

SOME REMARKS ON THE AUTOMATA-HOMOMORPHISMS

CHIN-HONG PARK

DEFINITION. (1) An *automaton*, $A = (M, X, \delta)$, is a triple where M is a nonempty set (the set of states), X is a nonempty set (the set of inputs), δ is a function (called the state transition function) mapping $M \times X$ into M . Also, we shall assume the useful property that $\delta(m, st) = \delta(\delta(m, s), t)$ for all $s, t \in X$ and $m \in M$.

NOTE. (i) An automaton A means a triple (M, X, δ) and M does not mean an automaton. But the attribute "automaton" will be sometimes used for M . (ii) Let X^* be the free monoid generated by X . Then $\delta^* : M \times X^* \rightarrow M$ is the map defined as follows: For all $m \in M$ and $a \in X^*$, $\delta^*(m, a) = m$ if $a = e$ (empty string) and $\delta^*(m, a) = \delta(\delta^*(m, b), t)$ if $a = bt$ and $t \in X$.

NOTATION. For convenience we will denote $\delta(m, t)$ as mt if $t \in X$ and $\delta^*(m, a)$ as ma if $a \in X^*$, i.e., $\delta(m, t) = mt$ and $\delta^*(m, a) = ma$.

NOTE. (i) $\delta^*(m, t) = \delta(m, t)$ for all $m \in M$ and $t \in X$. (ii) $\delta^*(m, ab) = \delta^*(\delta^*(m, a), b)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a, b \in X^*$, i.e., $m(ab) = (ma)b$.

(2) Let $A = (M, X, \delta_A)$ and $B = (N, Y, \delta_B)$ be automata. An *automata-homomorphism* (or a *generalized XY-homomorphism*) of A into B is a pair (f, α) of mappings $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$.

NOTATION. We denote (f, α) as f^α , i.e., $f^\alpha = (f, \alpha)$.

(3) Let $A = (M, X, \delta_A)$ and $B = (N, X, \delta_B)$ be automata. Let $S = X^+ = X^* - \{e\}$. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$, $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha^* : S \rightarrow S$ (or $X^* \rightarrow X^*$) be maps. Then $f^\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ is an αX -*homomorphism* (or *automata-homomorphism* or a *generalized X-homomorphism with respect to α*) if $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$. Also, f^{α^*} is an $\alpha^* S$ -*homomorphism* (resp. $\alpha^* X^*$ -*homomorphism*) if $f(ma) =$

$f(m)\alpha^*(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in S$ (resp. $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha^*(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X^*$). f^α is an αX -endomorphism if $A = B$ and it is an αX -homomorphism. f^α is an αX -isomorphism if it is an αX -homomorphism and f, α are bijective. f^α is an αX -automorphism if it is an αX -isomorphism and $A = B$. Similarly, we can define α^*S - and α^*X^* -endomorphisms, α^*S - and α^*X^* -isomorphisms and α^*S - and α^*X^* -automorphisms. $f : M \rightarrow N$ (or $A \rightarrow B$) is an X -homomorphism (resp. S -homomorphism, X^* -homomorphism) if $f(ma) = f(m)a$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$ (resp. for all $m \in M$ and $a \in S$, for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X^*$). Let f^α and g^β be an αX -homomorphism and an βX -homomorphism respectively. Then we define $f^\alpha = g^\beta$ by letting $f = g$ and $\alpha = \beta$

NOTATION. (i) We denote f^{id} as f where $id : X \rightarrow X$ (or $S \rightarrow S$ or $X^* \rightarrow X^*$) is the identity map.

(ii) $END_X(A) = END_X(M) = \{f^\alpha \mid f^\alpha \text{ is an } \alpha X\text{-endomorphism with a map } \alpha : X \rightarrow X\}$.

$AUT_X(A) = AUT_X(M) = \{f^\alpha \mid f^\alpha \text{ is an } \alpha X\text{-automorphism with a map } \alpha : X \rightarrow X\}$.

$End_X(A) = End_X(M) = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is an } X\text{-endomorphism}\}$.

$Aut_X(A) = Aut_X(M) = \{f \mid f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is an } X\text{-automorphism}\}$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. For any $f^\alpha, g^\beta \in END_X(A)$, we define $f^\alpha g^\beta = (fg)^{\alpha\beta}$. Then the following statements hold: (1) $END_X(A)$ is a monoid and $End_X(A)$ is a submonoid of $END_X(A)$.

(2) $Aut_X(A)$ and $AUT_X(A)$ are groups where the product of maps means the composition of maps.

LEMMA 2. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. For any $f^\alpha, g^\beta, h^\gamma \in END_X(A)$, we define two relations and operations on $END_X(A)$ as follows:

$$(f^\alpha, g^\beta) \in \sigma_E \iff f = g$$

$$(f^\alpha, g^\beta) \in \tau_E \iff \alpha = \beta$$

$$(f^\alpha, g^\beta)h^\gamma = (f^\alpha h^\gamma, g^\beta h^\gamma) \text{ and } h^\gamma(f^\alpha, g^\beta) = (h^\gamma f^\alpha, h^\gamma g^\beta).$$

Then σ_E and τ_E are congruences relations on $\text{END}_X(A)$.

Proof. We will show that τ_E is a congruence relation on $\text{END}_X(A)$. It is easy to show that τ_E is an equivalence relation. To show τ_E is a congruence relation, let $(f^\alpha, g^\alpha) \in \tau_E$. For any $h^\beta \in \text{END}_X(A)$, $(f^\alpha, g^\alpha)h^\beta = (f^\alpha h^\beta, g^\alpha h^\beta) = ((fh)^{\alpha\beta}, (gh)^{\alpha\beta}) \in \tau_E$ and $h^\beta(f^\alpha, g^\alpha) = (h^\beta f^\alpha, h^\beta g^\alpha) = ((hf)^{\beta\alpha}, (hg)^{\beta\alpha}) \in \tau_E$. Similarly, it is easy to show that σ_E is a congruence relation.

NOTE. (1) $\text{AUT}_X(A) \leq \text{END}_X(A)$ and σ_A and σ_E are relations on $\text{AUT}_X(A)$ and $\text{END}_X(A)$ resp. (2) Similarly, for any $f^\alpha, g^\beta \in \text{AUT}_X(A)$ we can define two congruence relations on $\text{AUT}_X(A)$ as follows:

$$(f^\alpha, g^\beta) \in \sigma_A \iff f = g$$

$$(f^\alpha, g^\beta) \in \tau_A \iff \alpha = \beta.$$

Then (1) σ_A and τ_A are congruence relations on $\text{AUT}_X(A)$.

(2) $\sigma_A \leq \sigma_E, \tau_A \leq \tau_E$ and $\text{AUT}_X(A)/\tau_A = \text{AUT}_X(A)/\text{Aut}_X(A)$.

DEFINITION. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Let $S = X^* - \{e\}$ and $a \in S$. (1) $T_a : M \rightarrow M$ is called a *right translation* if $T_a(m) = ma$ for all $m \in M$. (2) We define a congruence $\mu_M \subset S \times S$ on S through $(a, b) \in \mu_M \iff T_a = T_b$ for $a, b \in S$. (3) A (or M) is *cyclic* iff $M = mS$ for some $m \in M$. Also, m is called a *generator*. (4) A (or M) is *abelian* iff $m(st) = m(ts)$ for all $m \in M$ and $s, t \in S$. (5) A (or M) is *strongly connected* iff every element of M is a generator. (6) A (or M) is *perfect* iff A is strongly connected and abelian (see Fleck [4]).

PROPOSITION 3. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\mu_M = O$ on X where O is the identity relation.
- (2) For all $a, b \in X, T_a = T_b \implies a = b$.
- (3) $\sigma_A = O$ on $\text{AUT}_X(A)$.
- (4) $\sigma_E = O$ on $\text{END}_X(A)$ if A is perfect.

Proof. (1) \iff (2): Trivial. (2) \implies (3): Let $(f^\alpha, f^\beta) \in \sigma_A$. Since $f^\alpha, f^\beta \in \text{AUT}_X(A)$, $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\beta(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$. This means $T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\beta(a)}(f(m))$. Since f is bijective, $T_{\alpha(a)}(m) = T_{\beta(a)}(m)$ for all $m \in M$. So, we have $T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\beta(a)}$. By

assumption, $\alpha(a) = \beta(a)$ for all $a \in X$. Hence $\alpha = \beta$. i.e., $\sigma_A = O$. (3) \implies (2): We define a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ given by $\alpha(a) = b$, $\alpha(b) = a$ and $\alpha(t) = t$ for all $t \in X - \{a, b\}$. Then α is bijective with $\alpha(\alpha(a)) = a$ and $\alpha(\alpha(b)) = b$. Moreover, $I^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_X(A)$ (it is easy to show this, using $T_a = T_b$) and $(I^\alpha, I^{id}) \in \sigma_A$ where $id : X \rightarrow X$ is the identity map. Since $\sigma_A = O$, $I^\alpha = I^{id}$. Hence $\alpha = id$. This means that $a = b$. (2) \implies (4): Let $(f^\alpha, f^\beta) \in \sigma_E$. Since $f^\alpha, f^\beta \in \text{END}_X(A)$, $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a) = f(m)\beta(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$. This implies $T_{\alpha(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\beta(a)}(f(m))$. Since M is perfect, from Lemma 1 of Park [1] and $T_S = \text{End}_S(M)$ we have $T_{\alpha(a)} = T_{\beta(a)}$ where $T_S = \{T_a : a \in S\}$. By assumption, $\alpha(a) = \beta(a)$ for all $a \in X$. Hence $\alpha = \beta$. i.e., $\sigma_E = O$. (4) \implies (2): Clear from $\sigma_A \leq \sigma_E = O$.

DEFINITION. The automaton A is called *faithful* if one of the equivalent statements of Proposition 3 is satisfied (see Puskas [2]).

NOTE. For a set X , let $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ be a map and let $\alpha^* : X^* \rightarrow X^*$ be the map defined by $\alpha^*(e) = e$ (empty string) and $\alpha^*(a_1a_2a_3 \cdots a_n) = \alpha(a_1)\alpha(a_2)\alpha(a_3) \cdots \alpha(a_n)$ for all $a_1a_2a_3 \cdots a_n \in X^* - \{e\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) α^* is bijective if α is bijective.
- (2) α^* is a monoid homomorphism.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Let $T_X = \{T_a : a \in X\}$ and let $\langle T_X \rangle$ be the semigroup generated by T_X . Then $S/\mu_M \cong \langle T_X \rangle$ where \cong means semigroup-isomorphic.

LEMMA 5. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton.

- (1) If $f^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_X(A)$, then for any $a, b \in S$,

$$(a, b) \in \mu_M \iff (\alpha^*(a), \alpha^*(b)) \in \mu_M$$

- (2) If $f^\alpha \in \text{END}_X(A)$ and A is perfect, then for any $a, b \in S$,

$$(a, b) \in \mu_M \implies (\alpha^*(a), \alpha^*(b)) \in \mu_M.$$

Proof. For (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) \in \mu_M &\iff T_a = T_b \iff T_a(m) = T_b(m) \text{ for all } m \in M \\
 &\iff ma = mb \iff f(ma) = f(mb) \\
 &\iff f(m)\alpha^*(a = f(m)\alpha^*(b) \\
 &\iff T_{\alpha^*(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\alpha^*(b)}(f(m)) \iff T_{\alpha^*(a)} = T_{\alpha^*(b)} \\
 &\iff (\alpha^*(a), \alpha^*(b)) \in \mu_M.
 \end{aligned}$$

For (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) \in \mu_M &\iff T_a = T_b \iff T_a(m) = T_b(m) \text{ for all } m \in M \\
 &\iff ma = mb \implies f(ma) = f(mb) \\
 &\iff f(m)\alpha^*(a) = f(m)\alpha^*(b) \\
 &\iff T_{\alpha^*(a)}(f(m)) = T_{\alpha^*(b)}(f(m)) \iff T_{\alpha^*(a)} = T_{\alpha^*(b)} \\
 &\iff (\alpha^*(a), \alpha^*(b)) \in \mu_M.
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. *Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be a perfect automaton and let $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow X$ be maps. Let Π_α and Π_β be maps defined by $\Pi_\alpha([a]) = [\alpha^*(a)]$ and $\Pi_\beta([a]) = [\beta^*(a)]$ for $a \in S$ respectively where $[\] = [\]_{\mu_M}$. Then for any $f^\alpha, g^\beta \in \text{END}_X(A)$ the following statements hold:*

- (1) Π_α and Π_β are endomorphisms.
- (2) $\Pi_{\beta\alpha} = \Pi_\beta\Pi_\alpha$.
- (3) $\Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta \iff \alpha = \beta$ if A is faithful

where the product of maps means the composition of maps.

Proof. We note that Π_α and Π_β are well-defined from lemma 5(2). For (1) and (2), it is easy to check them. For (3), for every $t \in X$, $\Pi_\alpha([t]) = \Pi_\beta([t])$. This implies $[\alpha^*(t)] = [\beta^*(t)]$. Hence $(\alpha^*(t), \beta^*(t)) \in \mu_M$. Since $t \in X$, $\alpha^*(t) = \alpha(t)$ and $\beta^*(t) = \beta(t)$. Moreover, $(\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \in \mu_M \iff T_{\alpha(t)} = T_{\beta(t)}$. Since A is faithful, we can conclude that $T_{\alpha(t)} = T_{\beta(t)} \implies \alpha(t) = \beta(t)$. i.e., $\alpha = \beta$. The converse is trivial.

COROLLARY 6.1. *Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Let $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow X$ be bijective. Then for any $f^\alpha, g^\beta \in \text{AUT}_X(A)$ the following statements hold:*

- (1) Π_α and Π_β are semigroup-automorphisms
- (2) $\Pi_{\beta\alpha} = \Pi_\beta\Pi_\alpha$.
- (3) $\Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta \iff \alpha = \beta$ if A is faithful.

RECALL. Let S and T be semigroups. Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism. The Kernel of f is the set $\text{Ker } f$ of all the elements of $S \times S$ which are carried by f onto the same element of T . That is, $\text{Ker } f = \{(a, b) \in S \times S : f(a) = f(b)\}$.

LEMMA 7. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be a perfect automaton and let $\text{End}(S/\mu_M)$ be the set of all endomorphisms (not X -endomorphisms) on S/μ_M . Let $h : \text{END}_X(A) \rightarrow \text{End}(S/\mu_M)$ be a map defined by $h(f^\alpha) = \Pi_\alpha$. Then

- (1) h is a homomorphism.
- (2) $\text{Ker } h = \tau_E$ if A is faithful.

Proof. (1) is trivial. For (2), $\text{Ker } h = \{(f^\alpha, g^\beta) : h(f^\alpha) = h(g^\beta)\}$. Now, from $h(f^\alpha) = h(g^\beta)$ we have $\Pi_\alpha = \Pi_\beta$. By Lemma 6(3), $\alpha = \beta$. Hence $\text{Ker } h = \tau_E$.

LEMMA 8. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton and let $\text{Aut}(S/\mu_M)$ be the set of all automorphisms (not X -automorphisms) on S/μ_M . Let $h : \text{AUT}_X(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S/\mu_M)$ be a map defined by $h(f^\alpha) = \Pi_\alpha$. Then

- (1) h is a group-homomorphism.
- (2) $\text{Ker } h = \text{Aut}_X(M)$ if A is faithful.

Proof. (1) is trivial. For (2), $\text{Ker } h = \{f^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_X(A) : h(f^\alpha) = I \text{ (identity map)}\}$. Now, from $\Pi_\alpha = I$ we have $\Pi_\alpha([a]) = [a]$ for all $a \in X$. This implies $[\alpha^*(a)] = [\alpha(a)] = [a]$. So, we have $[\alpha(a)] = [a] \iff (\alpha(a), a) \in \mu_M \iff T_{\alpha(a)} = T_a \implies \alpha(a) = a$ for all $a \in X$. Hence $\alpha = id$ and $\text{Ker } h = \text{Aut}_X(M)$.

From Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 we can obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 9. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be a faithful automaton. Then

- (1) the factor group $\text{AUT}_X(A)/\text{Aut}_X(A)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S/\mu_M)$.
- (2) $\text{END}_X(A)/\tau_E$ is isomorphic to a submonoid of $\text{End}(S/\mu_M)$ if A is perfect.

DEFINITION. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Let $\Omega_M = \{f : M \rightarrow M \text{ is a transformation map}\}$. i.e., the semigroup of all arbitrary maps of M into M . (1) We define the *centralizer* $C(T_X)$ and the *normalizer* $N(T_X)$ of T_X in Ω_M as follows:

$$C(T_X) = \{f \in \Omega_M : T_a f = f T_a \text{ for all } T_a \in T_X\}$$

$$N(T_X) = \{f \in \Omega_M : T_X f = f T_X\}.$$

(2) We define the *permutation centralizer* (briefly *p-centralizer*) $C_p(T_X)$ and the *permutation normalizer* (briefly *p-normalizer*) $N_p(T_X)$ of T_X as follows:

$$C_p(T_X) = C(T_X) \cap S_M \text{ and } N_p(T_X) = N(T_X) \cap S_M$$

where S_M is the symmetric group over M (see Puscas [2]).

NOTE. $N(T_X)$ is a monoid and $C(T_X) \leq N(T_X)$ (a submonoid of $N(T_X)$).

LEMMA 10. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be a faithful automaton. Let $f \in N_p(T_X)$. Then for any $T_a \in T_X$ there is a unique $T_b \in T_X$ such that $f T_b = T_a f$ (or $f T_a = T_b f$).

Proof. Suppose there is another $T_c \in T_X$ such that $T_a f = f T_c$. Then $f T_b = f T_c$ and $f T_b(m) = f T_c(m)$ for all $m \in M$. This implies that $f(mb) = f(mc)$. Since f is 1-1, $mb = mc$. This means that $T_b(m) = T_c(m)$ for all $m \in M$. i.e., $T_b = T_c$. Hence $b = c$.

LEMMA 11. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Then

(1) $\text{End}_X(M) = C(T_X)$ and $\text{Aut}_X(M) = C_p(T_X)$.

(2) $C_p(T_X)$ is a normal subgroup of $N_p(T_X)$.

Proof. For the first part of (1), $\text{End}_X(M) \subset C(T_X)$: For any $f \in \text{End}_X(M)$, it is enough to show that $f T_a = T_a f$ for all $T_a \in T_X$. To do this, choose any $m \in M$. Then $f T_a(m) = f(ma) = f(m)a = T_a f(m)$. Hence it holds. Similarly, the converse can be shown easily. The second part of (1) follows from the first part of (1). For (2), for any $f \in N_p(T_X)$, $g \in C_p(T_X)$ and $T_a \in T_X$, $T_a f g f^{-1} = f T_b g f^{-1}$ for some $T_b \in T_X = f g T_b f^{-1} = f g f^{-1} T_a$.

PROPOSITION 12. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be a faithful automaton. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) $AUT_X(A) = N_p(T_X)$.
- (2) $N_p(T_X)/C_p(T_X) \cong$ a subgroup of $Aut(S/\mu_M)$.
- (3) $Aut_X(A)$ is a normal subgroup of $AUT_X(A)$.

Proof. For (1), $AUT_X(A) \subset N_p(T_X)$: To prove this, choose any $f \in AUT_X(A)$ and let f be an αX -automorphism. Then we have $f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in X$. This means that $f[T_a(m)] = T_{\alpha(a)}[f(m)]$. Also, this implies that $fT_a = T_{\alpha(a)}f$. Hence since α is bijective, $fT_X = T_Xf$. $AUT_X(A) \supset N_p(T_X)$: By Lemma 10, for any $f \in N_p(T_X)$ and $T_a \in T_X \exists! T_b \in T_X$ such that $fT_a = T_bf$. Let $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ be a map defined by $\alpha(a) = b$ with $fT_a = T_bf$. Claim: α is bijective. (i) α is well-defined: To prove this, let $t = u$ for $t, u \in X$. By Lemma 10, for T_t and $T_u \exists! T_c, T_d \in T_X$ such that $fT_t = T_cf$ and $fT_u = T_df$. This implies $T_cf = T_df$. Hence $T_c = T_d$. So, we have $c = d$ since X is reduced. Thus, $\alpha(t) = c = d = \alpha(u)$. (ii) $\alpha = 1 - 1$: Suppose $\alpha(t) = \alpha(u)$. Let $\alpha(t) = c$ with $fT_t = T_cf$ and let $\alpha(u) = d$ with $fT_u = T_df$. Then from $c = d$ $fT_t = fT_u$. Hence $T_t = T_u$. Thus, we have $t = u$. (iii) α is onto : For any $b \in X$, consider $T_b \in T_X$. By Lemma 10 $\exists! T_a \in T_X$ such that $T_bf = fT_a$. Hence $\exists a \in X$ such that $\alpha(a) = b$ with $fT_a = T_bf$.

Now, we will show that f is an αX -homomorphism. For any $m \in M$ and $a \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m)\alpha(a) &= f(m)b \text{ with } fT_a = T_bf \\ &= T_bf(m) = fT_a(m) = f(ma). \end{aligned}$$

(2) follows from Proposition 9 and Lemma 11. (3) follows from Lemma 11(2).

NOTATION. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton and $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ be a map. For $m, q \in M$, $H_{m\alpha q} = \{a \in S : m\alpha(a) = q\}$ and $H_{mq} = \{a \in S : ma = q\}$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 18 of Park [1].

LEMMA 13. Let $A = (M, X, \delta_A)$ and $B = (N, X, \delta_B)$ be automata. Let $m \in M$ be a fixed element and let $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ be a map. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is any map, then the following statements hold:

(1) If $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ for all $t \in S$, then $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for all $q \in M$.

(2) If $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for some $q \in M$, then $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ for all $t \in H_{mq}$.

(3) $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ for all $t \in H_{mq} \iff H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for all $q \in M$.

(4) Assume M is strongly connected. Then $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ for all $t \in S \iff H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for all $q \in M$.

Proof. For (1), for every $a \in H_{mq}$ we have $ma = q$. This implies $f(q) = f(ma) = f(m)\alpha(a)$. Hence $a \in H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$. For (2), for every $t \in H_{mq}$ we have $mt = q$ and also, since $t \in H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$, we have $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(q)$. This implies $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(mt)$. (3) is clear from (1) and (2). For (4), suppose M is strongly connected. Then we have $M = mS$. So, for every $t \in S$, we have $k = mt$ for some $k \in M$. This implies $t \in H_{mk} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(k)}$. Thus, $f(m)\alpha(t) = f(k)$. Hence $f(mt) = f(k) = f(m)\alpha(t)$. The converse is clear from (1).

The following proposition is a generalization of Proposition 19 of Park [1].

PROPOSITION 14. Let $A = (M, X, \delta_A)$ and $B = (N, X, \delta_B)$ be automata. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ and $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ be maps. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $f^\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ is an αS -homomorphism.
- (2) $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for any $m, q \in M$.
- (3) $f(qs) = f(q)\alpha(s)$ for some $q \in M$ and all $s \in S$ if M is strongly connected and α is a semigroup-homomorphism.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): For all $m \in M$ and $t \in S$, $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$. Hence it holds by Lemma 13(1). (2) \implies (1): To show $f(mt) = f(m)\alpha(t)$ for all $m \in M$ and $t \in S$, we recall $S = \bigcup_{q \in M} H_{mq}$ (see Proposition 11 of

Park [1]). Now, for any $t \in S$, we have $t \in H_{mq}$ for some $q \in M$. By the assumption, $t \in H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$. Hence it holds from (2) of Lemma 13. (2) \implies (3): Since M is strongly connected, we have $M = qS$ for some $q \in M$. This means that for any $s \in S$ there is an $k \in M$ such that $k = qs$. This implies $s \in H_{qk} \subset H_{f(q)\alpha f(k)}$ by the assumption.

Hence $f(q)\alpha(s) = f(k) = f(qs)$. (3) \implies (1): We have $M = qS$ from the strong connectedness of M . This implies that for any $m \in M$ there is an $a \in S$ such that $m = qa$. So, we have $ms = (qa)s$. Hence for any $m \in M$ and $s \in S$ we have $f(ms) = f((qa)s) = f(q(as)) = f(q)\alpha(as) = f(q)\alpha(a)\alpha(s) = [f(q)\alpha(a)]\alpha(s) = f(qa)\alpha(s) = f(m)\alpha(s)$.

COROLLARY 14.1. *Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Then $f^\alpha : M \rightarrow M$ is an αS -automorphism $\iff f$ and α are permutations on M and S respectively and $H_{mq} \subset H_{f(m)\alpha f(q)}$ for any $m, q \in M$.*

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 1 of Park [1].

LEMMA 15. *Let $A = (M, X, \delta_A)$ and $B = (N, X, \delta_B)$ be automata. Let $\text{HOM}_S(A, B)$ be the set of all αS -homomorphisms of A into B for all α 's where $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ is a map. If A is strongly connected, then for every $f^\alpha, g^\beta \in \text{HOM}_S(A, B)$, $f^\alpha = g^\beta \iff \alpha = \beta$ and $f(p) = g(p)$ for some $p \in M$.*

Proof. To show $f(m) = g(m)$ for all $m \in M$, from the strong connectedness of A we have $M = qS$ for all $q \in M$. This implies that $M = pS$. So, for every $m \in M$, $m = pt$ for some $t \in S$. Hence $f(m) = f(pt) = f(p)\alpha(t) = g(p)\beta(t) = g(pt) = g(m)$. The converse is trivial.

NOTE. If $f^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$, then $(f^n)^{\alpha^n} \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$ for any nonnegative integer n where $f^n = fff \cdots f$ (n times) and the product means the composition of f 's.

DEFINITION. Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. Then we say that a mapping $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ is an M -homomorphism if $m\alpha(a) = ma$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in S$. We recall that f is a regular permutation on a set M if f is a permutation on M and for every power, say f^n , of f , it is the case that $f^n(p) = p$ for some $p \in M$ implies $f^n = 1$.

PROPOSITION 16. *Let $A = (M, X, \delta)$ be strongly connected and let $f^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$. Then f is a regular permutation on M if $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ is an M -homomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that for any $n \in N$, $f^n(x) = x$ for some $x \in M$.

Claim: $f^n = I$ (identity). (Proof). Since $f^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$, $(f^n)^{\alpha^n} \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$. So, this implies $(f^n)^{\alpha^n} \in \text{END}_S(M)$. Also, $I^{\alpha^n} \in \text{END}_S(M)$.

We will show this. For all $m \in M$ and $a \in S$, $I(ma) = ma = m\alpha(a) = I(m)\alpha(a)$. This implies $I^\alpha \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$ and $(I^n)^{\alpha^n} \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$. Since $I^n = I$, we have $I^{\alpha^n} \in \text{AUT}_S(M)$. Hence $I^{\alpha^n} \in \text{END}_S(M)$. From Lemma 15, we can conclude $f^n = I$.

References

1. C. H. Park, *Algebraic properties associated with input semigroup S of an automaton*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (1990), 69–83.
2. ———, *On right congruences associated with the input semigroup S of Automata*, Semigroup Forum, to appear.
3. Cs. Puskas, *On the generalized automorphism groups of automata without outputs*, Papers on automata theory vol. 1, The Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Karl Marx University of Economics, Budapest (1980).
4. A. C. Fleck, *Isomorphism groups of automata*, J. ACM **9** (1962), 469–476.
5. R. H. Oehmke, *On right congruences of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **16** (1978), 393–401.

Department of Mathematics
The SungHwa University
Cheon An, Korea