value of K is 1 and therefore, we are enumerating vectors of Lee weight $1, 2, \dots, N$. The vector of Lee weight 0 viz $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ has not been taken care of i.e. the case $N = 0$ has not been considered. Further, equations (2), (3) and (4) are valid only for $N > 0$ and not for $N = 0$.
- (3) Moreover, the upper limit of K in the summation of equation (2) should be $\min(N, \lfloor q/2 \rfloor)$ because when we consider partitions of an integer N , the largest entry in the partition can not be greater than N . Also, over $F(q)$, the largest equivalent value is $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor$. Therefore, in the partition of an integer $N (N > 0)$ over $F(q)$, the largest entry in the partition is equivalent to K where $1 \leq K \leq \min(N, \lfloor q/2 \rfloor)$.

For example, for enumerating vector of Lee weight 2 or less ($e = 2 \Rightarrow N = 1, 2$) over $GF(97)$, we consider the following two cases for $N = 1, 2$ respectively:

Case(i): For $N = 1 (\Rightarrow K$ varies from 1 to $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor = 48)$, the equations (3) and (4) for $N = 1$ and for different values of K varying from 1 to 48 are given by

$$(5) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } K = 1 \begin{cases} r_0 + r_1 = n, r_1 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 1 \\ r_1 = 1, \end{cases} \\ \text{For } K = 2 \begin{cases} r_0 + r_1 + r_2 = n, r_2 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 2 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 = 1, \end{cases} \\ \text{For } K = 3 \begin{cases} r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = n, r_3 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 3 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 = 1, \end{cases} \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \text{For } K = 48 \begin{cases} r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_{48} = n, r_{48} \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 48 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + \dots + 48r_{48} = 1. \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

Case(ii): For $N = 2 (\Rightarrow K$ varies from 1 to $\lfloor q/2 \rfloor = 48)$, the equations (3) and (4) for $N = 2$ and for different values of K varying from 1 to 48 are given by

$$(6) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For } K = 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_0 + r_1 = n, r_1 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 1 \\ r_1 = 2, \end{array} \right. \\ \text{For } K = 2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_0 + r_1 + r_2 = n, r_2 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 2 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 = 2, \end{array} \right. \\ \text{For } K = 3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = n, r_3 \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 3 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 = 2, \end{array} \right. \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \text{For } K = 48 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_{48} = n, r_{48} \geq 1, r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq 48 \\ r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + \dots + 48r_{48} = 2. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

Now, for $N = 1$, 48 simultaneous equations in system of equations (5) are checked. Out of which only first simultaneous equation (for $K = 1$) has solution (viz. $r_0 = n - 1, r_1 = 1$) and rest of the 47 equations are not required to be satisfied.

Also, $N = 2$, the first two simultaneous equations (for $K = 1, 2$) in system of equations (6) have solutions and rest of the 46 equations are redundant.

Therefore, for $e = 2 (\Rightarrow 1 \leq N \leq 2)$, total number of redundant equations checked over $F(97) = 47 + 46 = 93$.

Similarly, over $F(q)$, number of redundant equations checked for $e = 2$ (i.e., $1 \leq N \leq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= ([q/2] - 1) + ([q/2] - 2) \\ &= 2[q/2] - 3. \end{aligned}$$

In general, number of redundant equations checked for any integer $e > 0 (\Rightarrow 1 \leq N \leq e)$ over $F(q)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= ([q/2] - 1) + ([q/2] - 2) + \dots + ([q/2] - e) \\ &= e[q/2] - (1 + 2 + \dots + e) \\ &= e[q/2] - \frac{e(e + 1)}{2} \\ &= e[q/2] - \frac{(e + 1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $q \gg e$, this number is very large.

In view of the above, we give correct version of the Lemma with its proof.

THEOREM. *If V^e denotes all vectors of length n and Lee weight e or less, then*

$$(7) \quad V^e = \sum_{N=0}^e P(N, n, [q/2]),$$

where for an integer $N = 0$

$$(8) \quad P(N, n, [q/2]) = 1$$

and for $N > 0$

$$(9) \quad P(N, n, [q/2]) = \sum_{K=1}^{\min(N, [q/2])} \sum_{r_0, r_1, \dots, r_K} \frac{n!}{r_0! r_1! \dots r_K!} e_1^{r_1} e_2^{r_2} \dots e_K^{r_K},$$

where r_i 's are integers such that

$$(10) \quad r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_K = n, \quad r_K \geq 1, \quad r_i \geq 0 \quad i \neq K$$

$$(11) \quad r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + Kr_K = N.$$

PROOF. We consider partitions of an integer N ($1 \leq N \leq e$), the largest entry in which is exactly equivalent to K ($1 \leq K \leq \min(N, [q/2])$). If r_i is the number of times i or an entry equivalent to i occurs, then the number of vectors of length n that can be formed by filling n positions from the integers $0, 1, \dots, K$ is given by

$$(12) \quad \frac{n!}{r_0! r_1! \dots r_K!} e_1^{r_1} e_2^{r_2} \dots e_K^{r_K}.$$

Conditions (10) and (11) immediately follow, as the total number of entries are n and their sum is N . Now summing (12) for all possible values of r_i 's and K ($1 \leq K \leq \min(N, [q/2])$), we get equation (9). Finally, V^e is obtained by summing (8) and (9) for all possible values of N which range from 0 to e . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science & Technology, Government of India for providing grant (No. SR/FTP/MA-03/2002) under *SERC Fast Track Fellowship for Young Scientists* to carry out this research work under post-doctoral study leave.

References

- [1] B. D. Sharma and S. N. Goel, *A note on bounds for Burst correcting codes with Lee weight consideration*, Inform. and Control **33** (1977), 210–216.
- [2] E. R. Berlekamp, *Algebraic Coding Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.

Department of Mathematics
Miranda House
University of Delhi
Delhi 110 007, India
E-mail: sapna@vsnl.com