

ON UNIFORMITIES OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN AND EUN HWAN ROH

In [1], Alo and Deeba introduced the uniformity of a BCK-algebra by using ideals. Meng [5] introduced the concept of dual ideals in BCK-algebras. We note that the concept of dual ideals is not a dual concept of ideals. In this paper, by using dual ideals, we consider the uniformity of a BCK-algebra.

By a BCK-algebra we mean an algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq (z * y)$,
- (II) $x * (x * y) \leq y$,
- (III) $x \leq x$,
- (IV) $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ implies $x = y$,
- (V) $0 \leq x$,

where $x \leq y$ is defined by $x * y = 0$.

A BCK-algebra X is said to be bounded if there exists $1 \in X$ such that $x \leq 1$ for all $x \in X$. In a bounded BCK-algebra, we denote $1 * x$ by Nx . In what follows, X denotes a bounded BCK-algebra.

DEFINITION 1. ([5, 6]) A nonempty subset D of X is called a dual ideal if it satisfies:

- (D₁) $1 \in D$,
- (D₂) $N(Nx * Ny) \in D$ and $y \in D$ implies $x \in D$.

We note that the intersection of dual ideals is also a dual ideal.

LEMMA 2. ([5, 6]) If A is a nonempty subset of X , then the set

$$\{x \in X \mid \text{there exist } a_i \in A, i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \text{such that } (\dots (Nx * Na_1) * \dots) * Na_n = 0\}$$

is the least dual ideal containing A , which is called the dual ideal generated by A .

Received August 11, 1994. Revised October 14, 1994.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25, 54E15.

Key words and phrases. Dual ideal, uniform structure .

LEMMA 3. ([5, 6]) Let D be a dual ideal in X . Define, for $x, y \in X$, $x \sim y$ if and only if $N(x * y) \in D$ and $N(y * x) \in D$. Then for any $x, y, u, v \in X$

- (1) $x \sim x$,
- (2) $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x$,
- (3) $x \sim y$ and $y \sim u \Rightarrow x \sim u$,
- (4) $x \sim y$ and $u \sim v \Rightarrow x * u \sim y * v$.

DEFINITION 4. ([7]) Let M be any nonempty set and let U and V be any subsets of $M \times M$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} U \circ V &= \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid \text{for some } z \in M, (x, z) \in U \text{ and } (z, y) \in V\}, \\ U^{-1} &= \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid (y, x) \in U\}, \\ \Delta &= \{(x, x) \in M \times M \mid x \in M\}. \end{aligned}$$

By a uniformity on M we mean a nonempty collection K of subsets of $M \times M$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (U₁) $\Delta \subset U$ for any $U \in K$,
- (U₂) if $U \in K$, then $U^{-1} \in K$,
- (U₃) if $U \in K$, then there exists a $V \in K$ such that $V \circ V \subset U$,
- (U₄) if $U, V \in K$, then $U \cap V \in K$,
- (U₅) if $U \in K$ and $U \subset V \subset M \times M$, then $V \in K$.

The pair (M, K) is called a uniform structure.

THEOREM 5. For each dual ideal D of X , define

$$U_D = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid N(x * y) \in D \text{ and } N(y * x) \in D\}$$

and let

$$K^* = \{U_D \mid D \text{ is a dual ideal of } X\}.$$

Then K^* satisfies the conditions (U₁) – (U₄).

Proof. Let $(x, x) \in \Delta$. Since $N(x * x) = N0 = 1 \in D$ for any dual ideal D , it follows that $(x, x) \in U_D$ for every $U_D \in K^*$, which proves that (U₁) holds.

Note from Lemma 3 that for any $U_D \in K^*$, $(x, y) \in U_D$ if and only if $N(x * y) \in D$ and $N(y * x) \in D$ if and only if $(y, x) \in U_D^{-1}$ if and only if $(x, y) \in U_D^{-1}$. Hence $U_D^{-1} = U_D \in K^*$, which is (U₂).

Assume that $U_D \in K^*$. Let $A = \{D_\alpha \mid D_\alpha \subset D\}$ be the collection of dual ideals contained in D . Clearly, A is not empty. Let I be the dual

ideal generated by $\bigcup_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}$. Then $U_I \in K^*$. It is sufficient to show that $U_I \circ U_I \subset U_D$. If $(x, y) \in U_I \circ U_I$, then there exists $z \in X$ such that $(x, z) \in U_I$ and $(z, y) \in U_I$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $(x, y) \in U_I$, that is,

$$N(x * y) \in I \quad \text{and} \quad N(y * x) \in I.$$

Since I is the minimal dual ideal containing $\bigcup_{\alpha} D_{\alpha}$ and since $\bigcup_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \subset D$, it follows that $I \subset D$. Hence $N(x * y), N(y * x) \in D$. Thus $(x, y) \in U_D$ and so $U_I \circ U_I \subset U_D$, which is (U_3) .

Finally we prove (U_4) . This will follow from the observation that $U_C \cap U_D = U_{C \cap D}$ for all $U_C, U_D \in K^*$. Let $(x, y) \in U_C \cap U_D$. Then $(x, y) \in U_C$ and $(x, y) \in U_D$, which imply that

$$N(x * y), N(y * x) \in C \quad \text{and} \quad N(x * y), N(y * x) \in D.$$

Hence $N(x * y), N(y * x) \in C \cap D$, that is, $(x, y) \in U_{C \cap D}$. So $U_C \cap U_D \subset U_{C \cap D}$. Likewise we can show that $U_{C \cap D} \subset U_C \cap U_D$. Thus $U_C \cap U_D = U_{C \cap D}$ and this proves requirement (U_4) .

THEOREM 6. *Let $K = \{U \subset X \times X \mid U \supset U_D \text{ for some } U_D \in K^*\}$. Then K satisfies a uniformity on X and hence the pair (X, K) is a uniform structure.*

Proof. Using Theorem 5, we can show that K satisfies the conditions $(U_1) - (U_4)$. To prove (U_5) , let $U \in K$ and $U \subset V \subset X \times X$. Then there exists a $U_D \in K^*$ such that $U_D \subset U \subset V$, which implies that $V \in K$. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 7. For $x \in X$ and $U \in K$, we define

$$U[x] = \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in U\}.$$

THEOREM 8. *For each $x \in X$, the collection $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U[x] \mid U \in K\}$ forms a neighborhood base at x , making X a topological space.*

Proof. First note that $x \in U[x]$ for each x . Second,

$$U_1[x] \cap U_2[x] = (U_1 \cap U_2)[x],$$

which means that the intersection of neighborhoods is a neighborhood. Finally, if $U[x] \in \mathcal{U}_x$ then by (U_3) there exists a $E \in K$ such that $E \circ E \subset U$. Then for any $y \in E[x], E[y] \subset U[x]$, so this property of neighborhoods is satisfied.

References

1. R. A. Alo and E. Y. Deeba, *A note on uniformities of a BCK-algebra*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), 237-240.
2. K. Iséki, *On a quasi-uniformity on BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **4** (1976), 225-226.
3. K. Iséki, *Introduction of a quasi-uniformity on BCK-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **4** (1976), 229-230.
4. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 1-26.
5. J. Meng, *Some results on dual ideals in BCK-algebras*, J. Northwest Univ. **16** (1986), 12-16; MR87j:06015.
6. J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co. Seoul, Korea, 1994.
7. S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1970.

Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, Korea